

An educational stupidity.

The following two divisibility tests are widely taught at Dutch schools.

The 9-test. To reduce a number modulo 9, add its decimal digits. E.g., with  $N = 15098$ ,

$$15098 \equiv 8 + 9 + 0 + 5 + 1 = 23 \equiv 3 + 2 = 5$$

It is proved by observing that, modulo 9,  $10 \equiv 1$ , hence  $10^k \equiv 1$ . As a derivative we are taught the 3-test:  $N \pmod 3 = 2$  because  $5 \pmod 3 = 2$ .

The 11-test. To reduce a number modulo 11, add its decimal digits with alternating signs, e.g.

$$15098 \equiv 8 - 9 + 0 - 5 + 1 = -5 \equiv 6$$

It is proved by observing that, modulo 11,  $10 \equiv -1$ , hence  $10^k \equiv (-1)^k$ .

\*   \*   \*

Here is another approach to the 11-test. Interpret the number, by pairing the digits, as written in base 100 and start with the 99-test

$$15098 \equiv 1 + 50 + 98 = 149 \equiv 1 + 49 = 50 \equiv 6$$

where the last congruence is only modulo 11.

The fact that the last test manipulates only natural numbers could be viewed as a minor advantage. It is more important to see that the 11-test is related to the 99-test in exactly the same way as the 3-test is related to the 9-test. It is now immediately obvious how to reduce  $N$  modulo 111, viz. via a reduction modulo 999:

$$15098 \equiv 15 + 098 = 113 \equiv 2,$$

where the last congruence is only modulo 111. The possibility of this generalization is well-hidden in the traditional formulation of the 11-test.

Two instances of a general method are being taught as isolated tricks. I know that the mathematics involved is absolutely trivial; so much the worse that it isn't taught in all clarity!

Exercise. Prove that none of the decimal numbers 1001, 1001001, 1001001001, 1001001001001, ..... is prime.

Plataanstraat 5  
5671 AL NUENEN  
The Netherlands

4 December 1980  
prof. dr. Edsger W. Dijkstra  
Burroughs Research Fellow.