

A sequel to EWD874

Lemma 0 of EWD874 "A miscellany of results" can be strengthened and its proof can be simplified.

Lemma 0 For monotonic f and any natural n , the equations

$$X: [(\underline{E}i: 0 \leq i: f^{i+1} X) \equiv X] \quad (0)$$

$$X: [f^{n+1} X \equiv X] \quad (1)$$

$$X: [(\underline{A}i: 0 \leq i: f^{i+1} X) \equiv X] \quad (2)$$

have the same extreme solutions.

Proof Since the conjugate of f is monotonic as well, it suffices to give the proof for the strongest solutions.

Let P , Q , and R be the strongest solutions of (0), (1), and (2) respectively. Since the left-hand sides of (0), (1), and (2) are strengthening in that order, we have — see Lemma 1, below —

$$[R \Rightarrow Q] \wedge [Q \Rightarrow P]$$

The proof is concluded by demonstrating $[P \Rightarrow R]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{true} \\ &= \{ \text{monotonicity of } f \} \\ & [f(\underline{A}i: f^i R) \Rightarrow (\underline{A}i: f(f^i R))] \\ &= \{ \text{pred. calc, definition of functional iteration and} \\ & \quad \text{renaming the left dummy} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [f(R \wedge (\underline{A}_i :: f^{i+1} R)) \Rightarrow (\underline{A}_i :: f^{i+1} R)] \\
& = \{ R \text{ is a solution of (2) and pred. calc.} \} \\
& \quad [fR \Rightarrow R] \\
& = \{ \text{monotonicity of } f \text{ and induction over } i \} \\
& \quad (\underline{A}_i :: [f^{i+1} R \Rightarrow R]) \\
& = \{ \text{pred. calc.} \} \\
& \quad [(\underline{E}_i :: f^{i+1} R) \Rightarrow R] \\
& \Rightarrow \{ P \text{ is the strongest solution of (0) and Knaster-T.} \} \\
& \quad [P \Rightarrow R]
\end{aligned}$$

(End of Proof.)

Lemma 1 Let f_0 and f_1 be two monotonic predicate transformers such that

$$[f_0 X \Rightarrow f_1 X] \quad \text{for all } X \quad (3)$$

Let the equations

$$X: [f_0 X \equiv X] \quad \text{and} \quad X: [f_1 X \equiv X]$$

have G_0 and G_1 as their strongest, and H_0 and H_1 as their weakest solutions. Then we have

$$[G_0 \Rightarrow G_1] \quad \text{and} \quad [H_0 \Rightarrow H_1]$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{true} \\
& = \{ \text{definition of } G_1 \} \\
& \quad [f_1 G_1 \equiv G_1] \\
& \Rightarrow \{ (3) \} \\
& \quad [f_0 G_1 \Rightarrow G_1] \\
& \Rightarrow \{ \text{def. of } G_0 \text{ and K.-T.} \} \\
& \quad [G_0 \Rightarrow G_1]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{true} \\
& = \{ \text{def. of } H_0 \} \\
& \quad [H_0 \equiv f_0 H_0] \\
& \Rightarrow \{ (3) \} \\
& \quad [H_0 \Rightarrow f_1 H_0] \\
& \Rightarrow \{ \text{def. of } H_1 \text{ and K.-T.} \} \\
& \quad [H_0 \Rightarrow H_1]
\end{aligned}$$

(End of Proof.)

Remark Consider for any nonempty bag V of natural numbers the predicates

$$(\underline{E}i: i \text{ in } V: f^{i+1} X) \quad \text{and} \quad (\underline{A}i: i \text{ in } V: f^{i+1} X)$$

Bag V being nonempty, these predicates are at least as strong as the left-hand side of (0) and at least as weak as the left-hand side of (2). From Lemma 0 and Lemma 1 we now conclude that

$$X: [(\underline{E}i: i \text{ in } V: f^{i+1} X) \equiv X] \quad \text{and}$$

$$X: [(\underline{A}i: i \text{ in } V: f^{i+1} X) \equiv X]$$

have the same extreme solutions as (0) and (2).
(End of Remark.)

12 April 1984

drs. C.S. Scholten
Scientific Adviser
Philips Research Laboratories
5600 JA EINDHOVEN
The Netherlands

prof. dr. Edsger W. Dijkstra^{*)}
Burroughs Research Fellow
Plataanstraat 5
5671 AL NUENEN
The Netherlands

*) EWD having accepted the Schlumberger Centennial Chair in Computer Sciences at the University of Texas at Austin, his mailing address is as of 1 Sep. 1984:

Department of Computer Sciences
The University of Texas at Austin
AUSTIN, Texas 78712-1188
United States of America