

Partitioning predicates and punctual functions (see EWD955)

Let f be a function from structures to structures, both on the same space. We then have Leibniz's Rule, i.e.

$$(0) \quad (\underline{A} X, Y :: [X=Y] \Rightarrow [f.X = f.Y])$$

We may have the stronger

$$(1) \quad (\underline{A} X, Y :: [X=Y \Rightarrow f.X = f.Y])$$

a state of affairs we characterize by saying " f is a punctual function". In this note we present another characterization of punctual dependence, viz. distribution over partitioning.

In what follows

- green and yellow are some space-independent types (like boolean, real, integer, etc.)
- c is a green variable
- B is a function from a green argument to a boolean structure and it is partitioning, i.e.

$$[(\underline{N}c: B.c: true) = 1]$$

- R is a function from a green argument to a yellow structure

We now consider the equation in the unknown yellow structure Z

$$(2) \quad Z: [(\underline{Q}c: B.c: Z = R.c)]$$

in which, B being partitioning, it does not matter whether we read \underline{A} or \underline{E} for \underline{Q} — see EWD955 —.

In order to show that the solution of (2), if existing, is unique, we first prove

Lemma 0 For partitioning B and boolean structure X we have

$$[(\underline{Q}c: B.c: X)] \equiv [X]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof } & [(\underline{Q}c: B.c: X)] \\ &= \{ \text{EWD955} \} \\ & [(\underline{E}c: B.c: X)] \\ &= \{ \text{pred. calc} \} \\ & [(\underline{E}c: B.c: \text{true}) \wedge X] \\ &= \{ \text{rel. between } \underline{E} \text{ and } \underline{N} \} \\ & [(\underline{N}c: B.c: \text{true}) \geq 1 \wedge X] \\ &= \{ B \text{ is partitioning} \} \\ & [X] \end{aligned}$$

(End of Proof.)

We now observe

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\underline{Q}c: B.c: U=R.c)] \wedge [(\underline{Q}c: B.c: V=R.c)] \\ &= \{ \text{EWD955} \} \\ & [(\underline{A}c: B.c: U=R.c)] \wedge [(\underline{A}c: B.c: V=R.c)] \\ &= \{ \text{pred. calc.} \} \\ & [(\underline{A}c: B.c: U=R.c \wedge V=R.c)] \\ &\Rightarrow \{ \text{pred. calc.} \} \\ & [(\underline{A}c: B.c: U=V)] \\ &= \{ \text{Lemma 0} \} \\ & [U=V] \end{aligned}$$

From which the uniqueness follows.

But does the solution of (2) always exist? It does if "yellow" means "boolean"; then we can write it down in closed form, viz.

$$(3) \quad (\underline{Q}c: B.c: R.c)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Proof } & [(\underline{Q}c: B.c: (\underline{Q}d: B.d: R.d) \Rightarrow R.c)] & (x) \\
 & = \{EWD 955\} \\
 & [(\underline{A}c: B.c: (\underline{Q}d: B.d: R.d) \Rightarrow R.c)] \\
 & = \{\text{pred. calc}\} \\
 & [(\underline{Q}d: B.d: R.d) \Rightarrow (\underline{A}c: B.c: R.c)] \\
 & = \{EWD 955\} \\
 & \text{true}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(\underline{Q}c: B.c: (\underline{Q}d: B.d: R.d) \Leftarrow R.c)] & (xx) \\
 & = \{EWD 955\} \\
 & [(\underline{E}c: B.c: (\underline{Q}d: B.d: R.d) \Leftarrow R.c)] \\
 & = \{\text{pred. calc}\} \\
 & [(\underline{Q}d: B.d: R.d) \Leftarrow (\underline{A}c: B.c: R.c)] \\
 & = \{EWD 955\} \\
 & \text{true}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (x) and (xx) follows that (3) solves (2).
(End of Proof.)

For "yellow" another than boolean, we postulate that (2) has a solution. As \underline{Q} stands traditionally for \underline{A} or \underline{E} , we invent a new notation; in view of the close connection with the case expression, we write the solution of (2) as

$$(4) \quad (\underline{I}c: B.c: R.c)$$

Note For "yellow" meaning "real" or "integer", \underline{I} could stand for \underline{MIN} , \underline{MAX} , \underline{S} (um), or \underline{P} (roduct). For these operations the analogue of the one-point rule holds.
(End of Note.)

Now we can formulate

Theorem "function f is punctual" \equiv

" $[f.(Qc: B.c: R.c) = (Qc: B.c: f.(R.c))]$
for all R and partitioning B "

Proof

LHS \Rightarrow RHS

With $[Z = (Qc: B.c: R.c)]$, we derive for any R and B

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{RHS} \\
 &= \{\text{def. of } Z\} \\
 & [f.Z = (Qc: B.c: f.(R.c))] \\
 &= \{\text{def. of } Q, (2) \text{ with } Z, R.c := f.Z, f.(R.c)\} \\
 & [(Qc: B.c: f.Z = f.(R.c))] \quad (***) \\
 &\Leftarrow \{\text{LHS, i.e. (1) with } X, Y := Z, R.c\} \\
 & [(Qc: B.c: Z = R.c)] \\
 &= \{\text{def. of } Z \text{ and } Q, (2)\} \\
 & \text{true}
 \end{aligned}$$

RHS \Rightarrow LHS

For arbitrary X and Y , we have to show, using RHS,

$$[X=Y \Rightarrow f.X = f.Y]$$

In view of (***) we shall derive this by proper instantiation of RHS, viz.

- c ranges over the two boolean structures $X=Y$ and $X \neq Y$
- B is the identity function; in view of $[(X=Y) \neq (X \neq Y)]$, B is partitioning
- $[R.(X=Y) = X]$ and $[R.(X \neq Y) = Y]$

With Z , as above: $[Z = (Qc: B.c: R.c)]$, Z is with this instantiation given as the unique solution of (see (2)), with $Q := \underline{E}$,

$$Z: [(X=Y \wedge Z=X) \vee (X \neq Y \wedge Z=Y)]$$

of which the -only!- solution Z is given by

$$[Z=Y]$$

After this groundwork we proceed

RHS

$$= \{ \text{see } (***) \text{, and } \underline{Q} := \underline{A} \}$$

$$[(\underline{A}c: B.c: f.Z = f.(R.c))]$$

$$\Rightarrow \{ \text{with the given instantiation, } c := (X=Y) \}$$

$$[X=Y \Rightarrow f.Z = f.(R.(X=Y))]$$

$$= \{ [Z=Y] \text{ and } [R.(X=Y) = X] \text{ and } (0) \}$$

$$[X=Y \Rightarrow f.Y = f.X]$$

(End of Proof.)

Acknowledgement The above was written with A.J.M. van Gasteren looking over my shoulder.

Austin, 14 May 1986

prof. dr. Edsger W. Dijkstra
 Department of Computer Sciences
 The University of Texas at Austin
 Austin, TX 78712-1188
 United States of America