

F.L. Bauer's Conjecture is F.L. Bauer's Theorem

During the recent Marktobendorf Summer School - to be precise: during the dinner at the end of the Excursion on Wednesday 6 August 1986 - Fritz Bauer conveyed to me the following conjecture:

For positive integer  $k$  and prime  $p$  exceeding 3:

$$\binom{k \cdot p}{p} / k - 1 \text{ is a multiple of } p^3 .$$

\* \* \*

Replacing  $k$  by  $k+1$ , we have to establish for natural  $k$

$$\binom{(k+1) \cdot p}{p} / (k+1) - 1 \text{ is a multiple of } p^3 ,$$

or, by virtue of the definition of the binomial coefficient

$$\frac{\prod_{i: 1 \leq i < p: (k \cdot p + i)}}{\prod_{i: 1 \leq i < p: i}} - 1 \text{ is a multiple of } p^3 ,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\prod_{i: 1 \leq i < p: (k \cdot p + i)} - (p-1)! \text{ is a multiple of } p^3 .$$

To this end, we develop the product into powers of  $k \cdot p$ :

$$\prod_{i: 1 \leq i < p: (k \cdot p + i)} \\ = (p-1)! +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& k \cdot p \cdot \left( \sum_{i: 1 \leq i < p: (p-1)!/i} \right) + \\
& (k \cdot p)^2 \cdot \left( \sum_{i,j: 1 \leq i < j < p: (p-1)!/(i \cdot j)} \right) + \\
& \text{higher powers of } k \cdot p
\end{aligned}$$

The demonstrandum thus follows from the following two lemmata:

Lemma 0: For prime  $p$  such that  $p > 3$

$$\left( \sum_{i: 1 \leq i < p: (p-1)!/i} \right) \pmod{p^2} = 0$$

Lemma 1: For prime  $p$  such that  $p > 3$

$$\left( \sum_{i,j: 1 \leq i < j < p: (p-1)!/(i \cdot j)} \right) \pmod{p} = 0$$

In these summations we are going to combine terms whose denominators sum up to (a multiple of)  $p$ . In the following,  $n$  is given by

$$2 \cdot n + 1 = p$$

For Lemma 0, this allows us to rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \sum_{i: 1 \leq i < p: (p-1)!/i} \right) \\
= & \{ \text{splitting the range} \} \\
& \left( \sum_{i: 1 \leq i \leq n: (p-1)!/i} \right) + \left( \sum_{i: n < i < p: (p-1)!/i} \right) \\
= & \{ \text{renaming the second dummy: } i := p-j \} \\
& \left( \sum_{i: 1 \leq i \leq n: (p-1)!/i} \right) + \left( \sum_{j: 1 \leq j \leq n: (p-1)!/(p-j)} \right) \\
= & \{ \text{combining summations over equal ranges} \} \\
& \left( \sum_{i: 1 \leq i \leq n: (p-1)!/i + (p-1)!/(p-i)} \right) \\
= & \{ \text{arithmetic} \} \\
& p \cdot \left( \sum_{i: 1 \leq i \leq n: (p-1)!/(i \cdot (p-i))} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and, consequently, the proof obligation of Lemma 0 can be discharged by showing Lemma 2:

Lemma 2 For prime  $p$  such that  $p > 3$  (and  $2 \cdot n + 1 = p$ )

$$\left( \sum_{i: 1 \leq i \leq n: (p-1)! / (i \cdot (p-i)) \right) \underline{\text{mod}} p = 0$$

or, equivalently,

$$\left( \sum_{i,j: 1 \leq i < j < p \wedge i+j=p: (p-1)! / (i \cdot j) \right) \underline{\text{mod}} p = 0$$

From the last rewriting we see that Lemma 2 is concerned with a subset of the terms summed in Lemma 1. Before pursuing Lemma 2, let us inspect the remaining terms in Lemma 1. Since

$$i+j=p \vee i+j < p \vee i+j > p$$

we analyse

$$\left( \sum_{i,j: 1 \leq i < j < p \wedge i+j < p: (p-1)! / (i \cdot j) \right)$$

$$= \{ \text{arithmetic} \}$$

$$\left( \sum_{i,j: 1 \leq i < j < p-i: (p-1)! / (i \cdot j) \right)$$

$$= \{ \text{nesting summations} \}$$

$$\left( \sum_{i: 1 \leq i \leq n: \left( \sum_{j: i < j < p-i: (p-1)! / (i \cdot j) \right) \right)$$

in which the inner summation can be rewritten

$$\left( \sum_{j: i < j \leq n: (p-1)! / (i \cdot j) \right) + \left( \sum_{j: n < j < p-i: (p-1)! / (i \cdot j) \right)$$

$$= \{ \text{renaming the second dummy: } j := p-h \}$$

$$\left( \sum_{j: i < j \leq n: (p-1)! / (i \cdot j) \right) + \left( \sum_{h: i < h \leq n: (p-1)! / (i \cdot (p-h)) \right)$$

$$= \{ \text{combining summations over equal ranges} \}$$

$$\left( \sum_{j: i < j \leq n: (p-1)! / (i \cdot j) + (p-1)! / (i \cdot (p-j)) \right)$$

$$= \{ \text{arithmetic} \}$$

$$p \cdot \left( \sum_{j: i < j \leq n: (p-1)! / (i \cdot j \cdot (p-j)) \right)$$

We trust that, after the above, the reader believes

as well that the terms with  $i+j > p$  add up to a multiple of  $p$ . Hence also the proof obligation of Lemma 1 has been reduced to proving Lemma 2.

On the proof of Lemma 2 I spent at least five vain hours looking for a nice combinatorial argument in the style of my proof of Wilson's Theorem (EWD742). [This was psychologically very strange because all the time I knew that the effort was ill-directed since ruling out the primes 2 and 3 would not fit in it. And yet I tried for more than five hours .....]

Eventually I found an argument by considering  
(i) that Lemma 2 is the same as

"computing  $(\sum_{i: 1 \leq i < n} 1/(i \cdot (p-i)))$  by finding the common denominator leads to a fraction with a numerator that is a multiple of  $p$ "

(ii) the computation under (i) can be done while reducing all intermediate results modulo  $p$ .  
So much for the heuristics.

For given  $p$  we consider the  $p$  "restclasses", i.e. the infinite sets of integers such that any two of them differ by a multiple of  $p$ . For any rational fraction  $x/y$  such that  $y \pmod p \neq 0$  we define the rest class  $[x/y]$  by

$$[x/y] = \{z \mid x \pmod p = y \cdot z \pmod p\}$$

(On account of  $y \pmod p \neq 0$  and  $p$  being prime it is not difficult to see that the equation

$$y: (x \pmod p = y \cdot z \pmod p)$$

has solutions that form a rest class.)

We can now define an arithmetic on rest classes by

$$[a] + [b] = [a+b] \quad [a] - [b] = [a-b]$$

$$[a] \cdot [b] = [a \cdot b]$$

$$[a] / [b] = [a/b] \quad \text{provided } [b] \neq [0],$$

and may use  $[a] = [b] \equiv [a] - [b] = [0]$

$$[x/y] = [(x+p)/y]$$

$$[x/y] = [x/(y+p)]$$

$$[x] \cdot [y] = [0] \equiv [x] = [0] \vee [y] = [0] \quad \text{etc.}$$

The important thing to observe is that among the  $p$  rest classes there are  $n+1$  "squares", i.e. rest classes of the form  $[x^2]$ . They are  $[0]$ , with  $[0]$  as its only square root and the  $n$  "positive squares"  $[i^2]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  with  $[i]$  and  $[p-i]$  as their square roots. From

$$\begin{aligned} [i^2] &= [j^2] \\ &= [i^2 - j^2] = [0] \\ &= [i-j] = [0] \vee [i+j] = [0] \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that  $[0]$  and the  $n$  positive squares are all different.

After these preliminaries we are ready to attack  
Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(\sum_{i: 1 \leq i \leq n} (p-1)! / (i \cdot (p-i))) ] \\
 &= [(p-1)!] \cdot (\sum_{i: 1 \leq i \leq n} [1 / (i \cdot (p-i))]) \\
 &= -[(p-1)!] \cdot (\sum_{i: 1 \leq i \leq n} [1 / i^2]) \quad *) \\
 &= -[(p-1)!] \cdot (\sum_{i: 1 \leq i \leq n} [i^2]) \\
 &= -[(p-1)!] \cdot [(\sum_{i: 1 \leq i \leq n} i^2)] \\
 &= -[(p-1)!] \cdot [n \cdot (n+1) \cdot (2 \cdot n+1) / 6] \quad **) \\
 &= [0]
 \end{aligned}$$

\*)  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \wedge [1/i^2] = [1/j^2]$   
 $= 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \wedge [(i^2 - j^2) / i^2 \cdot j^2] = [0]$   
 $\Rightarrow i = j$ , hence it is a sum of  $n$  different squares.  
 As  $[0]$  is not among them, it is the sum of the  
 $n$  positive squares.

\*\*) Remember  $2 \cdot n + 1 = p$ ;  $n \cdot (n+1) \cdot p / 6$   
 has a factor  $p$  for prime  $p \geq 5$ .

Austin 22 August 1986

prof. dr. Edsger W. Dijkstra  
 Department of Computer Sciences  
 The University of Texas at Austin  
 Austin TX 78712-1188  
 USA