EWD 100

EWD1000-0

Twenty-eight years

Twenty-eight years ago I wrote EWDO

Twenty-eight years ago I wrote EMDO.

The was during a kind of scientific interregnum: my thesis and the basic software for the XI had been completed and I could not stort on the Algolicompiler because Algoli 60 had not been defined yet. I did all sorts of unrelabed things. It was, for instance, the year that I published -at last-my algorithms for the shortest path and the shortest spanning tree. It was also a time of explorations. At a given moment I was working an Eur or five different manuscripts. Of course, the pages of each manuscript were numbered; after I had mixed up pages between manuscripts for the second time, I decided that I had better end that cumfusion, and numbered the manuscripts as well: EMDO. EMDI, EMDI, EMDI. And that is how it started.

I don't remember their topics, nor whether they have been completed. The oldest EWD of which I remember the topic is EWD6, on which I worked in Paterswolde in the "Familiehotel", where, in the early summer, I spent a holiday with my young family. It was on my discovery how to implement recursion. I vividly remember my conscious search for a good name for the device, good in the sense that it would yield both a noun and a verb.

of Mathematics had disbanded the group I had built up. My degradation from esteemed colleague to dangerous campetitor caused me to suffer from a depression that lasted more than half a year. END249 marked my recovery.

The fate of EWD 249 revealed that the by then ubiquitous copying machine had profoundedly changed the pattern of scientific communication. I had mailed the pattern of actentific communication. I had mailed only 20 copies to friends in Europe and the USA, but it spread around the world like wildfire to the extent that only a few years later 1819 felt forced to steel the term. Reple from farcoway places have later told me that in, say, 1971 they had a 6th-or 7th generative copy! No fature history of science can ignore the change the advent of the copying machine has made.

In the mean time I privately owned a second Hermes Fimbasacder and, but for the copying machine, I was fully equipped for my life as Burroughe Research Fellow, which sharbed in August 1978 around EWD 376. Prior to that, primarily my trip reports — in Dulkh— were distributed among my colleagues. (I gad the ideo of writing trip reports from Brian Randell, who had acquired the habt in the years he worked at IBM, Yorkthow Heights. It seemed such a good habit that I immediately adopted it.)

ELD 1000.6

a distinction between the digit 0 and the capital letter O. (For that reason. all my thermes machines had their ten digits from a different type fount). And I did my own typing for the practical reason that it took me less time than the detour via a typist. I have been accused of chowing off my productivity by numbering my manuscripts; I have to have convinced you that, if you are in the business of withing, it is very practical to have a uniform way of identifying your manuscripts. Lotely I have been accused of using my handwriting as a cheep trick to enhance my visibility. I have been accused of using my practical reasons. (Celtura a simple doing wheel with the appropriate special characters is not a simple operation; on my foundain pens I get them for free.) So much for some self-defence.

On a more contented note: I am reasonably pleased with life, about as pleased as we poor mortals may be allowed to be, and in this content ment the EUD-series plays a major role. If there is one "scientific" discovery I am proved of, it is the discovery of the habit of writing without publication in mind. I experience it as a liberating habit without it, doing the worthecomes one thing and writing it down becomes another one, which is often viewed as an unpleasant burden, when working and writing have merged, that burden has been taken away.

At the time, I worked in Dutch and come up with the noun "stapet" and the verb "stapelen", which I subsequently translated into "a stack" and "to stack". (Evidently, the term was fortunate, for within a few genes after I had used it in my article "Re-cursive programming", it had established itself in the working vacabulary of the computing community.)

I then allowed symbil's the lowest of a portable typercriter, which I till
have. It had some bresters, I it had breen too. I could also type—and
for one ARCA coupling and recorded all sorts of conventions and interferes.
This was porter to know sections, but byping on its opport with a piece of
combon secret in the back, one could make or original from white couples read
combon secret when the could make or original from white couples read
combon secret when the couple of the couples of the couples of
combon secret when the couples of the couples of the couples of
combon secret when the couples of the couples are the readine secret with
the couples of the couples of the couples are the couples of
the couples of the couples of the couples of the couples of
the couples of the couples of the couples of the couples of
the couples of the couples of the couples of the couples of
the couples of the couples of the couples of
the couples of the couples of the couples of
the couples of the couples of the couples of
the couples of the couples of the couples of
the couples of the couples of
the couples of the couples of
the couples of the couples of
the couples of
the couples of the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the couples of
the c

Fround May 1961, in something like EWB31, I introduced the P. and V-operations on semaphores. By the time I went to the Technological University of Eindhoven - Sept. 1962. I was an expert typist. Pisked whether I had any operial winders in those days new professors were supposed to have operial winders in shocked my future colleagues by asking an electric Hermes Ambassador with the Techno Elike type Panhand operial characters according to my specifications. (I shacked them because in those days, professors were not supposed to do their own typing.) I mention my request for an electric typesorter because it shows that already in those days. I viewed writing as a major component of working.

ENDisco-4

The major change was that now I tended to assign END: numbers to completed manuscripts. This was facilitated by the fast that uncompleted manuscripts became more rare. Ter the nest fixe years or so I would decide for each END to whom I would send it and would make precisely that number of copies at the Eindhoven branch of Xerox. I still regarded the opportunity of making copies at luxury - many END's were typed at single spacing-; I also prided myself at the low cost with which I ran my little research outfill. Early 1988 I privately bought a DM capier, I charged the paper to Burroughs and began to maintain a regular mailing list.

to maintain a regular mailing list.

The suntch from lyped to handwritten EMD's took place halfway EMD'91-7 -29 Od 1979, when my ttermes developed a technical trouble. The treasition become more or less definite when my little 81 copier (which had been too cheap) got more and more problems with the sharp thin lines of the termes: Techno Elith was a very nice distinguished and exclusive!— type fount, but it was hard on copying equipment. After having verified al Eurrough that the people there had not the slightest objection to handwritten EUTA, the transition become almost complete. I was, as said, an expert lypist, but I still preferred writing over typing. (The large therms with its heavy carriage wise very noisy)

EWD 1000 - 7

EWD1000-7
The habit is also liberating in a much mere profound sease. I consider, for instance, EWD975 on the Theorem of Pythagaros - of all theorems!- a major achievement of last semester. It was an unadulterated placeure to until it, one of its recipients called it "absolutely bloody marvellous". Had I only written with publication in mind, it would after mentioned recipient have been denied his enjoyment, I would have been so too what I sent out was Sunday's version, which I could only write after the discovery that I could still improve on Solurdays version. The only way to discover that a neglected or ignored topic is worth writing about is to write about it.

The EWD-series seems a little unusual. If it is The EMD-series seems a little unusual. It it is sufficiently unusual to represent a novel style of doing science, the development of that style may be one of my major contributions. (In passing I note that lamong scientists of the next generation the style has become less unusual than among those of my own generation.)

In any case I shauk the recipients of the EMD-series for their polience to beer with me. Will out providing much feedbade, they proved to be an inspiring audience. But, copying machines being what they are, I have not the foggiest notion

EWD1000-2

EWD123, "Cooperating Sequential Processes" was written in 1965 and served as lecture notes for my course in the fall senester of their year. (The problem of the Dring Owinterle - laker dubbed "The Dring Thosphers" by Tony Horse- was the examination problem at the end of that semester.) The rate with which the EWD-numbers increased was in those days not a measure of my productivity: I assigned numbers when I storted on manuscripts and many of them were not completed. (My first year in Einshoven I suffered from a witer's block, Every thing I wrote disappeared before completion into the wasterpaper basket, until I discovered the cause: if I geared my leat to my former colleagues of the Malfway that my former colleagues of the Malfway that my former colleagues of the Malfway that my former colleagues that ho my new colleagues in Einshoven would not understood it, and if I goared my test to my new colleagues in Einshoven would not understood it, and if I goared my test to my new colleagues, my former ones would think it inspired I only freed myself from that writer's block by the conscious decision not to write for a target audience but to write primarily to please myself!)

A nest milestone was EwD249 "Notes on

A next milestone was EWD249 "Notes on Structured Programming" written primarily for therapautic reasons - and partly while I was in hospital - in 1869. In response to the completion of the THE Multiprogramming System - and, I am affaid, in response to its success- the Department

EWD1000-5

[Wilson-5] [The only advantage of it is an advantage of lyping over writing is that typing anables me to cran almost twice as much text on a page. The gain in speed is ignorable. It makes some difference in relaxed letter writing. 1000 words/h when typed, 750 words/h when handwritten. But technical EWD's are written -even after all the mathematics have been clone - at a speed of 200 words/h, i.e. about 3 words minute. The rest of the time is taken up by thinking. In that situation, mechanical aids for getting characters an paper are clearly irrelevant.]

Eventually Burroughs installed a Tookiba copier in my Nuenen office. When UT asked me whether I had any special wishes -accasionally, new progressors are still asked that question! I answered a copier according to my specifications. A Tookiba now accupies the space originally intended for the washer and the drujer (which have been banished to the garage); by now it has made more than \$2,000 copies.

Well, thoi's how it all happened. Of course I hove been blamed for all my deviations from average behaviour. (The touchy interpret it as implicit criticism.) I have been blamed for lyping all my own shift on a typs writer that was specialaularly different from all other machines in the department. But I really needed a

EWD 1000 - 8

of whom I reach. I therefore have am

Important Request Would everybody and this time I really mean everybody - who sees this test be so kind as to inform me that he or she has seen it? A postcard shoring name (and affiliation) suffices. (End of Important Request.)

Austin, 11 January 1987

profid. Edger W. Dijksha, Department of Camputer Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Nastra, TX 78712-1188 United States of America