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Abstract
The recent growth in the size and availability of large triangular surface models has generated interest in compact multi-resolution progressive representation and data transmission. An ongoing challenge is to design an efficient data structure that encompasses both compactness of geometric representations and visual quality of progressive representations.

In this paper we introduce a topological layering based data-structure and an encoding scheme to build a compact progressive representation of an arbitrary triangular mesh (a 2D simplicial complex in 3D) with attached attribute data. This compact representation is composed of multiple levels of detail that can be progressively transmitted and displayed. The global topology, which is the number of holes and connected components, can be flexibly changed among successive levels while still achieving guaranteed size of the coarsest level mesh for very complex models. The flexibility in our encoding scheme also allows topology preserving progressivity.

1 Introduction
The design of compact progressive representations for triangular surface models has been a fundamental topic of recent research because of the continual growth of internetworked 3D graphics. High resolution scanning devices for object reconstruction or simulations on supercomputers have resulted in ever increasing generation and use of large surface models in scientific visualization. Geometry compression is currently being worked into the MPEG 4 3D coding standard by the MPEG-SNHC working committee [19].

The primary difficulty in designing an effective progressive and compact encoding scheme is to meet constraining requirements like progressivity, compression capability and visual efficacy. Such schemes are therefore evaluated by their trade-offs and flexibility in prioritizing the different properties or adaptation to the needs of the particular visualization applications.

Representation Power. Representation power is the class of domain models that a scheme can correctly handle. Different schemes can represent different surfaces that could be open or closed, simple or high-genus, orientable or non-orientable, manifold or non-manifold. For instance, isosurfaces of physical functions generated by a scientific simulation can be high genus and non-manifold. Analytic mathematical surfaces can be non-orientable, like the Möbius strip or Klein bottle. Increasingly 3D CAD modeling systems include the creation and processing of non-manifold geometries.

Compression. The representation of large surfaces for storage or network transmission needs be space efficient. Compressed representation can make effective use of disk space and network bandwidth as well as substantially reduces network transfer time.

Progressivity. A progressive representation has several advantages. First, it enhances high performance interactivity with large remotely archived models because compressed data can be incrementally transmitted and displayed. Second, the embedded bit stream can be truncated at any point by the decoder to create an error-bounded approximation of the model with exact bit rate control.

Topology Constraints. A topology constrained scheme does not change the genus or number of connected components of input surfaces. Strict constraints definitely limit the size of the coarsest mesh it can produce. For high genus objects or surfaces with many components this limitation can make the scheme impractical because substantial simplification can only be obtained by removing holes and/or merging components. On the other hand, topology preserving lossy compression can be essential for certain applications where small geometry error is tolerable, but topology features should be preserved. Ideally, a multiresolution representation should have the flexibility of trading off topology preserving and non-preserving strategies.

Multilevel Mapping. In a multi-resolution representation scheme the correspondence between geometry and associated attributes (like color, textures and normals) is best maintained by constructing continuous maps between different levels of resolution.

In this paper we introduce a new compact multiresolution representation scheme which has the above criteria and provides the flexibility of trading visual quality and adaptability for storage complexity and topology. Priority can be chosen according to the requirements of a particular application. This scheme is able to express arbitrary triangular meshes. That is, it can be used to compactly encode and progressively retrieve any set of triangles without any constraint on the topological type, orientability or manifold characterization. The non-manifold mesh in Figure 1 has sixteen components, with the adjacent two sharing a non-
are two basic operations in this PM scheme: edge collapse of details that can be used to refine the coarse mesh. There resolution coarse mesh together with an ordered sequence and vertex split. Each vertex split operation adds a new compression because most meshes do not have subdivision arbitrary simplicial complexes could be problematic.

The last three pictures show enlarged local details of three intermediate simplified mesh levels in this multiresolution schema, \( V = \text{vertices}, F = \text{triangle faces} \).

![Figure 1: A multiresolution representation of a non-manifold mesh model of a pearl necklace based on topological layering.](image)

Progressive Forest Split (PFS) of Taubin et. al. is a compact multiresolution representation for any manifold mesh [23]. PFS decomposes a given mesh by a low resolution level-of-detail and a sequence of forest split operations. The forest split operation is specified by a forest in the graph of vertices and edges of the mesh, a sequence of simple polygons, and a sequence of vertex displacements. Lee et al. [14] construct smooth parameterizations of irregular connectivity triangulation of genus 2-manifolds. A hierarchical simplification technique is used so that a parameterization of the original mesh over a coarse base mesh is obtained. To get an approximation with a specified error bound, the base mesh is hierarchically subdivided by the Loop method [16]. This multiresolution adaptive parameterization and remeshing technique can be used for progressive transmission of polygonal meshes in applications that do not require perfect connectivity recovery.

Kobbelt et al provide an interactive multiresolution modeling approach by building a hierarchy of nested spaces for unstructured data [13]. De Floriani et al. propose a general framework for multiresolution hierarchical representation [6] where the refinement step is the replacement of a portion of the mesh. Due to the high storage cost they experiment with a variation of their data-structure that improves storage efficiency and allows progressive transmission [5].

In this paper, progressive connectivity transmission is based on the construction of multiresolution surfaces for arbitrary triangular meshes, including non-manifold and high genus. For an arbitrary given mesh, its geometry and connectivity information is first organized by a layering structure. In this structure, vertices are further grouped into contours while triangles are merged into strips or fans. Through operations on these basic geometric primitives, multiresolution surfaces can be constructed with flexibility.
on topology preservation/non-preservation. Triangle contrac-
tions without any constraints are the fundamental op-
erations. The coarsest mesh can be as small as the single
vertex mesh. Our progressive scheme compares favorably
with PM [10, 11, 21] for its visual efficacy and matches the
compactness of PFS [23]. It holds a much larger input
mesh domain than PFS and has the flexibility of topological
preserving/non-preserving progressive meshes.

Our multiresolution surfaces are constructed in two
phases: the first phase represents mesh topology and details
in a compact way; the second phase provides flexible reso-
lution degree and may change the mesh topology while the
base mesh and details are still expressed in an economical
way.

Topological Layering

Our topological layering structure [1, 25] is inspired by
the layering scheme that is used to construct vertex spanning
trees for manifold meshes in [24].

The topological layering structure based on vertex neigh-
borhood is used to encode the connectivity information of
arbitrary triangular meshes as well as to index and estab-
lish local neighborhood and the second order of predictor/
corrector geometry encoding scheme. The input meshes
are partitioned into two basic kinds of layers: vertex lay-
ers and triangle layers. The kth vertex layer is a randomly
chosen vertex (could be a chain of vertices) of the mesh. The
kth vertex layer (with k > 0) includes a vertex V if V is
not included in any previous vertex layer and there exists
an edge E = (V, V') where V' is included in the (k - 1)th
vertex layer. The kth triangle layer (with k > 0) includes a
triangle T if T has one vertex in kth vertex layer and T is
not in any previous triangle layer. This decomposition of
the input triangular mesh provides a “Morse encoding” [17]
capturing the branching and extreme points of edge cycles boundary holes and components. We use this
effectively for generating both topological preserving and
non-preserving simplifications.

The topological layering structure has the property that
any mesh edge and thus any triangle can only span two
vertex layers. Therefore all edges are classified into two
categories: transversals and chords. A chord is an edge
that connects two vertices in different vertex layers while
a transversal is an edge that connects two vertices in the
same vertex layer.

Geometric Primitives

There are four geometric primitives: contours, branching
points, triangle strips, and triangle fans (see Figure 2).

A contour is an ordered chain of vertices 
{v0, v1, . . . , vn} in a vertex layer where each vertex
pair (vi, vi+1) is connected by a transversal edge and every
intermediate vertex vi (0 ≤ i < n) is incident on exactly
two transversal edges. In a closed contour v0 is coincident
with vn, and if n is 0 the contour degenerates to an isolated
point. Contours are built in a greedy fashion by connecting
vertices with transversal edges. A branching point is a
vertex of a vertex layer which is incident to more than two
transversal edges. By this definition, a branching point is
contained in more than one contour and thus it can only be
either the starting or the ending vertex of a contour.

A triangle strip is an ordered sequence of triangles in
a triangle layer where each pair of consecutive triangles
share a common edge which is a chord. All the vertices
of a strip belong to exactly two contours in two adjacent
vertex-layers. Triangle strips are constructed using a greedy
approach by merging triangles that share chords.

A triangle fan is an ordered sequence of triangles in a

---

![Figure 2: Contour, branching point, triangular strip and fan.](image-url)

---

3 Multiresolution Topological Cou-ntouring

The topological layering structure [1, 25] is first extended
to a multiresolution representation.

3.1 Mesh Simplification and
Progressive Reconstruction

The multiresolution layering representation is composed of a
course mesh M0 along with a sequence of details
D0, Dk−1, . . . , D1. The mesh simplification procedure
starts with the finest mesh M0 and decomposes it into detail
D1 and a coarser mesh M1. Iteratively, the procedure gen-
ernates the details D1, D2, . . . , Dk and the base level coarsest
mesh M0. We allow for flexible topology preserving and
non-preserving multiresolution representation.

(i) Topological layering based simplification that simpli-
fies the mesh while preserving the layering structure
of the input mesh $M$ with a very compact representation. In this stage the topology of the mesh is not modified.

(ii) Topological non-preservation simplification which is based on a generalized triangle contraction primitive [8]. The details generated at this stage are relatively expensive to express in the encoded bit stream. However it produces better quality simplification and guarantees the progress of the simplification. For this reason this second stage is applied only to generate the coarser levels especially when storage needs become progressively negligible.

### 3.2 Topological Layering Based Simplification

The layering based simplification uses two basic decimation operations: the intra-layer simplification that removes vertices within a contour without changing the topology; and the inter-layer simplification that removes an entire contour.

#### 3.2.1 Intra-layer Simplification

In the intra-layer simplification a local vertex removal is performed with retriangulation and it maintains the layering structure.

Figure 5 shows the transition of a simple strip when some vertices in its child and parent contours are removed. One obvious characteristic of this simplification is that the simplified strip still has the same parent and child vertex contours.

The selection of the vertices to be removed in one contour is based on three sufficient criteria to guarantee topology preservation and the compact encoding of the details. First, there are at least three vertices remaining in the contour of the candidate vertex. Second, the starting vertex and the ending vertex of a contour cannot be decimated in the same mesh transition. Finally, two consecutive vertices in a contour cannot be decimated in the same mesh transition.

#### Connectivity Details

Figure 6 shows the decimation procedure of a contour with connectivity details. The solid vertices are decimated while the gray ones remain. One bit is associated with each remaining vertex: the value of this bit is 1 if its successor is decimated and is 0 otherwise.

Connectivity reconstruction of a contour is straightforward. Starting from a bit string encoding the contour detail, one bit is associated with each edge in the contour. If the bit value of an edge is 1, it is split into two edges. Otherwise, the edge is not split.

The remaining information necessary for the encoding is related to the modification of triangle strips incident on the decimated contour. Figure 7 (left) shows a star polygon around the vertex $v$ to be removed. Vertices $v_L$, $v_R$ lie on the same contour as $v$. $v_1$, $v_2$ and $v_3$ are chord-connected in the previous vertex layer. The remaining vertices are chord-connected to the next layer. To preserve the layering structure after the decimation a new transversal edge must be added to connect $v_L$ to $v_R$. The first half, approximated for excess, of the vertices in the previous and next layer are connected to $v_L$. The second half, approximated for defect, of the vertices in the previous and next layer are connected to $v_R$. The middle vertices of the previous and next layer are connected to both $v_L$ and $v_R$. With this fixed retriangulation rule, the details, sufficient to recover the original...
triangulation, are the numbers of vertices in the previous
and next layer that are connected to v.

Figure 7: Constrained retriangulation and detail extraction
for one transition of intra-layer simplification.

Simplification Order The order of the simplification procedure
goes as follows. For a single component, it goes from the
first vertex layer to the last one; for each vertex-layer, it
goes from the first contour to the last one; for each contour,
it goes from the starting vertex to the ending vertex. If multiple
simplification steps are performed, the above procedure executes multiple times. The reconstruction procedure follows the exact reverse order.

3.2.2 Inter-layer Simplification

In the inter-layer simplification stage the decimation operation is the removal of a contour. Consequently, the gap left between the two adjacent contours of the removed one must be retriangulated. There are three conditions on the decimation of an entire contour.

- Its two adjacent contours in the previous and next vertex layers do not contain branching points;
- The error introduced by the contour decimation does not exceed a given tolerance.
- The gap left must be triangulatable.

Connectivity Detail The detail necessary to reconstruct the topology needs to include the configuration of the removed contour and the modification of the triangulation induced by the decimation.

The contour itself is simply characterized by the number of its vertices plus one bit set to 1 if it is an open contour or 0 if it is closed. For example, in Figure 8 an open contour of eight vertices is being decimated with the detail (0,8).

For retriangulation, the two strips sharing the removed contour are replaced by a single strip using [2]. This new strip is confined to have a chord, called constraining chord, that is corresponding to every pair of triangles having a common edge on the removed contour. The middle picture of Figure 8 shows this correspondence in dotted lines. Figure 8 also displays the final retriangulation. To reconstruct a fine triangulation from the coarse strip it is sufficient to know which edges are constraining chords. We express the details as an ordered sequence of small integers. Each integer stands for the number of triangles in the coarse strip that lie between two consecutive constraining chords. The starting edge of a coarse strip is always a constraining chord.

In the reconstruction procedure, the bit stream of the details, are used to locate all the constraining chords. Every chord is then turned into a pair of triangles. By connecting the sequence of edges shared by the pairs of triangles, a new contour (the removed one in the simplification procedure) is formed. Any vertex in the two contours of the coarse strip that is not chord-connected to the new contour is connected to the same vertex as its predecessor.

4 Topology Non-preserving Simplification

Since the intra-layer and inter-layer simplifications are constrained to be topology preserving, there is a limit on the level of simplification that can be achieved. To guarantee the progress of mesh simplification process it is sometimes necessary to change the topology of the input mesh [22, 7]. We introduce a topology non-preserving generalization of our scheme based on the triangle contraction primitive introduced in [8].

In our scheme the input mesh to the topology non-preserving simplification is the coarsest mesh generated by the layering based simplification of section 3 after several intra-Layer and inter-Layer simplification rounds.

Generalized Triangle Contraction When a triangle t is contracted, all its vertices are merged into a single point p. The index used for p after the contraction is the the smallest among the indices of the vertices of t.

As shown in [8] p is chosen to be the best local shape fit to achieve its high visual quality. The downside of this approach is high storage cost that arises from storing both p and the three vertices of t. For lower storage overhead we choose p to be a fixed linear combination of the vertices of t so that we only store as detail p and two vertices of t. The third vertex of t is recovered by inverting the linear constraint between p and t. In our implementation we choose p to be the barycenter of t.

Every triangle incident to t is classified into three categories:

- type I: one vertex in common with t
- type II: two vertices in common with t
- type III: three vertices in common with t

In most cases, there are no triangles of type III. But if there are such triangles, the details can still be efficiently coded: First, a small number is used to indicate the number of all type III triangles. Then for each such triangle, a bit is used to specify its orientation with respect to the contracted triangle.

Figure 9 shows the procedure of one triangle contraction and the corresponding triangle classification. The contracted triangle t is black-colored. After the contraction, the
non-manifold edge of the original mesh is removed and the topology is changed.

![Figure 8](image1.png)

Figure 8: Inter-layer simplification. (left) Fine level being decimated. (center) Constraining chords drawn on the corresponding triangle pairs. (right) Coarse level and connectivity detail.

![Figure 9](image2.png)

Figure 9: Topology non-preserving simplification by triangle contraction.

Connectivity Detail Before starting the triangle contraction procedure we encode the topological layering structure of the mesh which implicitly induces the vertex numbering to be used later. The index order of the vertices is key information that the decoder requires to correctly reconstruct subsequent layers.

For each decimation operation, one bit is spent to indicate if \( t \) is actually a triangle of the mesh or a triangular hole. Type I triangles remain after each contraction operation, but the indices of their coincident vertices may change. The detail of the triangle contraction needs to record this change. Let the vertex indices of \( t \) be \( v_0, v_1, \) and \( v_2 \), with the indices \( v_0 < v_1, v_0 < v_2 \). One bit is used to report if the index of the common vertex with type I triangle is \( v_0 \) or not. If this is the case no more information is needed. Otherwise, another bit is used to report if the common vertex is either \( v_1 \) or \( v_2 \). Type I triangles are sorted by the two index values of the non-common vertices and then, in this order, their details are stored.

Type II triangles degenerate into edges. The index of the vertex that is not common with \( t \) must be reported in detail. Moreover three bits are used to distinguish which edge they share with \( t \). Isolated vertices may appear after a triangle contraction because of the degeneration of type II triangles. We record the indices and geometry (possibly, with other attributes) of such isolated vertices when they occur.

Decimation Priority Two parameters are used to prioritize the order of the triangle contractions. The first parameter is the area \( A(t) \) of the contracted triangle \( t \). Small size triangles are considered first since they affect the overall mesh shape the least. The second parameter is a measure used to avoid producing sliver triangles. We define the sliver factor \( S(t) \) of a triangle \( t \) to be the ratio between the perimeter \( P(t) \) of \( t \) and the maximum perimeter of its adjacent triangles:

\[
S(t) = \max_{s \in \text{Adj}(t)} \frac{P(s)}{P(t)}
\]

where \( \text{Adj}(t) \) is the set of triangles adjacent to \( t \). The topological non-preserving simplification procedure creates a priority queue with all the triangles of the mesh. The priority key associated with each triangle \( t \) is the product of its area by the sliver factor \( A(t)S(t) \). The triangle with smallest key is selected for contraction. After the contraction, the removed triangle and its degenerate neighbors are removed from the queue. Other affected triangles have their key values updated and the priority queue is adjusted correspondingly. Unless a stopping criterion is set the procedure makes progress until the mesh becomes empty.

Figures 3 and 4 exhibit the reconstruction of simple "eight" and "foot" models. All the three simplification operations are used. As can be seen, the displayed coarsest mesh only consists of one triangle. It is obvious that triangle contraction changes topology.

5 Geometry Encoding

Geometry data may affect progressive transmission dramatically because it usually takes more space to encode. The main problem is its need to efficiently represent in the bit stream the positions of removed vertices. Linear prediction is often used to predict the position of a vertex from encoded positions of its adjacent vertices. In the following, we will describe how second order predictive and entropy coding is used in our multiresolution representation.

Intra-layer Decomposition The geometry detail of a decimated vertex is the difference between its predicted position and its actual position of a vertex. A simple predictive coding would take the center of the segment that the vertex splits. An alternative and potentially more accurate way is to build a quadratic Bézier curve that interpolates the coarse contour and to take the midpoints of the curvilinear sides instead of the straight lines of the original contour. Prediction in this way produces correction vectors with less variation and are thus more suitable for entropy coding.

Inter-layer Decomposition For a contour removed in the inter-layer decomposition procedure, vertex positions are encoded in the same way as the single-resolution geometry coding. Linear [3], high-order [24], and parallelogram predictors [15] can also be used. We use a second-order predictor to encode the geometry (similarly for attached properties). The basic idea is as follows. First, linear prediction is used to get a set of correction vectors which are parameterized and quantized using spherical coordinates \((r, \phi, \theta)\). With the observation that the code difference of two successive correction vectors has small variation, the second-order prediction is then performed in the code space. The geometric error is bounded by the maximum quantization distortion. The coding scheme is also designed so that error propagation is prevented[1, 25]. In our implementation, classical entropy codings such as Huffman coding [12] and Arithmetic coding [18] are used to further improve space
efficiency.

Topology Non-preserving Decomposition

For each decimation operation, the three vertices of the contracted triangle are merged into a single point. The remaining vertex of the triangle takes the center of the triangle as its geometry position. The positions of all removed vertices during this operation are encoded by predictions on this central position. In the reconstruction process, the remaining vertex, which is recovered at earlier stage, is pushed back to its true position when the other two vertices are recovered.

6 Performance Analysis and Results

Storage Analysis of Connectivity Details Vertex ordering is crucial for efficient storage of the details. Hoppe's progressive mesh (PM) needs log(n) bits to specify the vertex from which a new vertex is split. Thus the overall connectivity cost of PM is (n log(n) + 5). Our scheme squeezes off the O(log(n)) factor by taking advantage of the locality property of the layering structure. The space efficient representation is gained through the local indexing technique.

Progressive Mesh (PM) needs off the no + nz bits are used to express details if no + nz vertices are removed in an intra-layer decomposition run. Practically shows that nearly one-half of vertices in a contour can be removed in an intra-layer decomposition run. Practice shows that nearly one-half of vertices in a contour can be removed in each decomposition run. On average, 4.5 = nm bits are used to express details if nm (0 ≤ α ≤ 0.5) vertices are removed with the number of vertices before decomposition.

Inter-layer Decomposition Suppose that three involved contours have n0, n1, and n2 vertices, respectively. Then the two strips totally contain about n0 + 2n1 + n2 triangles. Assume that number is n0 + 2n1 + n2. The cost of coding the bit match string for the coarse level strip is about n0 + n2 bits since there exists that number of triangles in the coarse level strip. From the way the details are expressed, their coding bits are equal to the summation of the triangle number of the coarse level strip and the cost of coding the n1 separators.

Topology Non-preserving Decomposition

According to the analysis above, the coding cost in bits is linearly proportional to the number of removed vertices with a small linear factor. No vertex indices are needed to be encoded and thus no log(n) factor. For topology non-preserving decomposition, the logarithmic factor comes back but with a much smaller n because topology non-preserving decomposition are usually performed on a much coarser mesh obtained after several steps of the topological layering simplification.

Geomorph

For intra-layer simplification, the transition from one level to the next can be performed by continuous deformation using two vertex splits followed by one edge contraction. Figure 10 shows the reconstruction process where the vertices vL and vR are temporarily split into (vL, vL') and (vR', vR). Then the edge (vL', vR') contracts into the vertex v. For inter-layer simplification, the transformation from one level to the next can be performed by continuous deformation. For example, the geomorph from a coarse level to a finer one is performed by inserting a vertex at the midpoint of each chord edge in the coarse. The midpoints are connected by a sequence of dummy edges which split the strip triangles into coplanar triangles so that the surface geometry is not altered yet. Then, while the midpoint of the remaining vertex, which is recovered at earlier stage, is pushed back to its true position when the other two vertices are recovered.

Figure 10: Geomorph for the reconstruction from an Intra-Layer detail.

Figure 11: Mapping between adjacent levels of resolution for Intra-Layer Simplification.

Similarly for the case of intra-layer simplification, we can map both the fine and the coarse triangulation to a common rectangle R. The two triangulations of R corresponding to fine and coarse levels induce a bijective mapping between points at different levels of resolution.

For topology non-preserving operations, the mapping between adjacent levels of resolution is implemented in a way similar to [14] by overlapping the local retiangulations generated by the triangle declination primitive. This can be accomplished directly when the topology is not changed. Hence we stop building the multilevel map as soon as the topology non-preserving mode is selected.

Results

For geometry encoding, 12 bits are used to code each coordinate of correction vectors of vertices decimated in the topology non-preserving phase, while 10 bits for correction vectors of vertices decimated in the topology preserving phase. The two coding-bit numbers can flexibly be adjusted, dependent on the fidelity requirements of the application. Generally, more bits should be used for coding vertices that appear in coarser levels (thus recovered earlier) because better prediction can be achieved in this way.

7 Conclusion

We have presented a scheme for progressive encoding and transmission of arbitrary collections of triangles. A successive quantization scheme [1, 23] is used to support progressive bit transmission. We also presented a technique to decompose an arbitrary triangular surface mesh into a coarse mesh with additionally several levels of detail. The combination of intra-layer and inter-layer decomposition provides a compact encoding of both the coarse mesh and these details. Topology non-preserving decomposition increases the
resolution degree without much sacrifice of space efficiency. The scheme also has the flexibility for topology preserving simplification as well as is capable of geomorphs and the successive mapping property.
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Table 1: Coding statistics of multiresolution representation. T: number of triangles; C/T: connectivity cost in bits that is needed to recover each triangle; (G+C)/T: total cost in bits to recover each triangle. G: geometry encoding cost; C: connecting encoding cost.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Duck</th>
<th>Bunny</th>
<th>Crocodile</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Horse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>ΔC/ΔT</td>
<td>Δ(G + C)/ΔT</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>(G+C)/T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>9,315</td>
<td>17,869</td>
<td>18,955</td>
<td>34,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔC/ΔT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ(G + C)/ΔT</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G+C)/T</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>8,589</td>
<td>12,105</td>
<td>12,701</td>
<td>16,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔC/ΔT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ(G + C)/ΔT</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G+C)/T</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1,020</td>
<td>3,919</td>
<td>5,469</td>
<td>12,272</td>
<td>48,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔC/ΔT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ(G + C)/ΔT</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G+C)/T</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>3,122</td>
<td>5,496</td>
<td>5,922</td>
<td>9,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔC/ΔT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ(G + C)/ΔT</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G+C)/T</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangle Count</td>
<td>Triangle Percentage</td>
<td>Encoded Bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,293</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>16,384 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18,955</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>49,539 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36,553</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>90,737 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69,473</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>165,060 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>7,417 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,701</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>48,563 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23,272</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
<td>79,759 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34,404</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>106,294 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,919</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>17,589 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48,591</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>126,133 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84,495</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
<td>232,476 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165,963</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>415,998 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,516</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>6,485 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,150</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>16,566 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13,188</td>
<td>59.2%</td>
<td>37,400 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22,258</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>58,080 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12: Compact multiresolution representation. The first numerical number at the bottom of each picture gives the number of triangles, the second gives the encoded byte size and the third is the percentage of object size with respect to the original one.
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