

Laws of Propositional Logic

Jayadev Misra

9/24/98

- (Commutativity and associativity) \wedge, \vee, \equiv are commutative and associative.
- (idempotence) \wedge, \vee are idempotent:
 $p \vee p = p$
 $p \wedge p = p$
- (Distributivity of \wedge and \vee)
 $p \vee (q \wedge r) = (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
 $p \wedge (q \vee r) = (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
- (absorption)
 $p \wedge (p \vee q) = p$
 $p \vee (p \wedge q) = p$
- (Laws with Constants)
 $p \wedge \text{true} = p, p \wedge \text{false} = \text{false}$
 $p \vee \text{true} = \text{true}, p \vee \text{false} = p$
 $p \wedge \neg p = \text{false}, p \vee \neg p = \text{true}$
 $p \equiv p \equiv \text{true}, p \equiv \neg p \equiv \text{false}$
- (Double Negation)
 $\neg \neg p = p$
- (DeMorgan)
 $\neg(p \wedge q) = (\neg p \vee \neg q)$
 $\neg(p \vee q) = (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
- (Implication)
 $(p \Rightarrow q) = (\neg p \vee q)$
 $(p \Rightarrow q) = (\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p)$
If $(p \Rightarrow q)$ and $(q \Rightarrow r)$ then $(p \Rightarrow r)$, i.e., $[(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r)] \Rightarrow [p \Rightarrow r]$
- (Equivalence)
 $(p \equiv q) = (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
 $(p \equiv q) = [(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow p)]$

Quantification We use quantification in writing arithmetic and boolean expressions. In all cases, the form of a quantification is as follows: (*op dummy: range: body*), where *op* is an operator, described below, *dummy* is a variable (or a list of variables), *range* defines the constraints on *dummy*, and *body* is used to construct the expression. The *op* may be an arithmetic or boolean operator; it is required to be associative and commutative.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (+i : 0 \leq i \leq N : A[i]) \\
& (\forall i : 0 \leq i < N : A[i] \leq A[i+1]) \\
& (\forall i, j : 0 \leq i \leq N \wedge 0 \leq j \leq N \wedge i \neq j : M[i, j] = 0)
\end{aligned}$$

To evaluate such an expression: (1) first compute all possible values of the *dummy* that satisfy *range*, (2) next instantiate the *body* with each value of the dummy computed in (1), and (3) finally, join the instantiated expressions in (2) using the operator *op*. Thus, the first expression is the sum of the values in array $A[0..N]$. The second expression is *true* if A is sorted in ascending order. The next expression has two dummies; it is a boolean expression that is *true* if all off-diagonal elements of matrix $M[0..N, 0..N]$ are zero.

In quantified boolean expressions, we often use the existential quantifier, \exists , and universal quantifier, \forall , in place of \vee and \wedge . We often omit the *range* to denote that the *dummy* ranges over all its possible values. Realize that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\forall i : q : b) & \text{ is same as } (\forall i :: q \Rightarrow b), \text{ and} \\
(\exists i : q : b) & \text{ is same as } (\exists i :: q \wedge b).
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise: Show that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\forall i : q \wedge r : B) & \text{ is same as } (\forall i : q : r \Rightarrow b), \text{ and} \\
(\exists i : q \wedge r : b) & \text{ is same as } (\exists i : q : r \wedge b).
\end{aligned}$$

The following rules are extremely useful. In the first two cases i should not occur in p .

$$\begin{aligned}
p \vee (\forall i : q : b) & \text{ is same as } (\forall i : q : p \vee b) \\
p \wedge (\exists i : q : b) & \text{ is same as } (\exists i : q : p \wedge b) \\
\text{(De Morgan)} \\
\neg(\exists i : q : b) & \text{ is same as } (\forall i : q : \neg b) \\
\neg(\forall i : q : b) & \text{ is same as } (\exists i : q : \neg b)
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise Why are the following not valid?

$$\begin{aligned}
p \wedge (\forall i : q : b) & \text{ is same as } (\forall i : q : p \wedge b) \\
p \vee (\exists i : q : b) & \text{ is same as } (\exists i : q : p \vee b)
\end{aligned}$$