

Leads-to and Program Union

Notes on UNITY: 06-89

Ambuj K. Singh*

Department of Computer Sciences

The University of Texas at Austin

Austin, Texas 78712

(512) 471-9547

ambujsin@cs.utexas.edu

6/20/89

Composition of progress properties has so far been defined for ensures [1]. Here, conditions are developed for composition of \mapsto .

Let F, G be two programs that read/write variables x and let p, q, r, b be any predicates.

Theorem 0:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} p \mapsto q \text{ in } F \\ r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G \end{array}}{p \wedge r \mapsto q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G}$$

where k is a free variable.

First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} p \text{ ensures } q \text{ in } F \\ r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G \end{array}}{p \wedge r \text{ ensures } q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{ll} p \text{ ensures } q \text{ in } F & , \text{ assumption} \\ r \text{ unless } \neg r \text{ in } F & , \text{ antireflexivity} \\ p \wedge r \text{ ensures } q \vee \neg r \text{ in } F & , \text{ simple conjunction} \\ p \wedge r \text{ ensures } q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F & , \text{ consequent weakening} \\ p \wedge x = k \text{ unless } x \neq k \text{ in } G & , G \text{ reads/writes } x \\ r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G & , \text{ assumption} \\ p \wedge r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G & , \text{ conjunction} \\ p \wedge r \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G & , \text{ disjunction over } k \\ p \wedge r \text{ unless } q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } G & , \text{ consequent weakening} \\ p \wedge r \text{ ensures } q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ union theorem with (0)} \end{array} \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem: By induction on the proof of $p \mapsto q$ in F .

*This work was partially supported by ONR Contracts N00014-87-K-0510 and N00014-86-0763.

Base case: $p \text{ ensures } q \text{ in } F$

$$\begin{array}{ll} r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } x \neq k \text{ in } G & , \text{ assumption} \\ p \wedge r \text{ ensures } q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ Lemma} \\ p \wedge r \mapsto q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ definition of } \mapsto \end{array}$$

Induction step:

- $p \mapsto c \text{ in } F, c \text{ ensures } q \text{ in } F$

$$\begin{array}{ll} p \mapsto c \text{ in } F & , \text{ assumption} \\ r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G & , \text{ assumption} \\ p \wedge r \mapsto c \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ induction hypothesis} \\ p \wedge r \mapsto (c \wedge r) \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ predicate calculus} \\ c \text{ ensures } q \text{ in } F & , \text{ assumption} \\ r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G & , \text{ assumption} \\ c \wedge r \text{ ensures } q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ Lemma and above two} \\ p \wedge r \mapsto q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ transitivity with (1)} \end{array} \quad (1)$$

- $p \equiv \langle \exists i :: p.i \rangle$ and $p.i \mapsto q \text{ in } F$, for all i

$$\begin{array}{ll} p.i \mapsto q \text{ in } F & , \text{ assumption} \\ r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G & , \text{ assumption} \\ p.i \wedge r \mapsto q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ induction hypothesis} \\ p \wedge r \mapsto q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ disjunction over } i. \end{array} \quad \square$$

Corollary:

$$\frac{p \text{ until } q \text{ in } F \quad p \wedge x = k \text{ unless } q \text{ in } G}{p \mapsto q \text{ in } F \parallel G}$$

where $p \text{ until } q$ is defined to be $p \text{ unless } q \wedge p \mapsto q$.

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{ll} p \mapsto q \text{ in } F & , \text{ assumption} \\ p \wedge x = k \text{ unless } q \text{ in } G & , \text{ assumption} \\ p \mapsto q \vee \neg p \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ Theorem 0 and above two} \\ p \wedge x = k \text{ unless } q \text{ in } G & , \text{ assumption} \\ p \text{ unless } q \text{ in } G & , \text{ disjunction over } k \\ p \text{ unless } q \text{ in } F & , \text{ assumption} \\ p \text{ unless } q \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ union theorem} \\ p \mapsto q \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ PSP theorem with (2)} \end{array} \quad (2) \quad \square$$

Corollary: (The following result was discovered independently by Jay Misra [2].)

$$\frac{p \mapsto q \text{ in } F}{p \wedge x = m \mapsto q \vee x \neq m \text{ in } F \parallel G}$$

where m is free.

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x = m \text{ unless } x \neq m \text{ in } G & , \text{ antireflexivity} \\ x = m \wedge m = k \text{ unless } x \neq m \text{ in } G & , k, m \text{ are free} \\ x = m \wedge x = k \text{ unless } x \neq m \text{ in } G & , \text{ predicate calculus} \\ p \mapsto q \text{ in } F & , \text{ assumption} \\ p \wedge x = m \text{ unless } q \vee x \neq m \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ Theorem 0.} \end{array} \quad \square$$

Theorem 1:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} p R q \text{ in } F \\ r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G \end{array}}{(p \wedge r) R (q \vee \neg r \vee b) \text{ in } F \parallel G}$$

where R denotes one of *unless*, *ensures*, or \mapsto and programs F, G read/write variables x .

Proof: By considering the three possibilities for r separately.

- If R is *ensures* then the proof follows from the lemma to Theorem 0.
- If R is \mapsto then the proof follows from Theorem 0.
- If R is *unless* then the proof is as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ll} r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G & , \text{ given} \\ p \wedge x = k \text{ unless } x \neq k \text{ in } G & , G \text{ reads/writes } x. \\ p \wedge r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G & , \text{ conjunction} \\ p \wedge r \text{ unless } b \text{ in } G & , \text{ disjunction over } k \\ p \wedge r \text{ unless } q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } G & , \text{ consequent weakening} \\ p \text{ unless } q \text{ in } F & , \text{ given} \\ r \text{ unless } \neg r \text{ in } F & , \text{ antireflexivity} \\ p \wedge r \text{ unless } q \vee \neg r \text{ in } F & , \text{ simple conjunction} \\ p \wedge r \text{ unless } q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F & , \text{ consequent weakening} \\ p \wedge r \text{ unless } q \vee \neg r \vee b \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ union theorem with (3)} \end{array} \quad (3) \quad \square$$

Theorem 2:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} p \mapsto q \text{ in } F \\ r \Rightarrow G.FP \end{array}}{p \mapsto q \vee \neg r \text{ in } F \parallel G}$$

Proof: Let x be the set of variables read and written by F, G and let $G.FP$ denote the fixed point of G .

$$\begin{array}{ll} G.FP \wedge r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } false \text{ in } G & , \text{ stability at fixed point.} \\ r \wedge x = k \text{ unless } false \text{ in } G & , r \Rightarrow G.FP \\ p \mapsto q \text{ in } F & , \text{ assumption} \\ p \wedge r \mapsto q \vee \neg r \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ Theorem 0 and above two} \\ p \wedge \neg r \mapsto q \vee \neg r \text{ in } F \parallel G & , p \wedge \neg r \Rightarrow q \vee \neg r \text{ by predicate calculus} \\ p \mapsto q \vee \neg r \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ disjunction} \end{array} \quad \square$$

Corollary: (from [4])

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} p \mapsto q \text{ in } F \\ r \Rightarrow G.FP \\ r \text{ is stable in } F \end{array}}{p \wedge r \mapsto q \wedge r \text{ in } F \parallel G}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{ll} p \mapsto q \text{ in } F & , \text{ assumption} \\ r \Rightarrow G.FP & , \text{ assumption} \\ p \mapsto q \vee \neg r \text{ in } F \parallel G & , \text{ above theorem} \end{array} \quad (4)$$

$G.FP \wedge r \text{ unless false in } G$, stability at fixed point	
$r \text{ unless false in } G$, $r \Rightarrow G.FP$	
$r \text{ unless false in } F$, assumption	
$r \text{ unless false in } F \parallel G$, union theorem	
$p \wedge r \mapsto q \wedge r \text{ in } F \parallel G$, PSP theorem with (4)	□

References

1. K. Mani Chandy and Jayadev Misra, *Parallel Program Design: A Foundation*, Addison-Wesley, 1988.
2. Jay Misra, personal communication
3. Jay Misra, “General Conjunction and Disjunction Rules for *unless*,” *Notes on UNITY: 01-88*, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas, September 1988.
4. Jay Misra, “A Composition Theorem About Fixed Points,” *Notes on UNITY: 03-88*, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas, September 1988.