

A Generalization of the Completion Theorem

Notes on UNITY: 29–91

Jayadev Misra*

Department of Computer Sciences

The University of Texas at Austin

Austin, Texas 78712

(512) 471-9547

misra@cs.utexas.edu

12/20/91

The completion theorem is the following. For any finite set of predicates, $p.i$ s and $q.i$ s,

$$\frac{(\forall i :: \begin{array}{l} p.i \mapsto q.i \\ q.i \text{ unless } b \end{array})}{(\forall i :: p.i) \mapsto (\forall i :: q.i) \vee b}$$

We prove the following generalization.

Theorem

$$\frac{(\forall i :: \begin{array}{l} p.i \mapsto q.i \\ q.i \text{ unless } b \end{array})}{(\forall i : i \in S : p.i) \mapsto [(\forall i : i \in S : q.i) \wedge (\forall i : i \notin S : p.i \Rightarrow q.i)] \vee b}$$

Here S is any subset of predicate indices.

Corollary 1: Setting S to the complete set (of all indices) we obtain the completion theorem.

Corollary 2: Setting S to the empty set we obtain as the consequent,

$$true \mapsto (\forall i :: p.i \Rightarrow q.i) \vee b$$

Corollary 3: From the given antecedents, conclude

$$(\exists i :: p.i) \mapsto [(\forall i :: p.i \Rightarrow q.i) \wedge (\exists i :: q.i)] \vee b$$

*This material is based in part upon work supported by the Texas Advanced Research Program under Grant No. 003658–065, by the Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-90-J-1640 and by the National Science Foundation Award CCR–9111912.

Proof: From the theorem

$$\begin{aligned} p.j &\mapsto [q.j \wedge (\forall i : i \neq j : p.i \Rightarrow q.i)] \vee b \\ &\Rightarrow [(\forall i :: p.i \Rightarrow q.i) \wedge (\exists i :: q.i)] \vee b \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by taking the disjunction of the above *leads-to* over all j .

Note: The first disjunct in the rhs is the same as the rhs of “*unless* -disjunction”; see UNITY-01.

Proof of the Theorem (Due to Ernie Cohen)

Lemma: From the given antecedents

$$true \mapsto (\forall i :: p.i \Rightarrow q.i) \vee b$$

Proof: Assume that the predicate indices range over $0 \leq i < N$. Define

$$A.j \equiv (\forall i : 0 \leq i < j : p.i \Rightarrow q.i)$$

We show, $(\forall j : 0 \leq j \leq N : true \mapsto A.j \vee b)$. It then follows that $true \mapsto A.N \vee b$, which is the statement of the lemma. The proof is by induction on j .

$$\begin{aligned} j=0 : true &\mapsto true && , \text{ follows trivially} \\ j+1, j \geq 0 : &&& , true \\ \mapsto \{\text{induction hypothesis}\} &&& A.j \vee b \\ \Rightarrow \{\text{weakening}\} &&& (A.j \wedge \neg p.j) \vee p.j \vee b \\ \mapsto \{p.j \mapsto q.j, \text{cancellation}\} &&& (A.j \wedge \neg p.j) \vee q.j \vee b \\ \mapsto \{q.j \mapsto (A.j \wedge q.j) \vee b, \text{applying PSP to } true \mapsto A.j \vee b, q.j \text{ unless } b\} &&& (A.j \wedge \neg p.j) \vee (A.j \wedge q.j) \vee b \\ \equiv \{\text{rewriting}\} &&& [A.j \wedge (p.j \Rightarrow q.j)] \vee b \\ \equiv \{\text{definition of } A.(j+1)\} &&& A.(j+1) \vee b \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The proof of the main theorem is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} true &\mapsto (\forall i :: p.i \Rightarrow q.i) \vee b \\ & , \text{ from the lemma} \\ (\forall i : i \in S : q.i) &\text{ unless } b \\ & , \text{ simple conjunction over the } \textit{unless} \text{ properties} \\ (\forall i : i \in S : q.i) &\mapsto [(\forall i :: p.i \Rightarrow q.i) \wedge (\forall i : i \in S : q.i)] \vee b \\ & , \text{ PSP on the above two} \\ (\forall i : i \in S : p.i) &\mapsto (\forall i : i \in S : q.i) \\ & , \text{ completion theorem} \\ (\forall i : i \in S : p.i) &\mapsto [(\forall i :: p.i \Rightarrow q.i) \wedge (\forall i : i \in S : q.i)] \vee b \\ & , \text{ transitivity on the above two} \\ (\forall i : i \in S : p.i) &\mapsto [(\forall i : i \in S : q.i) \wedge (\forall i : i \notin S : p.i \Rightarrow q.i)] \vee b \\ & , \text{ predicate calculus on the rhs} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$