A Mechanical Proof of the Chinese Remainder Theorem

David M. Russinoff

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.

david.russinoff@amd.com
http://www.onr.com/user/russ/david

Informal Statement

Theorem Let $m_1, \ldots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ be pairwise relatively prime moduli and let $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists $x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$
 $x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$
 \vdots
 $x \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k}$.

If x' satisfies the same congruences, then

$$x' \equiv x \pmod{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k}.$$

ACL2 Formalization

```
(defun g-c-d (x y)
  (declare (xargs :measure (nfix (+ x y))))
  (if (zp x))
      У
    (if (zp y)
      (if (<= x y)
          (g-c-d x (- y x))
        (g-c-d (- x y) y))))
(defun rel-prime (x y)
  (= (g-c-d x y) 1))
(defun congruent (x y m)
  (= (rem x m) (rem y m)))
(defun congruent-all (x a m)
  (if (endp m)
      t
    (and (congruent x (car a) (car m))
         (congruent-all x (cdr a) (cdr m))))
(defthm chinese-remainder-theorem
    (implies (and (natp-all a)
                  (rel-prime-moduli m)
                  (= (len a) (len m)))
             (and (natp (crt-witness a m))
                  (congruent-all (crt-witness a m) a m))))
```

Informal Proof

Lemma 1 If $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ are relatively prime, then there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $sy \equiv 1 \pmod{x}$.

Lemma 2 If $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ and x is relatively prime to both y and z, then x is relatively prime to yz.

Proof of CRT: Let $M = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k$. For $i = 1, \dots, k$, let $M_i = M/m_i$ and find s_i such that $s_i M_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$. Let

$$x = a_1 s_1 M_1 + a_2 s_2 M_2 + \dots + a_k s_k M_k.$$

Then

$$x \equiv a_i s_i M_i \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$$
.

 $N \equiv 6 \pmod{25}$

Example

Suppose we have $10000 \le N \le 50000$ and

$$N \equiv 6 \pmod{25}$$

$$N \equiv 13 \pmod{36}$$

$$N \equiv 28 \pmod{49}$$

Then we may solve for N as follows:

$$M = 25 \cdot 36 \cdot 49 = 44100$$

$$M_1 = 36 \cdot 49 = 1764$$

$$M_2 = 25 \cdot 49 = 1225$$

$$M_3 = 25 \cdot 36 = 900$$

$$1764s_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{25} \iff 14s_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{25} \Leftrightarrow s_1 \equiv 9 \pmod{25}$$

$$1225s_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{36} \iff s_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{36}$$

$$900s_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{49} \Leftrightarrow 18s_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{49} \Leftrightarrow s_3 \equiv 30 \pmod{49}$$

$$a_1 = 6, a_2 = 13, a_3 = 28$$

$$x = a_1 M_1 s_1 + a_2 M_2 s_2 + a_3 M_3 s_3$$

$$= 6 \cdot 1764 \cdot 9 + 13 \cdot 1225 \cdot 1 + 28 \cdot 900 \cdot 30$$

$$= 867281$$

$$\equiv 29281 \pmod{44100}$$

$$N = 29281$$

Proof of Lemma 1

Lemma 1 If $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ are relatively prime, then there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $sy \equiv 1 \pmod{x}$.

This is a special case of the following:

For all $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that rx + sy = gcd(x, y).

The proof is by induction on x + y:

- (1) If x = 0, then r = 0 and s = 1.
- (2) If y = 0, then r = 1 and s = 0.
- (3) If $0 < x \le y$, then find r' and s' such that

$$r'x + s'(y - x) = \gcd(x, y - x) = \gcd(x, y)$$

and let r = r' - s' and s = s'. Then

$$rx + sy = (r' - s')x + s'y = r'x + s'(y - x) = gcd(x, y).$$

(4) If 0 < y < x, then find r' and s' such that

$$r'(x - y) + s'y = \gcd(x - y, y) = \gcd(x, y)$$

and let r = r' and s = s' - r'.

Formal Proof

```
(mutual-recursion
 (defun r (x y)
   (declare (xargs :measure (nfix (+ x y))))
   (if (zp x)
       0
     (if (zp y)
         1
       (if (<= x y)
           (-(r x (-y x)) (s x (-y x)))
         (r (-x y) y))))
 (defun s (x y)
   (declare (xargs :measure (nfix (+ x y))))
   (if (zp x)
       1
     (if (zp y)
       (if (<= x y)
           (s x (-y x))
         (-(s(-xy)y)(r(-xy)y)))))
)
(defthm r-s-lemma
    (implies (and (natp x)
                  (natp y))
             (= (+ (* (r x y) x)
                   (* (s x y) y))
                (g-c-d \times y)))
```

Proof of Lemma 2

Lemma 2 If $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ and x is relatively prime to both y and z, then x is relatively prime to yz.

This is a consequence of the following basic properties of gcd and primes:

- (1) gcd(x, y) divides both x and y.
- (2) If d divides both x and y, then d divides gcd(x, y).
- (3) If x > 1, then some prime divides x.
- (4) If a prime p divides ab, then p divides either a or b.

It would take some work to prove these in ACL2. Fortunately, there is a more direct route to CRT.

Alternate Approach

Lemma 3 Let $x, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p = y_1 \cdots y_k$. If x is relatively prime to each y_i , then there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that cx + dp = 1.

Proof: Let $p' = y_1 \cdots y_{k-1}$. Assume that

$$rx + sy_k = 1$$

and, by induction, that

$$c'x + d'p' = 1.$$

Then

$$(sd')p = (sy_k)(d'p')$$

= $(1 - rx)(1 - c'x)$
= $1 - (r + c' - rc'x)x$.

Thus, if c = r + c' - rc'x and d = sd', then

$$cx + dp = 1.$$

Formal Proof

```
(defun c (x 1)
  (if (endp 1)
      0
    (- (+ (r x (car 1))
          (c x (cdr 1)))
       (* (r x (car 1))
          (c x (cdr 1))
          x))))
(defun d (x 1)
  (if (endp 1)
      1
    (* (s x (car 1))
       (d x (cdr 1)))))
(defthm c-d-lemma
    (implies (and (natp x)
                  (natp-all 1)
                  (rel-prime-all x 1))
             (= (+ (* (c x 1) x)
                   (* (d x 1) (prod 1)))
                1)))
```

Definition of crt-witness

```
(defun one-mod (x 1)
  (* (d x 1)
     (prod 1)
     (d \times 1)
     (prod 1)))
(defthm rem-one-mod-1
    (implies (and (natp x)
                  (> x 1)
                  (natp-all 1)
                  (rel-prime-all x 1))
             (= (rem (one-mod x 1) x) 1)))
(defthm rem-one-mod-0
    (implies (and (natp x)
                  (> x 1)
                  (rel-prime-moduli 1)
                   (rel-prime-all x 1)
                  (member y 1))
             (= (rem (one-mod x 1) y) 0)))
(defun crt1 (a m l)
  (if (endp a)
      0
    (+ (* (car a) (one-mod (car m) (remove (car m) 1)))
       (crt1 (cdr a) (cdr m) 1))))
(defun crt-witness (a m) (crt1 a m m))
```

The Main Lemma

We prove the following generalization of CRT:

```
(defthm crt1-lemma
    (implies (and (natp-all a)
                   (rel-prime-moduli 1)
                   (sublistp m 1)
                   (= (len a) (len m)))
             (congruent-all (crt1 a m l) a m)))
The proof is by induction, as suggested by the definition:
(defun crt1 (a m l)
  (if (endp a)
      0
    (+ (* (car a) (one-mod (car m) (remove (car m) 1)))
       (crt1 (cdr a) (cdr m) 1))))
In the inductive case, the conclusion of the lemma expands
as follows:
(and (congruent (+ (* (car a)
                       (one-mod (car m) (remove (car m) 1)))
                    (crt1 (cdr a) (cdr m) 1))
                 (car a)
                 (car m))
     (congruent-all (+ (* (car a)
                        (one-mod (car m) (remove (car m) 1)))
                        (crt1 (cdr a) (cdr m) 1))
                     (cdr a)
```

(cdr m))).

The Final Result

CRT is derived as an instance of crt1-lemma: