## Problem Set \#3

This problem set is due at the start of class on Thursday, March 29th.
Fix an instance of the assignment game with $m$ buyers and $n$ sellers, and where all of the $\alpha_{i, j}$ values are integers. As a technical convenience, we assume that the set of buyers includes $n$ buyers $i$ such that $\alpha_{i, j}=0$ for each item $j$. (These buyers may be viewed as dummy buyers; we will use them to ensure that there is a stable assignment in which every item is assigned to a buyer.)

Let $p^{*}$ denote the minimum (i.e., buyer-optimal) stable price vector for this instance. In the lecture we saw how to use the (incremental) Hungarian algorithm to compute $p^{*}$. In this problem set, we analyze another method for computing $p^{*}$. For any price vector $p$, and any buyer $i$, we define $\operatorname{gap}(p, i)$ as the maximum, over all items $j$, of $\alpha_{i, j}-p_{j}$. Given any price vector $p$, let $\operatorname{yes}(p)$ denote the set of all buyers $i$ such that $\operatorname{gap}(p, i)>0$, let maybe $(p)$ denote the set of all buyers $i$ such that $\operatorname{gap}(p, i)=0$, and let $n o(p)$ denote the set of all buyers $i$ such that $\operatorname{gap}(p, i)<0$.

For any buyer $i$, we define $\operatorname{demand}(p, i)$ as the set of all items $j$ such that $\alpha_{i, j}-p_{j}=$ $\max \{0, \operatorname{gap}(p, i)\}$. For any set of items $S$, we define $\operatorname{confined}(p, S)$ as the set of all buyers $i$ in $y e s(p)$ such that $\operatorname{demand}(p, i)$ is contained in $S$. [NOTE ADDED 3/16/12: In the original version of the problem set, I had erroneously written "belongs to $S$ " at the end of the previous sentence, rather than "is contained in $S$ ".] We define $\operatorname{overdemanded}(p)$ as the collection of all sets of items $S$ such that $|\operatorname{confined}(p, S)|>|S|$. We define a subset of overdemanded $(p)$, denoted minimal $(p)$, as follows: A set $S$ in overdemanded $(p)$ belongs to $\operatorname{minimal}(p)$ if no proper subset of $S$ belongs to overdemanded $(p)$.

For any set of items $S$, we define $\operatorname{interested}(p, S)$ as the set of all buyers $i$ such that $\operatorname{demand}(p, i) \cap S$ is nonempty.

1. Let $p$ be a price vector such that $p \leq p^{*}$ (i.e, for any item $j, p_{j} \leq p_{j}^{*}$ ), let $S$ be a set of items in $\operatorname{minimal}(p)$, and let $p^{\prime}$ denote the price vector that is obtained from $p$ by incrementing the prices of all items in $S$ (i.e., for each item $j$ in $S, p_{j}^{\prime}=p_{j}+1$, and for each item $j$ that does not belong to $S, p_{j}^{\prime}=p_{j}$ ). Prove that $p^{\prime} \leq p^{*}$.
2. Consider the following nondeterministic algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ for computing a price vector $p$. Start by initializing $p$ to the all-zeros vector. Then, while overdemanded $(p)$ is nonempty, nondeterministically choose a set $S$ from $\operatorname{minimal}(p)$ and update $p$ by incrementing each $p_{j}$ such that $j$ belongs to $S$. It is easy to argue that this algorithm terminates. In the following parts, let $p$ denote the final price vector produced by some execution of algorithm $\mathcal{A}$.
(a) Use the result of question 1 to argue that $p$ is at most $p^{*}$.
(b) Prove that for any set of items $S$, we have $|\operatorname{interested}(p, S)| \geq|S|$. Hint: Use induction on the number of iterations performed by $\mathcal{A}$, and bear in mind the existence of the "dummy" buyers.
(c) Prove that there is an assignment $x^{\prime}$ such that every buyer $i$ in $\operatorname{yes}(p)$ is assigned to an item in demand $(p, i)$. Hint: It is known (Hall, 1935) that if $G=(U, V, E)$ is a bipartite graph such that every subset $U^{\prime}$ of $U$ has a neighborhood of size at least $\left|U^{\prime}\right|$ in $V$, then $G$ admits a matching $M$ such that every vertex in $U$ is matched in $M$. (The "neighborhood" of a subset $U^{\prime}$ of $U$ is the set of all vertices in $V$ that are adjacent to at least one vertex in $U^{\prime}$.)
(d) Prove that there is an assignment $x^{\prime \prime}$ such that every item $j$ is assigned to some buyer $i$ such that $j$ belongs to demand $(p, i)$. Hint: Make use of the result of part (b) and the hint of part (c).
(e) Prove that there is an assignment $x$ such that every buyer $i$ in $\operatorname{yes}(p)$ is assigned to an item in $\operatorname{demand}(p, i)$, and every item $j$ is assigned to some buyer $i$ such that $j$ belongs to demand $(p, i)$. Hint: It is known (Mendelson and Dulmage, 1958) that if bipartite graph $G=(U, V, E)$ admits a matching $M^{\prime}$ such that every vertex in a subset $U^{\prime}$ of $U$ is matched in $M^{\prime}$, and a second matching $M^{\prime \prime}$ such that every vertex in a subset $V^{\prime}$ of $V$ is matched in $M^{\prime \prime}$, then $G$ admits a matching $M$ such that every vertex in $U^{\prime} \cup V^{\prime}$ is matched in $M$.
(f) Let $x$ be an assignment satisfying the conditions of the previous part. Prove that there is a stable outcome $u, v, x$ such that $v=p$.
(g) Prove that $p=p^{*}$.
