Garbage Collection

Garbage Collection is Not New

Mark-Sweep

McCarthy, 1960



Mark-Compact

Styger, 1967



Semi-Space

Cheney, 1970




revised from Blackburn & McKinley

Garbage Collection Fundamentals

Algorithmic Components

Allocation

Free List



Bump Pointer Allocation




Identification

Tracing
(*implicit*)




Reference Counting
(*explicit*)



Reclamation

Sweep-to-Free



Compact



Evacuate



revised from Blackburn & McKinley

Garbage Collection Fundamentals: Text Description

- Algorithmic Components
  - Allocation (Same as explicit memory management)
    - Free List
    - Bump Allocation
  - Identification
    - Tracing – implicit
      - Traces pointers program objects to identify what is reachable in the program
      - Definitions in a few slides
    - Reference Counting – explicit
      - A programmer/ program keeps track of how many objects are using a pointer
  - Reclamation: taking back the heap
    - Sweep-to-Free
    - Compact
    - Evacuate
- Emphasis for this slide is on the Allocation and Identification components, description of Reclamation soon.

Evaluating Garbage Collection Algorithms

- Space efficiency
- Efficiency of allocator
  - Time to allocate
  - Locality of contemporaneously allocated objects
    - Why?
- Time to collect garbage
  - Is it fast?
  - Is incremental reclamation an option?

Runtime System Requirements

- Handle arbitrary request sequences
  - Memory may be allocated and freed in any order
- Make immediate responses to requests
  - Cannot reorder/buffer requests to improve performance
- Use only the heap
  - Any data structures (such as free list) used by `malloc()/free()` must be stored on the heap
- Align blocks (e.g., on 8-byte boundary)
  - Blocks must be able to hold any type of data object
- Not modify allocated blocks
  - Can only manipulate or change free blocks
  - Cannot modify (or move!) other blocks after they are allocated
  - Results in fairly simple allocation policies

Garbage Collection Brought to You by…

- “Safe” pointers!
  - Used in managed languages that provide garbage collection
  - Programs may not access arbitrary addresses in memory
  - The compiler can identify and provide to the garbage collector all the pointers, thus
  - “Once garbage, always garbage”
  - Runtime system can move objects by updating pointers

Identifying Garbage

What is Garbage?

- In theory, any object the program will never reference again
  - Called *dead* objects
  - Dead objects cannot be identified by the compiler or runtime system
- In practice, any object the program cannot reach is garbage
  - Called *unreachable* objects
  - How can we identify the unreachable objects?

Challenge


Performance efficiency!

Identifying garbage is hard and expensive.

- Time proportional to dead objects or live objects
  - Which depends on method used
- Collecting less frequently typically reduces total time but increases space requirements and pause times (Space/Time Tradeoff!)
  - *Pause time* is the time the program is paused from doing its work while the garbage is being collected


Reachability: Reference Counting

- Count the number of references to each object
- If the reference count is 0, the object is garbage
- Does not work for cycles




Reachability: Reference Counting

- Count the number of references to each object
- If the reference count is 0, the object is garbage
- Does not work for cycles




Reachability: Tracing

- Trace reachability from program *roots*
  - Roots are: registers, stack, static variables
- Marks the objects reachable from the roots
- Performs a transitive closure over them
- Objects not marked are unreachable




Reachability: Tracing

- Trace reachability from program *roots*
  - Roots are: registers, stack, static variables
- Marks the objects reachable from the roots
- Performs a transitive closure over them
- Objects not marked are unreachable




Reachability: Tracing

- Trace reachability from program *roots*
  - Roots are: registers, stack, static variables
- Marks the objects reachable from the roots
- Performs a transitive closure over them
- Objects not marked are unreachable




Reachability: Tracing

- Trace reachability from program *roots*
  - Roots are: registers, stack, static variables
- Marks the objects reachable from the roots
- Performs a transitive closure over them
- Objects not marked are unreachable




Reachability: Tracing

- Trace reachability from program *roots*
  - Roots are: registers, stack, static variables
- Marks the objects reachable from the roots
- Performs a transitive closure over them
- Objects not marked are unreachable



Reachability: Tracing

- Trace reachability from program *roots*
  - Roots are: registers, stack, static variables
- Marks the objects reachable from the roots
- Performs a transitive closure over them
- Objects not marked are unreachable



Reachability: Tracing Text Description

- Tracing
  - Marks the objects reachable from the root as reachable and then performs a transitive closure over them
- Example Setup
  - We are looking at 4 parts of the memory system
    - 1 global variable
    - 3 stack variables
    - Some register values, which are living in one of the stack variables (but we would look at the registers regardless)
    - Heap allocated memory
  - The global variable, stack variables, and registers all point to space allocated on the heap
- Steps:
  - 1. Mark the objects on the heap that are directly pointed to by our variables, which are the roots of our example
  - 2. Keep marking any objects that are reachable from those objects in the heap
    - For example, Stack variable B points to object alpha which points to object beta, which points to objects delta and omega. So alpha, beta, delta and omega are all reachable from B.
    - Must follow all trails until they end
  - 3. “Sweep” all unreachable objects
    - All unmarked objects are unreachable and can be reclaimed
    - Now that heap memory can be recycled
    - Note that unreachable cycles are also reclaimed
  - 4. Wait for garbage collection algorithm to be run again.


Collecting Garbage

Garbage Collection Fundamentals

Algorithmic Components

Allocation

Free List



Bump Pointer Allocation




Identification

Tracing
(*implicit*)




Reference Counting
(*explicit*)



Reclamation


Sweep-to-Free



Compact



Evacuate



revised from Blackburn & McKinley

Garbage Collection Fundamentals: Text Description


- This slide is a repeat of the one described in slide 9, but the emphasis has changed as we step through the algorithmic components of garbage collection.
- Algorithmic Components
  - Allocation
    - Free List
    - Bump Allocation
  - Identification
    - Tracing
    - Reference Counting
  - Reclamation - taking back the heap
    - Sweep-to-Free
    - Compact
    - Evacuate
- Emphasis for this slide is on the Reclamation component

Reclamation


Two broad approaches:

- Non-copying
  - Uses free-list allocation and reclamation
  - Only way for explicit memory management
  - Example: Mark-Sweep
- Copying
  - (Generally) uses bump pointer allocation
  - En masse reclamation (sort of)
  - Example: Mark-Compact, Semi-Space

Non-copying GC




Copying Garbage Collection



'from space'

'to space'

Garbage Collectors




Garbage Collectors: Text Description

- Mark-Sweep - McCarthy 1960
  - free-list + trace + sweep-to-free
- Mark-Compact - Styger 1967
  - Bump allocation + trace + compact
- Semi-Space - Cheney 1970
  - Bump allocation + trace + evacuate
- Focus of this slide is Mark-Sweep, which is described in the upcoming slides


Mark-Sweep

Garbage Collectors




Mark-Sweep

- Free lists organized by size (binning!)
- Most objects are small < 128 bytes




Mark-Sweep

- Allocation
  - Grab a pointer to free space off the free list




Mark-Sweep

- Allocation
  - Grab a pointer to free space off the free list




Mark-Sweep

- Allocation
  - Grab a pointer to free space off the free list




Mark-Sweep

- Allocation
  - Grab a pointer to free space off the free list
  - No more memory of the right size triggers a collection
  - Mark phase - find the reachable objects
  - Sweep phase - put unreachable ones on the free list





free lists



Mark-Sweep


- Mark phase
  - Transitive closure marking all the reachable objects
- Sweep phase
  - sweep the memory for unreachable objects populating free list






Mark-Sweep

- Mark phase
  - Transitive closure marking all the reachable objects
- Sweep phase
  - sweep the memory for unreachable objects populating free list




free lists




Mark-Sweep

- Mark phase
  - Transitive closure marking all the reachable objects
- Sweep phase
  - sweep the memory for unreachable objects populating free list




free lists




Mark-Sweep

- Mark phase
  - Transitive closure marking all the unreachable objects
- Sweep phase
  - sweep the memory for unreachable objects populating free list
  - can be made incremental by organizing the heap in blocks and sweeping one block at a time on demand




free lists



Mark-Sweep

- Space efficiency
- Incremental object reclamation
- Relatively slower allocation time
- Poor locality of contemporaneously allocated objects



Mark-Sweep: Text Description

- Free lists organized by size (binning!)
  - blocks of same size or
  - individual objects of same size
- Most objects are small < 128 bytes
- Free List Setup:
  - have free lists for sizes 4, 8, 12, 16, … 128
  - each list has x number of free chunks of memory that it tracks
    - each chunk resides somewhere in the heap
- Allocation
  - grab a pointer to free space off the free list
  - first object is allocated on the heap
    - more objects are allocated on the heap and referenced by this first object
  - no more memory of the right size triggers a collection
- Mark phase: find the reachable objects
  - transitive closure marking all the live objects
- Sweep phase
  - sweep the memory for unreachable objects populating free lists
    - free lists grow again during this phase
  - can be made in incremental by organizing the heap in blocks and sweeping one block at a time on demand
- Result:
  - Good space efficiency
  - Incremental object reclamation possible
  - Relatively slow allocation time
  - Poor locality of contemporaneously allocated objects
    - contemporaneous means allocated close to each other in time

Mark-Sweep Evaluation

Free List Allocation + Trace + Sweep-to-Free




Actual data, taken from geomean of DaCapo, jvm98, and jbb2000 on 2.4GHz Core 2 Duo

Mark-Sweep Evaluation: Text Description

- Allocator has a slow allocation time as opposed to other methods
- Algorithm is space efficient
- Allocation and recycled space method results in poor locality
- Garbage collection method is simple and very fast
- Total performance is decent

Mark-Compact

Garbage Collectors



Garbage Collectors: Text Description

- This slide is the same as the one described in 24, but the emphasis is shifted to mark-compact
- Mark-Sweep - McCarthy 1960
  - free-list + trace + sweep-to-free
- Mark-Compact - Styger 1967
  - Bump pointer allocation + trace + compact
- Semi-Space - Cheney 1970
  - Bump pointer allocation + trace + evacuate
- Focus of this slide is Mark-Compact
  - Mark-compact is very similar to mark-sweep
    - One additional reclamation step: copy all objects remaining in the heap to one end of the heap (compact)
    - That additional reclamation step allows mark-compact to use bump pointer allocation (rather than free-list)
  - There are no further slides describing mark-compact

Mark-Compact Evaluation

Bump Allocation + Trace + Compact




Actual data, taken from geomean of DaCapo, jvm98, and jbb2000 on 2.4GHz Core 2 Duo

Mark-Compact Evaluation: Text Description

- Allocator is fast and exhibits good locality
  - Better than mark-sweep
- Garbage collector has expensive multi-pass collection
  - Slower than mark-sweep
- Algorithm is space efficient
- Total performance is worse than that of mark-sweep

Semi-Space

Garbage Collectors




Garbage Collectors: Text Description

- This slide is the same as the one described in 24 and 39, but the emphasis is shifted to semi-space
- Mark-Sweep - McCarthy 1960
  - free-list + trace + sweep-to-free
- Mark-Compact - Styger 1967
  - Bump allocation + trace + compact
- Semi-Space - Cheney 1970
  - Bump allocation + trace + evacuate
- Focus of this slide is Semi-Space, which is described in the upcoming slides.


Semi-Space

- Fast **bump pointer** allocation
- Requires copying collection
- Cannot incrementally reclaim memory, must free en masse
- Reserves half of the heap to copy into, in case all objects are reachable



Semi-Space

- Fast **bump pointer** allocation
- Requires copying collection
- Cannot incrementally reclaim memory, must free en masse
- Reserves half of the heap to copy into, in case all objects are reachable




to space

from space

heap


Semi-Space

- Fast **bump pointer** allocation
- Requires copying collection
- Cannot incrementally reclaim memory, must free en masse
- Reserves half of the heap to copy into, in case all objects are reachable




Semi-Space

- Fast **bump pointer** allocation
- Requires copying collection
- Cannot incrementally reclaim memory, must free en masse
- Reserves half of the heap to copy into, in case all objects are reachable




Semi-Space

- Mark phase:
  - copies object when collector first encounters it




Semi-Space

- Mark phase:
  - copies object when collector first encounters it
  - performs transitive closure, updating pointers as it goes




Semi-Space

- Mark phase:
  - copies object when collector first encounters it
  - performs transitive closure, updating pointers as it goes




Semi-Space

- Mark phase:
  - copies object when collector first encounters it
  - performs transitive closure, updating pointers as it goes




Semi-Space

- Mark phase:
  - copies object when collector first encounters it
  - performs transitive closure, updating pointers as it goes
  - reclaims “from space” en masse




Semi-Space

- Mark phase:
  - copies object when collector first encounters it
  - performs transitive closure, updating pointers as it goes
  - reclaims “from space” en masse
  - start allocating again into “to space”




Semi-Space

- Mark phase:
  - copies object when collector first encounters it
  - performs transitive closure, updating pointers as it goes
  - reclaims “from space” en masse
  - start allocating again into “to space”



Semi-Space

- Notice:
  - fast allocation
  - locality of contemporaneously allocated objects
  - locality of objects connected by pointers
  - wasted space




Semi-Space
Text Description

- Fast bump pointer allocation
- Reserves half the heap to copy into, in case all objects are reachable
  - 2 parts of heap: “to space” and “from space”
- Originally objects are allocated into the “to space”
  - Once the “to space” has been fully allocated the trace algorithm is run
  - The “to space” becomes the “from space” as reachable objects are copied into the other part of the heap
- Mark Phase
  - Copies object when collection first encounters it
    - object is copied into the “to space” in the other half of the heap
  - Installs forwarding pointers
    - Forwarding pointers tell where the object is now in case another pointer still points to the old spot
  - Performs transitive closures, updating pointers as it goes
    - so “to space” will end up with only reachable objects in it
  - Collects “from space” en masse
    - So once all the reachable objects have been moved over the other half of the heap is just wiped clean
  - Start allocating again into “to space”
- Requires copying collection
- Cannot incrementally reclaim memory, must free en masse
- Result:
  - Awful space efficiency
  - Fast allocation time
  - Good locality of contemporaneously allocated objects
  - Good locality of objects connected by pointers

Semi-Space Evaluation

Bump Allocation + Trace + Evacuate



Actual data, taken from geomean of DaCapo, jvm98, and jbb2000 on 2.4GHz Core 2 Duo

Semi-Space Evaluation: Text Description

- Allocator is fast and has good locality
  - Its speed is the same as Mark-Compact (both use bump pointer)
  - Its locality is the best out of the 3
    - Copying during the trace causes objects that are related to be copied near each other
- Garbage collector is faster than mark-compact
  - Collection done in a single pass
- Algorithm is space inefficient
  - Can only use half the heap at a time
- Total performance is better than the other algorithms for large heap sizes

Question

True or false: older objects are more likely to survive garbage collection.


A. True
B. False

The Generational Heap Collector

Heap Organization


What objects should we put where?

- **Generational hypothesis**
  - **young objects die more quickly than older ones** (or, most objects have short lifetimes) [Lieberman & Hewitt'83, Ungar'84]
- Organize the heap into young and old, collect young objects preferentially




Generational Heap Organization

- **Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old**
- Allocate into the young space
- When the young space fills up,
  - collect it, copying into the old space
- When the old space fills up
  - collect both spaces




Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- **Allocate in to the young space**
- When the young space fills up,
  - collect it, copying into the old space
- When the old space fills up
  - collect both spaces




Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- Allocate in to the young space
- **When the young space fills up,**
  - **collect it, copying into the old space**
- When the old space fills up
  - collect both spaces



Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- Allocate in to the young space
- **When the young space fills up,**
  - **collect it, copying into the old space**
- When the old space fills up
  - collect both spaces



Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- Allocate in to the young space
- **When the young space fills up,**
  - **collect it, copying into the old space**
- When the old space fills up
  - collect both spaces




to space
Young

to space
Old

from space

Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- **Allocate in to the young space**
- When the young space fills up,
  - collect it, copying into the old space
- When the old space fills up
  - collect both spaces



Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- Allocate in to the young space
- **When the young space fills up,**
  - **collect it, copying into the old space**
- When the old space fills up
  - collect both spaces



to space
Young

to space
Old

from space

Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- Allocate in to the young space
- **When the young space fills up,**
  - **collect it, copying into the old space**
- When the old space fills up
  - collect both spaces




to space
Young

to space
Old

from space

Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- Allocate in to the young space
- **When the young space fills up,**
  - **collect it, copying into the old space**
- When the old space fills up
  - collect both spaces




to space
Young

to space
Old

from space

Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- Allocate in to the young space
- **When the young space fills up,**
  - **collect it, copying into the old space**
- When the old space fills up
  - collect both spaces




to space
Young

to space
Old

from space


Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- Allocate in to the young space
- When the young space fills up,
  - collect it, copying into the old space
- **When the old space fills up**
  - **collect both spaces**




Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- Allocate in to the young space
- When the young space fills up,
  - collect it, copying into the old space
- **When the old space fills up**
  - **collect both spaces**



Generational Heap Organization

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- Allocate in to the young space
- When the young space fills up,
  - collect it, copying into the old space
- **When the old space fills up**
  - **collect both spaces**



Generational Heap Organization: Text Description

- Divide the heap into two spaces: young and old
- Allocate into the young space
- When young space fills up
  - collect it, copying into the old space
- When the old space fills up
  - collect both spaces
    - move still live objects into new “to space”
  - generalizing to m generations
    - if space n < m fills up, collect n through n-1

Heap Memory Management Summary

- Heap is managed by the runtime environment, not the OS
- Discussed explicit and automatic memory management
  - Allocation policies: bump pointer, free list
  - De-allocation policies: free, various collection algorithms
  - Free-list management
- What principles did we learn about virtual memory management that we applied to heap memory management?
  - Programs have locality
  - Internal vs. external fragmentation
  - Keep paging to a minimum