

# Workshop IV - Sum of Squares Workshop

September 23, 2016

## 1 Pseudo-distributions

Recall that we can view the solution returned by  $d$  levels of the Sum of Squares hierarchy as a function  $\mu : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that there exists a formal "expectation" operator  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\mu$  which acts on functions as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\mu f = \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} \mu(x) f(x)$$

We say that  $\mu$  is a degree  $d$  pseudo-distribution if the above operator, called a pseudo-expectation, satisfies

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\mu 1 = 1$$

and for all polynomials  $p$  of degree at most  $d/2$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\mu p^2 \geq 0$$

Show that pseudo-expectation satisfies a version of Cauchy-Schwarz. In particular if  $\mu$  is a degree  $d$  pseudo-distribution show that for polynomials  $p, q$  of degree at most  $d/2$ ,

$$(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\mu pq)^2 \leq (\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\mu p^2)(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\mu q^2)$$

## 2 3 XOR Lower Bound

Suppose we are given a system of  $m$  equations over  $n$  variables in  $\{0, 1\}$  of the following form:

$$x_{i1} + x_{i2} + x_{i3} = a_i \pmod{2}$$

where  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . In this exercise we will show that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists such a system of equations such that every assignment  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  satisfies at most  $1/2 + \epsilon$  fraction of equations, but the optimal fraction of equations "satisfied" by  $\Omega(n)$  levels of the Sum of Squares hierarchy is 1.

It will be convenient to view our system of equations as a 3-left-regular bipartite graph  $G = (L \cap R, E)$  where there is a vertex in  $L$  for each equation, a vertex in  $R$  for each variable,

and we join each vertex  $v$  on the left to the three vertices on the right which correspond to the variables in the equation corresponding to  $v$ . Finally, we can write down the  $a_i$ s as a vector  $a \in \{0, 1\}^m$ .

First we show that a randomly selected system is with high probability not much more than  $1/2$  satisfiable.

## 2.1 Presentation 1 - Soundness

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let  $n$  be the number of variables. Suppose our system has  $m > 9n/\epsilon^2$  equations. Show that if we select an  $a \in \{0, 1\}^m$  uniformly at random, with probability  $1 - o_n(1)$  no assignment  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  satisfies more than  $1/2 + \epsilon$  fraction of equations.

Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph which is left  $d$ -regular. For a set of left vertices  $S$  we denote its set of neighbors  $\Gamma(S)$ . Suppose that for any subset  $S$  of left vertices of size at most  $s$ , that  $|\Gamma(S)| \geq \alpha|S|$ . We call such a graph a  $(d, s, \alpha)$  expander.

## 2.2 Presentation 2 - Expansion of Random Instances

Consider the following probabilistic construction of a system of equations. Independently for each triple  $x_i, x_j, x_k$ , we include them together in an equation with probability  $p/n^2$  where  $p$  is some number which depends on  $\epsilon$  but not  $n$ . Show that for some choice of constant  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ , that a graph sampled in this manner is a  $(3, \gamma n, 1.7)$  expander with probability at least  $0.9$ .

The upshot of the previous two exercises is that a randomly chosen set of equations is not very satisfiable, and its induced graph is an expander. Now, we will construct a pseudo-distribution  $\mu$  of degree  $d = \gamma n/10$  which "satisfies" all equations.

Given an equation  $x_{i1} + x_{i2} + x_{i3} = a_i$  we can encode it as a polynomial  $(1 - 2a_i)(1 - 2x_{i1})(1 - 2x_{i2})(1 - 2x_{i3})$ , which evaluates to 1 precisely when the equation is satisfied. Let  $\chi_S = \prod_{i \in S} (1 - 2x_i)$  for  $S \subset [n]$ , and let  $\chi_\emptyset$  be identically 1. Now, the space of polynomials (with boolean inputs) of degree  $\leq d$  is spanned by polynomials  $\{\chi_S : |S| \leq d\}$ , so to construct a degree  $d$  pseudo-distribution  $\mu$  we need only specify the value of  $\mathbb{E}_\mu$  on these polynomials.

## 2.3 Presentation 3 - Pseudo-Distribution Construction and Local Consistency

We will construct the pseudo-distribution  $\mu$  in the following way. First, we set

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu \chi_\emptyset = 1$$

Next, since it must satisfy  $(1 - 2a_i)(1 - 2x_{i1})(1 - 2x_{i2})(1 - 2x_{i3})$  for each equation  $x_{i1} + x_{i2} + x_{i3} = a_i$ , we set

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu}(1 - 2x_{i1})(1 - 2x_{i2})(1 - 2x_{i3}) = (1 - 2a_i)$$

Now, we continue in the following manner as long as possible: Pick a pair of subsets with  $|S|, |T| \leq d$  such that  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu}\chi_S$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu}\chi_T$  have been set. If it hasn't been set yet and  $|S \Delta T| \leq d$ , assign

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu}\chi_{S \Delta T} = (\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu}\chi_S)(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu}\chi_T)$$

Else if the left hand side has been set to a value other than the right hand side this process ends and fails. Finally if we can no longer continue this process, for all unassigned subsets  $S$  of size at most  $d$  we set

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu}\chi_S = 0$$

Show that if the graph associated with our system of equations is a  $(3, 10n, 1.7)$  expander, that the above process never fails.

## 2.4 Presentation 4 - Pseudo-Distribution is Positive Semidefinite

The last step is to show that we've constructed  $\mu$  as a valid pseudo-distribution. In particular prove that for a polynomial  $p$  with degree at most  $d/2$ ,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu}p^2 \geq 0$$

. Conclude that after  $\Omega(n)$  rounds of Sum of Squares heirarchy the SDP has an integrality gap of 2. In particular we've shown that Sum of Squares cannot outperform the trivial algorithm of a random assignment in polynomial time.