

# MULTIPLICITY CODES

Note Title

11/28/2011

## Motivational Spid

- I have heard of locally decodable codes.
- Seem like a very useful notion.
- But all applications have been negative (PCP, hardness of approx., average-case hardness, etc.)
- Why?

~~—————~~

## My take

- Rate matters in practice.
- Practitioners used to rates of 80%, 90%;
- LDCs don't work in this regime!

## Fix Notation

Codes:  $k$ -letter messages



$n$ -letter codewords.

## Recall Definition

- $(l, \epsilon, \delta)$ -LDC

-  $C_n$  maps  $\Sigma^k \rightarrow \Sigma^n$

-  $l(n)$  - locality (# queries)

- Corrects  $\epsilon(n)$  fraction errors w.p.

$1 - \delta(n)$

- $l$ -LDC

$\exists \epsilon, \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall n$ ,

$C_n$  is  $(l(n), \epsilon, \delta)$ -LDC

## Known results

### Positive Results

- Multivariate Polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} Q(n) &= n^\epsilon \\ \text{Rate} &= \epsilon^{\Theta(1/\epsilon)} \end{aligned} \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} Q(n) &= n^\epsilon \\ \text{Rate} &= \epsilon^{\Theta(1/\epsilon)} \end{aligned}} \right\} \text{high rate}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q(n) &= \ell = O(1) \\ n &= 2^{k^{1/2}} \end{aligned} \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} Q(n) &= \ell = O(1) \\ n &= 2^{k^{1/2}} \end{aligned}} \right\} \text{low rate}$$

- [YEKHTANIN, RAGHAVENDRA, EFREMENTKO]

$$Q(n) = O(1)$$

$$n = \exp(\exp((\log k)^{1/\log \ell}))$$

## Negative Results

[KATZ - TREVISAN], [KERENYIS, de WOLF]

• Binary DAC with  $l(n) = l = O(1)$   
must satisfy  $n \geq k^{1 + \Omega(\frac{1}{l})}$

•  $l = 3 \Rightarrow n = \Omega(k^2)$ .

• Say nothing if  $l = \omega(\log n)$ .

• Also nothing if  $|\Sigma| \rightarrow \infty$ ?  
[check...]

## IN PRACTICE ?

• Anything with  $l = o(k)$  interesting

• Best such setting  $l = \Theta(\sqrt{k})$   
 $R \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$

# RATE $\frac{1}{2}$ ? BIVARIATE POLYNOMIALS

Messages:  $Q(x, y) : \deg Q \leq d$

Encoding: Evaluations over  $\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$

Parameters:

$$n = q^2$$

$$k = \binom{d+2}{2} \quad d \leq q-1$$

$$= q^2 - \binom{2q-d}{2} \quad d > q-1$$

$$\text{Rel. distance} = 1 - \frac{d}{q} \quad \text{if } d \leq q$$

$$= 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \quad \text{o.w.}$$

$$\text{(locality)} \quad \ell = O(q) \quad \text{if } d \leq q$$

- To correct  $\epsilon$  fraction errors, distance =  $2\epsilon$ .

$$d = (1 - 2\epsilon)q$$

$$\text{Rate} = \binom{d+2}{2} / q^2 \approx \frac{(1-2\epsilon)^2}{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

- In general  $m$  variables with pos. dist.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Rate} \leq \frac{1}{m!}$$

- Locality  $l \approx q^{1/m} \Rightarrow \text{Need } m \geq 2.$

# MULTIPLICITY CONES

[KOPPARTY, SARAF, YEKHAININ]

## Main Idea:

Messages:  $Q(x, y)$   $\deg Q \leq d$

Encoding: Evaluations of  $\left(Q, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}\right)$

(polynomial and its derivatives)

## Why does this help?

- let  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$  be zero of  $Q$  of multiplicity  $\geq 2$  if

$$Q(a, b) = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}(a, b) = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}(a, b) = 0$$

- Multiplicity Schwartz-Zippel:

if  $Q \neq 0$ ,  $\deg Q \leq d$  then

$$\sum_{(a,b)} \left[ \text{mult}(Q; a, b) \right] \leq \frac{d}{q} \cdot$$

• Corollary: Viewed as a code over  $\Sigma = \mathbb{F}_q^3$  multiplicity code above

has distance  $1 - \frac{d}{2q}$

• Can use  $d = 2(1 - 2\epsilon)q \rightarrow 2q$

•  $k = \binom{d+2}{3} = \frac{2}{3}n$

• Locality = ?

- Can recover  $\mathcal{Q}(a,b)$  for any  $(a,b)$  by picking random line through

$$(a,b). \quad \ell = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$$

- But not done: also need to

recover  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}}{\partial x}$



- Natural idea:  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}$  is a degree  $d-1$  polynomial.

□ Recover from lines?

□ Doesn't work; don't have

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial x \partial y} !$$

- Better idea: when we recover

$Q(a,b)$ , we actually recover

$Q|_l$  for some line  $l$  through  $(a,b)$

actually gives " $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial l}$ " also

[if  $l = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma$ , then we get

$$\alpha \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} + \beta \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} ]$$

- two linearly ind. lines through

$$(a,b) \text{ give } \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}(a,b), \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}(a,b)$$

## Summary

- 2-variate polynomials with multiplicity 2

yield  $l = O(\sqrt{n})$

Rate  $\rightarrow \frac{2}{3}$

Breaks Rate  $\leq \frac{1}{2}$  barrier!

- To get further

– higher multiplicities  $\rightarrow$  Rate  $\rightarrow 1$

– more variables  $\rightarrow l = O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$

$$\gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

## Formalities :

Derivative =?

Higher multiplicity = ?

(Will do everything in bivariate setting;  
higher derivatives follow)

Univariate setting:  $f$  has zero of  
multiplicity  $m$  at  $\alpha$  if

$(x-\alpha)^m$  divides  $f(x)$

$\Leftrightarrow x^m$  divides  $f(x+\alpha)$

$\Leftrightarrow$  if  $f(x+y) = \sum_i c_i(y) \cdot x^i$

then  $c_i(y) = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$

## Bivariate Setting = ?

- $Q(x, y)$  has zero of multiplicity one at  $(a, b)$  if  $Q(a, b) = 0$

$\Leftrightarrow Q \in$  ideal generated by  $(x-a)$  and  $(y-b)$

$$[Q = A(x, y) \cdot (x-a) + B(x, y) \cdot (y-b)]$$

- $Q$  has zero of mult.  $m$  at  $(a, b)$  if  $Q \in I^m$

where  $I = \langle x-a, y-b \rangle$

- $I \cdot J = \text{span} \{ p \cdot q \mid \begin{matrix} p \in I \\ q \in J \end{matrix} \}$

- $I^m = \underbrace{I \cdot I \cdot \dots \cdot I}_{m \text{ times}}$

- Equivalently if  $\bar{X} = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $\bar{Z} = (z_1, z_2)$

$$Q(\bar{X} + \bar{Z}) = \sum_{i,j} C_{ij}(\bar{Z}) X_1^i X_2^j$$

then  $C_{ij}(a,b) = 0$  for all  $i+j < m$ .

- $C_{ij}(\bar{Z}) \triangleq (i,j)^{\text{th}}$  (Hase) Derivative of  $Q$ .

order of  $C_{ij} \triangleq i+j$ ; will denote  $D_{ij}$

- $\text{Mult}(Q; a,b) =$  largest  $m$  s.t.

all Hase derivatives of order

smaller than  $m$  vanish at  $(a,b)$ .

- With definitions above can prove mult. Schwartz-Zippel lemma.

## Other Properties

- linearity:  $(A+B)_{ij} = A_{ij} + B_{ij}$

- $\deg Q_{ij} \leq \deg Q - i - j$

- $(Q_{i_1, j_1})_{i_2, j_2} \neq Q_{i_1+i_2, j_1+j_2}$

- $Q_{i_1+i_2, j_1+j_2}(a, b) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow (Q_{i, j})_{i_2, j_2}(a, b) = 0.$$

- $Q_{i, j}$  not (locally) computable from  $Q$