

↗ cyclic groups are commutative

↖ defined to be the identity element

Definition. A group G is cyclic if there exists a generator g such that $G = \{g^0, g^1, \dots, g^{|G|-1}\}$.

Definition. For an element $g \in G$, we write $\langle g \rangle = \{g^0, g^1, \dots, g^{|G|-1}\}$ to denote the set generated by g (which need not be the entire set). The cardinality of $\langle g \rangle$ is the order of g (i.e., the size of the "subgroup" generated by g)

Example. Consider $\mathbb{Z}_7^* = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. In this case,

$$\langle 2 \rangle = \{1, 2, 4\} \quad [2 \text{ is not a generator of } \mathbb{Z}_7^*] \quad \text{ord}(2) = 3$$

$$\langle 3 \rangle = \{1, 3, 2, 6, 4, 5\} \quad [3 \text{ is a generator of } \mathbb{Z}_7^*] \quad \text{ord}(3) = 6$$

↪ means that $g^{\text{ord}(g)} = 1$

Lagrange's Theorem. For a group G , and any element $g \in G$, $\text{ord}(g) \mid |G|$ (the order of g is a divisor of $|G|$).

↪ For $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$, this means that $\text{ord}(g) \mid p-1$ for all $g \in G$

Corollary (Fermat's Theorem): For all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, $x^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$

Proof. $|\mathbb{Z}_p^*| = |\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}| = p-1$

↖ for integer k

By Lagrange's Theorem, $\text{ord}(x) \mid p-1$ so we can write $p-1 = k \cdot \text{ord}(x)$ and so $x^{p-1} = (x^{\text{ord}(x)})^k = 1^k = 1 \pmod{p}$

Implication: Suppose $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and we want to compute $x^y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ for some large integer $y \gg p$

↪ We can compute this as

$$x^y = x^{y \pmod{p-1}} \pmod{p}$$

$$\text{since } x^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$$

↪ Specifically, the exponents operate modulo the order of the group

↪ Equivalently: group $\langle g \rangle$ generated by g is isomorphic to the group $(\mathbb{Z}_b, +)$ where $b = \text{ord}(g)$

$$\langle g \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}_b, +)$$

$$g^x \mapsto x$$

Notation: g^x denotes $\overbrace{g \cdot g \cdots g}^{x \text{ times}}$

g^{-x} denotes $(g^x)^{-1}$ [inverse of group element g^x]

$g^{x^{-1}}$ denotes $g^{(x^{-1})}$ where x^{-1} computed mod $\text{ord}(g)$ — need to make sure this inverse exists!

Computing on group elements: In cryptography, the groups we typically work with will be large (e.g., 2^{256} or 2^{1024})

- Size of group element (# bits): $\sim \log |G|$ bits (256 bits / 2048 bits)

- Group operations in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$: $\log p$ bits per group element

addition of mod p elements: $O(\log p)$

multiplication of mod p values: naively $O(\log^2 p)$

Karatsuba $O(\log^{1.71} p)$

Schönhage-Strassen (GMP library): $O(\log p \log \log p \log \log \log p)$

conjectured to be optimal! [Harvey-van der Hoeven: $O(\log p \log \log p)$ [2019]]

↪ not yet practical ($> 2^{4096}$ bits to be faster...)

OpenAI (2026): $O(\log p (\log \log p)^{1-\epsilon})$ for $\epsilon = 2^{-182}$

exponentiation: using repeated squaring: $g, g^2, g^4, g^8, \dots, g^{\log p!}$, can implement using $O(\log p)$ multiplications [$O(\log^3 p)$ with naive multiplication]

↪ time/space trade-offs with more precomputed values

division (inversion): typically $O(\log^2 p)$ using Euclidean algorithm (can be improved)

Computational problems: in the following, let G be a finite cyclic group generated by g with order q

- Discrete log problem: sample $x \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q$

given $h = g^x$, compute x

- Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH): sample $x, y \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q$

given g^x, g^y , compute g^{xy}

- Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH): sample $x, y, r \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q$

distinguish between (g, g^x, g^y, g^{xy}) vs. (g, g^x, g^y, g^r)

Each of these problems translates to a corresponding computational assumption:

Definition. Let $G = \langle g \rangle$ be a finite cyclic group of order q (where q is a function of the security parameter λ) e.g., $q = 2^\lambda$

The DDH assumption holds in G if for all efficient adversaries A :

$$\Pr[x, y \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q : A(g, g^x, g^y, g^{xy}) = 1] - \Pr[x, y, r \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q : A(g, g^x, g^y, g^r) = 1] = \text{negl}(\lambda)$$


The CDH assumption holds in G if for all efficient adversaries A :

$$\Pr[x, y \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q : A(g, g^x, g^y) = g^{xy}] = \text{negl}(\lambda)$$

The discrete log assumption holds in G if for all efficient adversaries A :

$$\Pr[x \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q : A(g, g^x) = x] = \text{negl}(\lambda)$$

Certainly: if DDH holds in $G \Rightarrow$ CDH holds in $G \Rightarrow$ discrete log holds in G



there are groups where CDH
believed to be hard, but DDH is
easy

??

Major open problem: does this hold?

Can we find a group where discrete log is hard
but CDH is easy?

Instantiations: Discrete log in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ when p is 2048-bits provides approximately 128-bits of security

↳ Best attack is General Number Field Sieve (GNFS) - runs in time $2^{\tilde{O}(\sqrt[3]{\log p})}$ time

Much better than brute force - $2^{\log p}$

↑ cube root in exponent not ideal!

↳ Need to choose p carefully

having small prime factors

if we want to double security,
need to increase modulus by 8x!

(e.g., avoid cases where $p-1$ is smooth)

for DDH applications, we usually set $p = 2q + 1$ where
 q is also a prime (p is a "safe prime") and work in the
subgroup of order q in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ ($\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ has order $p-1 = 2q$)

group operations all
scale linearly (or worse) in
bitlength of the modulus

(e.g., 16384-bit modulus for 256 bits
of security)

Elliptic curve groups: only require 256 bit modulus for 128 bits of security

↳ Best attack is generic attack and runs in time $2^{\log p/2}$

[p -algorithm - can discuss at end of semester]

↳ Much faster than using $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$: several standards

- NIST P256, P384, P512

- Dan Bernstein's curves: Curve 25519


} can discuss more at end of semester
(or in advanced crypto class)

↳ Widely used for key-exchange + signatures on the web

When describing cryptographic constructions, we will work with an abstract group (easier to work with, less details to worry about)

Diffie-Hellman key exchange

- Let G be a group of prime order p (and generator g) - choice of group, generator, and order fixed by standard



But usually, we want a random bit-string as the key, not random group element

↳ Element g^x has $\log p$ bits of entropy, so should be able to obtain a random bit-string with $l < \log p$ bits

↳ Solution is to use a "randomness extractor"

↳ Information-theoretic constructions based on universal hashing / pairwise-independent hashing (loses some bits of entropy)

↳ Use a "random oracle" or an "ideal hash function" [Heuristic: $\text{SHA-256}(g, g^x, g^y, g^{xy})$]

good practice to hash all components

[binds the key to the entire transcript]

↳ Arguing security: 1. Rely on HashDH assumption $(g, g^x, g^y, H(g, g^x, g^y, g^{xy})) \approx (g, g^x, g^y, r)$ where $H: G^4 \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$ and $r \in \{0,1\}^n$

2. Model H as ideal hash function $H: G^4 \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$ (i.e., random oracle) and rely on CDH in G [inability to evaluate H on $g^{xy} \Rightarrow$ output is random string]

Public-key encryption: Encryption scheme where encryption is public (does not require shared secrets)

- $\text{Setup}(1^\lambda) \rightarrow (pk, sk)$ [generates a public/private key-pair - also called KeyGen]

- $\text{Encrypt}(pk, m) \rightarrow c$


- $\text{Decrypt}(sk, c) \rightarrow m$

Everyone can publish a public key (in a directory)

↳ Can encrypt to anyone without exchanging keys (recipient can be offline)

Correctness: $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}: \Pr[(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Setup}(1^\lambda) : \text{Decrypt}(sk, \text{Encrypt}(pk, m)) = m] = 1$

Security: semantic security from secret-key setting, but adversary also gets public key



$$\text{SSAdv}[A, \Pi_{\text{PKE}}] = |\Pr[A \text{ outputs } 1 \mid b=0] - \Pr[A \text{ outputs } 1 \mid b=1]|$$