```
Short Integer Solutions (SIS): The SIS problem is defined with respect to lattice parameters n, m, q and a norm bound B. The SISh, m, q, B
                                  problem says that for A < Zq , no efficient adversary can find a non-zero vector X \in Zm where
                                                       Ax = 0 € Zg and ||x|| ≤ B [we will use loo norm: ||v|| = ||v||oo = max; |vi]
                                  In lattice-based cryptography, the lattice dimension n will be the primary security parameter.
Notes: - The norm bound of should society of & g.
       "We need to choose m, is to be large enough so that a solution does exist.
             When m = \Omega_0(n \log g) and g \ge 1, a solution always exists. In particular, when m \ge 1 n \log g, there always exists x \in \{-1,0,1\}^m such that Ax = 0:

using the los norm (unless otherwise noted)
                          There are 2^m \ge 2^{n \log 6} = g^n vectors y \in \{0,13^m\} (By a counting argument, there exist
                           The Since Ay \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n, there are at most q^n possible outputs of Ay = y_1 \neq y_2 \in \{0,1\}^m such that Ay_1 = Ay_2
                          Thus, if we set x= y1-y2 & \{-1,0,13", then Ax = A(y1-y2) = Ay1-Ay2 = 0 & Z2
SIS as a lattice problem: given A \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} Z_g^{nrm}, find non-zero x \in Z_g^m such that Ax = 0 \in Z_g^n and \|x\| \leq p.
   -> Can be viewed as an average-case version of finding short vectors in a "g-ary" lattice:
                      L (A) = { z e Zm : A = 0 (mod q) }
       Notice that by construction, q \mathbb{Z}^m \subseteq \mathcal{L}^1(A)
                                     "g-ary" lattice (e.g., vectors where all entities are integer multiples of g)
Hardness of SIS: Ajtai first showed (in 1996) that average-case hardness of SIS can be based on worst-case hardness of certain
                      lattice publisms => long sequence of works understanding and improving the worst-case to average-case reductions
Typical statement: Let n be the lattice dimension. For any m=poly(n), norm bound $>0, and sufficiently large q > p.poly(n),
                    Then, the SISn, m, g, p problem is at least as hard as solving GapSVP, on an arbitrary n-dimensional lattice
                    for y = \beta \cdot poly(n).
    ie, solving SIS is as hard as approximating GapSVP in the worst case!
We can use SIS to construct a collision-resistant hash function (CRHF).
Definition. A keyed hash family H: K \times X \rightarrow Y is addision-resistant if the following properties hold:
                  - Compressing: 14 < 1x
                  - Collision-Resistant: For all efficient adversaries A:
                                              \Pr[k \stackrel{e}{\leftarrow} K ; (x,x') \leftarrow R(1^{\lambda},k) : H(k,x) = H(k,x') \text{ and } x \neq x'] = regi(\lambda).
We can directly appeal to SIS to obtain a CRHF:
                      H: Zgxx x {0,13m -> Zg
where we set m > [n log g]. In this case, domain has size 2^m > 2^{n \log 6} = g^n, which is the size of the output space. Collision resistance
follows assuming SISn, m, e, p for any p > V[n log 6]
```

The SIS hash function supports efficient local updates: Suppose you have a public hash h = H(x) of a bit-string x & 90,13th. Later, you want to applate x +> x' where x and x' only differ on a few indices (e.g., updating an entry in an address book). For instance, suppose x and x' differ only on the first bit (e.g., x, = 0 and x' = 1). Then observe the following  $h = H(k,x) = A \cdot x$  $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_m \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i \in [m]} x_i a_i = \sum_{i=2}^m x_i a_i \quad \text{since } x_i = 0$  $h' = H(k,x') = A \cdot x'$  $= \sum_{i \in \{m\}} x_i' a_i = x_i' a_i + \sum_{i=2}^m x_i' a_i = a_i + \sum_{i=2}^m x_i' a_i = a_i + h \quad \text{since } x_i' = x_i \quad \text{for all } i \geqslant 2$ Thus, we can easily update h to h' by just adding to it the first column of A without (re)computing the full hash function. The SIS assumption can also serve as the basis for digital signatures — to develop this, we will first need to introduce lattice trapplaces. Will define them first and construct them later. Inhomogeneous SIS: given A & Zg and y & Zg, find x & Zg such that Ax=y & Zg and 11x11 < B It turns out that this can actually be used as a trapdoor function. Namely, there exist efficient algorithms - Trap Gen (n,m,g,p) → (A, tdA): On input the lattice parameters n, m, q, the trapdoor-generation algorithm outputs a matrix A E Zoxm and a Stapdoor tola -  $f_A(x) \rightarrow y$ : On input  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q^m$ , computes  $y = Ax \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ - fa (tda, y) -> x: On input the trappoor tda and an element y & Zo, the inversion algorithm outputs a value 11x11 < B Moreover, for a suitable choice of n, m, q, ps, these algorithms satisfy the following properties: in fact, more general: can sample a solution to this system from a discrete For all  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{2}$ ,  $f_{A}^{-1}(td_{A}, y)$  outputs  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{2}$  such that  $\|x\| \leq 8$  and Ax = yCoursian distribution (sampling needed to The modrix A output by TropGen is studistically close to uniform over Zgmm ensure solution does not leak traplear) Digital signatures from lattice trappoors: We can use lattice trappoors to obtain a objetal signature scheme in the random oracle model (this is essentially an analog of RSA signatures): - KeyGen: (A, tola) < Trap Gen (n, m, g, B) Output vk = A and sk = tdASign (sk, m): Output  $\sigma \leftarrow f_A^{-1}$  (tdA, H(m)). Here,  $H: \{0,13^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q^n : s \mod k \text{ as a random oracle (ideal has) function}$ - Verify (vk, m,  $\sigma$ ): Check that  $\|\sigma\| \leq B$  and that  $f_A(\sigma) = H(m)$ - Verify (vk, m, o): Check that |oll & B and that fa (o) = H(m). Hardness reduces to hardness of inhomogeneous SIS (similar proof as RSA-FDH). Intuition: essentially solving inhomogeneous SIS instance - Matrix A output by KeyGen is uniformly random (property of KeyGen) - To forge signature on missage m\*, adversary needs to find short x such that Ax = y where y = H(m\*), which is uniform - Formally: need to vely on random oracle to embed inhomogeneous SIS challenge + respond to signing gueries (ask in office hours for more details)

## Constructing lattice trapdoors: "gadget trapdoors"

First, we define the "gadget" matrix (there are actually many possible gadget matrices - here, we use a common one sometimes called

the "powers- of-two" motrix):  $G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \\ 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2^{\lfloor \log g \rfloor} \end{pmatrix}$   $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots &$ 

Observation: SIS is easy with respect to G:

$$G \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_g^n \implies \text{norm of this vector is } 2$$

Inhomogenous SIS is also easy with respect to G: take any tought vector y & Z. Let yi, lyz1, ..., yi, be the binary decomposition of y: (the the component of y). Then,

$$G \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_{1,2} \\ \vdots \\ g_{1,L\log 2^{1}} \\ \vdots \\ g_{2,L\log 2^{1}} \\ \vdots \\ g_{n,l\log 2^{1}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \log_{3} g_{1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{2} \\ \vdots \\ g_{n,l} \\ \vdots \\ g_{n,l\log 2^{1}} \end{pmatrix} = g$$

1 Observe that this is a 0/1 vector (binary valued vector), so the lor-norm is exactly 1

We will denote this "bit-decomposition" operation by the function  $G^{-1}: \mathbb{Z}_q^n \to \{0,1\}^m$ 

I important: G-1 is not a matrix (even though G is)!

Then, for all  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_{g}^{2}$ ,  $G \cdot G^{-1}(y) = y$  and  $\|G^{-1}(y)\| = 1$ . Thus, both SIS and inhomogeneous SIS are easy with respect to the matrix G.

We now have a mostrix with a public trapolour. To construct a secret trapolour function (useful for cryptographic applications), we will "hide" the gadget matrix in the matrix A, and the trapdoor will be a "short" matrix (i.e., matrix with small entries) that recovers the

More precisely, a gadajet trapdoor for a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_g^{n \times k}$  is a short matrix  $R \in \mathbb{Z}_g^{k \times m}$  such that A·R = G & Zg

We say that R is "short" if all values are small. [We will write IIRII to refer to the largest value in R]

```
Suppose use know R & Zq such that AR = G. We can then define the inversion algorithm as follows:
          - fa (tda=R, y \in Z_g): Output x = R. G-1(y). Important note: When using trapdoor functions in a setting where the adversary can see trapdoor evaluations, we actually need to
We check two properties:
                                                                                                                                                          randomize the computation of fa.
                              1. Ax = AR \cdot G^{-1}(y) = G \cdot G^{-1}(y) = y so x is indeed a valid pre-image Otherwise, we leak the trapplacer.

Note this basic scheme illustrates
                              2. \|x\| = \|R \cdot G^{-1}(y)\| \leq m \cdot \|R\| \|G^{-1}(y)\| = m \cdot \|R\|
                                                                                                                                                           But this basic scheme illustrates
                                     Thus, if IIRII is small, then IIXII is also small (think of B as a large polynomial in n). the main ideas...
Remaining question: How do we generate A together with a traphoor (and so that A is statistically close to uniform)?
Many techniques to do so; we will look at one approach using the "leftover hash lemma" (also used when arguing security of Regeo's PKE scheme) Sample \overline{A} \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m} and \overline{R} \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \{0,13^{m \times m}\}.
                     Set A = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A} & \overline{A} \overline{R} + G \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times 2m}

Output A \in \mathbb{Z}_g^{n \times 2m}, td_A = R = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{R} \\ \overline{I} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{2m \times m}
By construction that AR = -\overline{AR} + \overline{AR} + G = G, and moreover \|R\| = 1.
By loftover hash lemma, for m=O(n\log g), (\bar{A}, \bar{A}\bar{R}) is indistinguishable from (\bar{A}, U) where U \stackrel{Q}{\leftarrow} Z_g^{n\times m}
```