

So far, we have shown how to build symmetric crypto and public-key crypto from standard lattice assumptions (e.g., SIS and LWE)

But it turns out, lattices have much additional structure  $\Rightarrow$  enable many new advanced functionalities not known to follow from many other standard assumptions (e.g., discrete log, factoring, pairings, etc.)

We will begin by studying fully homomorphic encryption (FHE)

$\rightarrow$  encryption scheme that supports arbitrary computation on encrypted data [very useful for outsourced computation]

Abstractly: given encryption  $ct_x$  of value  $x$  under some public key, can we derive from that an encryption of  $f(x)$  for an arbitrary function  $f$ ?

- So far, we have seen examples of encryption schemes that support one type of operation (e.g., addition) on ciphertexts

- ElGamal encryption (in the exponent): homomorphic with respect to addition

- Regev encryption: homomorphic with respect to addition

- For FHE, need homomorphism with respect to two operations: addition and multiplication

Major open problem in cryptography (dates back to late 1970s!) - first solved by Stanford student Craig Gentry in 2009

$\rightarrow$  revolutionized lattice-based cryptography:

$\rightarrow$  Very surprising this is possible: encryption needs to "scramble" messages to be secure, but homomorphism requires preserving structure to enable arbitrary computation

General blueprint: 1. Build somewhat homomorphic encryption (SWHE) - encryption scheme that supports bounded number of homomorphic operations

2. Bootstrap SWHE to FHE (essentially a way to "refresh" ciphertext)

Focus will be on building SWHE (has all of the ingredients for realizing FHE)

Starting point: Regev encryption

$$\text{pk: } A = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A} \\ \bar{s}^T \bar{A} + e^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{bmatrix} \bar{A} \\ \bar{s}^T \bar{A} + e^T \end{bmatrix}} \right\} \text{Invariant: } \bar{s}^T \bar{A} = e^T$$

$$\text{sk: } \bar{s}^T = \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{s}^T & | & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$$

$$\text{ct: } r \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^m, c \leftarrow Ar + \begin{bmatrix} 0^{n-1} \\ \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor \cdot \mu \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \bar{s}^T c = \bar{s}^T (Ar + \begin{bmatrix} 0^{n-1} \\ \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor \cdot \mu \end{bmatrix}) = e^T r + \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor \cdot \mu.$$

as long as  $e^T r$  is small, decryption succeeds

We can easily extend the ciphertext to be a matrix (this provides a redundant encoding of the message  $\mu$ ):

$$\text{- Pad the matrix } \hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A & \\ 0^{(m-n) \times m} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{m \times m} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{bmatrix} A & \\ 0^{(m-n) \times m} \end{bmatrix}} \right\} \hat{s}^T \hat{A} = \bar{s}^T A = e^T$$

$$\text{and the key } \hat{s} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{s} \\ 0^{m-n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^m$$

- To encrypt, sample  $R \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{m \times m}$  and compute

$$C \leftarrow \hat{A}R + \mu \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{q}{2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{q}{2} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0^{n \times (m-n)} \\ 0^{(m-n) \times n} & 0^{(m-n) \times (m-n)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} AR \\ 0^{(m-n) \times m} \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \text{security unaffected (LWE + LHL)} \right.$$

Consider decryption:

$$\hat{s}^T C = \hat{s}^T \hat{A}R + \mu \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{q}{2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{q}{2} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \hat{s}^T \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= e^T R + \mu \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \hat{s}^T$$

$$\approx \mu \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \hat{s}^T \quad \leftarrow \text{Decrypt as usual since } \hat{s} \text{ contains a component with value 1}$$

Observation:  $C$  is a ciphertext and  $\hat{s}$  is a left eigenvector of  $\hat{C}$  with associated eigenvalue  $\mu \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor$ .

Suppose for a moment that this was an exact eigenvalue (and we do not scale  $\mu$ ).

$\leftarrow$  no scaling needed if there is no error  $\ddot{u}$

Then, suppose  $\hat{s}^T C_1 = \mu_1 \hat{s}^T$  and  $\hat{s}^T C_2 = \mu_2 \hat{s}^T$

- Eigenvalues add:  $\hat{s}^T (C_1 + C_2) = \mu_1 \hat{s}^T + \mu_2 \hat{s}^T = (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \hat{s}^T$

- Eigenvalues multiply:  $\hat{s}^T C_1 C_2 = \mu_1 \hat{s}^T C_2 = \mu_1 \mu_2 \hat{s}^T$

} fully homomorphic!

What about the error?

Back to Regev:  $\hat{s}^T C_1 = e^T R_1 + \mu_1 \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \hat{s}^T$

$\hat{s}^T C_2 = e^T R_2 + \mu_2 \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \hat{s}^T$

Addition:  $\hat{s}^T (C_1 + C_2) = e^T (R_1 + R_2) + (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \hat{s}^T$

Multiplication:  $\hat{s}^T C_1 C_2 = (e^T R_1 + \mu_1 \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor \hat{s}^T) C_2$

$= e^T R_1 C_2 + \mu_1 \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \hat{s}^T C_2$

$= \boxed{e^T R_1 C_2} + \boxed{\mu_1 \mu_2 \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor^2} + \boxed{\mu_1 \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot e^T R_2}$

$\uparrow$   
 $e^T R_1$  is small,  
but  $C_2$  is not!

$\uparrow$   
not the right  
form...

$\uparrow$   
if  $\mu_1 = 1$ , also  
large

$\leftarrow$  lots of problems!!

$\leftarrow$  not surprising: Regev is additively homomorphic  
basically works; error grows additively

Main issue: error term from one ciphertext multiplies with a ciphertext during homomorphic multiplication  $\rightarrow$  noise blows up

Solution: Use the gadget matrix (i.e. bit decomposition) to reduce matrix sizes!

Gentry-Sahai-Waters (GSW) FHE:

- Setup ( $1^\lambda$ ): Sample  $\bar{A} \xleftarrow{r} \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m}$   $\rightarrow$   $pk = A = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A} \\ \bar{s}^T \bar{A} + e^T \end{bmatrix}$  ( $\bar{s}^T \bar{A} = e^T$ )

$\bar{s} \xleftarrow{r} \mathbb{Z}_q^n$

$sk = s = [-\bar{s} \mid 1]$

- Encrypt ( $A, \mu$ ):  $R \xleftarrow{r} \{0,1\}^{m \times n}$   $\leftarrow$  new message embedding

$C \leftarrow AR + \mu \cdot G \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times n}$

- Decrypt ( $s, C$ ): compute  $\bar{s}^T C G^{-1} \left( \frac{q}{2} \cdot I_n \right)$  and round as usual

Correctness:  $\bar{s}^T C G^{-1} \left( \frac{q}{2} \cdot I_n \right) = \bar{s}^T (AR + \mu \cdot G) G^{-1} \left( \frac{q}{2} \cdot I_n \right)$

$= \underbrace{e^T R G^{-1} \left( \frac{q}{2} \cdot I_n \right)} + \frac{q}{2} \bar{s}^T$

suppose  $e$  is  $B$ -bounded

$\rightarrow \|e^T R G^{-1} \left( \frac{q}{2} \cdot I_n \right)\|_{\infty} \leq m^2 B$

as long as  $m^2 B < \frac{q}{4}$ , scheme is correct

$\leftarrow$  if  $q$  is power of two or

we choose scaling factor to  
be a power of two, then

multiplying by  $G^{-1}(\cdot)$  does not  
change norm  $\rightarrow$  tighten bound to  $mB < \frac{q}{4}$

GSW invariant:  $C = AR + \mu \cdot G$  for some small  $R$

Decryption succeeds if  $m \cdot B \cdot \|R\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{q}{4}$

$\rightarrow$  choose  $q > 4mB \cdot \|R\|_{\infty}$

Security: Identical to Regev.

Homomorphism: Suppose  $C_1 = AR_1 + \mu_1 G$

$C_2 = AR_2 + \mu_2 G$

Addition:  $C_1 + C_2$  is encryption of  $\mu_1 + \mu_2$ :

$$C_1 + C_2 = A(R_1 + R_2) + (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \cdot G$$

New error:  $R_+ = R_1 + R_2$ ,  $\|R_+\|_a \leq \|R_1\|_a + \|R_2\|_a$

Multiplication:  $C_1, G^{-1}(C_2)$  is encryption of  $\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 G^{-1}(C_2) &= (AR_1 + \mu_1 G) G^{-1}(C_2) \\ &= AR_1 G^{-1}(C_2) + \mu_1 G \cdot G^{-1}(C_2) \\ &= AR_1 G^{-1}(C_2) + \mu_1 C_2 \\ &= AR_1 G^{-1}(C_2) + \mu_1 (AR_2 + \mu_2 G) \\ &= A \underbrace{(R_1 G^{-1}(C_2) + \mu_1 R_2)}_{R_x} + \mu_1 \mu_2 G \end{aligned}$$

New error:  $R_x = R_1 G^{-1}(C_2) + \mu_1 R_2$ ,  $\|R_x\|_a \leq \|R_1\|_a \cdot m + \|R_2\|_a$

After computing  $d$  repeated squarings: noise is  $m^{O(d)}$

for correctness, require that  $q > 4mB \cdot \|R\|_a$ , so bit-length of  $q$  scales with multiplicative depth of circuit  
↳ also requires super-poly modulus when  $d = \omega(1)$   
(stronger assumption needed)

But not quite fully homomorphic encryption: we need a bound on the (multiplicative) depth of the computation

From SWHE to FHE. The above construction requires imposing an a priori bound on the multiplicative depth of the computation.

To obtain fully homomorphic encryption, we apply Gentry's brilliant insight of bootstrapping.

High-level idea. Suppose we have SWHE with following properties:

1. We can evaluate functions with multiplicative depth  $d$
2. The decryption function can be implemented by a circuit with multiplicative depth  $d' < d$

Then, we can build an FHE scheme as follows:

- Public key of FHE scheme is public key of SWHE scheme and an encryption of the SWHE decryption key under the SWHE public key
- We now describe a ciphertext-refreshing procedure:
  - For each SWHE ciphertext, we can associate a "noise" level that keeps track of how many more homomorphic operations can be performed on the ciphertext (while maintaining correctness).
    - ↳ for instance, we can evaluate depth- $d$  circuits on fresh ciphertexts; after evaluating a single multiplication, we can only evaluate circuits of depth- $(d-1)$  and so on...
  - The refresh procedure takes any valid ciphertext and produces one that supports depth- $(d-d')$  homomorphism; since  $d > d'$ , this enables unbounded (i.e., arbitrary) computations on ciphertexts

Idea: Suppose  $ct_x = \text{Encrypt}(pk, x)$ .

Using the SWHE, we can compute  $ct_{f(x)} = \text{Encrypt}(pk, f(x))$  for any  $f$  with multiplicative depth up to  $d$

Given  $ct_x$ , we first compute

$$ct_{ct} = \text{Encrypt}(pk, ct_x) \quad \text{[strictly speaking, encrypt bit by bit]}$$