

Lecture 21: Matrix concentration and Graph Sparsification

Prof. Eric Price

Scribe: Ryan Rock, Sabee Grewal

NOTE: THESE NOTES HAVE NOT BEEN EDITED OR CHECKED FOR CORRECTNESS

1 Overview

In this lecture we give a proof of the Rudelson-Vershynin (RV) theorem [RV05], and then begin graph sparsification.

2 Inequalities

We derive the Bernstein inequality for scalar random variables, extend this result to symmetric matrices, and then prove the RV theorem.

2.1 Bernstein Inequality

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent random variables such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_i X_i \right] = 0 \quad \max_i |X_i| \leq K \quad \sum_i \mathbb{E} [X_i^2] \leq \sigma^2.$$

Then $\sum_i X_i = \text{subgamma}(2\sigma^2, 2K)$, which gives the bound

$$\Pr \left[\left| \sum_i X_i \right| \geq t \right] \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \min \left(\frac{t^2}{\sigma^2}, \frac{t}{K} \right) \right).$$

2.2 Matrix Bernstein Inequality

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be independent, symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} [X_i] = 0 \quad \max_i \|X_i\| \leq K \quad \left\| \sum_i \mathbb{E} [X_i^2] \right\| \leq \sigma^2$$

where we define $\|\cdot\|$ as the spectral norm for matrices, the l^2 norm for vectors and the absolute value norm for scalars. Analogizing from the scalar case,

$$\Pr \left[\left\| \sum_i X_i \right\| \geq t \right] \leq 2n \exp \left(-C \min \left(\frac{t^2}{\sigma^2}, \frac{t}{K} \right) \right) \quad (1)$$

for some constant C . For a proof see [Tro15].

2.3 Rudelson-Vershynin Inequality

Theorem 1. *Let there be m independent vectors $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$\max_i \|X_i\| \leq K \quad \|\mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^\top]\| \leq 1.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_i X_i X_i^\top - \frac{1}{m} \sum_i \mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^\top] \right\| \right] \lesssim K \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{m}},$$

for $K \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{m}} \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $Y_i = X_i X_i^\top - \mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^\top]$. We would like to bound $\|\sum_i Y_i\|$ similar to equation (1), which can be done by bounding $\max_i \|Y_i\|$ and $\|\sum_i \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2]\|$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_i \|Y_i\| &\leq \max_i \|X_i X_i^\top\| + \|\mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^\top]\| \\ &\leq \max_i \|X_i\|^2 + \|\mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^\top]\| \\ &\leq K^2 + 1 \\ &\leq 2K^2 \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_i \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2] \right\| &\leq \sum_i \|\mathbb{E}[(X_i X_i^\top - \mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^\top])^2]\| \\ &\leq \sum_i \|\mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^\top X_i X_i^\top] - \mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^\top]^2\| \\ &\leq \sum_i K^2 \|\mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^\top]\| + \|\mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^\top]^2\| \\ &\leq m(K^2 + 1) \\ &\leq 2mK^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then using the Matrix Bernstein inequality,

$$\Pr \left[\left\| \sum_i Y_i \right\| \geq t \right] \leq 2n \exp \left(-C \min \left(\frac{t^2}{2mK^2}, \frac{t}{2K^2} \right) \right).$$

for some constant C . For our application it's the case that $\frac{t^2}{2mK^2} \leq \frac{t}{2K^2}$, so

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_i Y_i \right\| \right] \lesssim K \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{m}}.$$

□

For the original proof see theorem 3.1 in [RV05].

3 Graph Sparsification

We approximate a weighted graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$ by another graph $H = (V, \tilde{E}, \tilde{\omega})$ where $|\tilde{E}| = O(K^2 \log(|V|)/\varepsilon^2)$. We do this by proving through the RV theorem that the Laplacian of G is approximately similar to the Laplacian of H , a random matrix that results from sampling edges from E dependent on effective resistances and edge weights. The original material for this section can be seen in [SS08].

3.1 Laplacian

For a weighted graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$, we define its Laplacian as

$$L_G = \begin{cases} -\omega_{(u,v)} & \text{if } u \neq v \\ \sum_{z \in \{x | (u,x) \in E\}} \omega_{(u,z)} & \text{if } u = v \end{cases}.$$

This is equivalently defined as

$$L_G = \sum_{e \in E} w_e y_e y_e^\top$$

where $y_e \in \mathbb{Z}^{|V|}$ is the all 0s vector besides $y_e(u) = 1$ and $y_e(v) = -1$.

The Laplacian is useful because we can use it to approximate G . For instance, consider G a representation of a circuit and define the total power needed to run the circuit for particular node-voltages as

$$P_G(x) = \sum_{e=(u,v) \in E} (x_u - x_v)^2 w_e = x^\top L_G x,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$ is the node-voltages. For our approximation we would like to prove for all x that

$$(1 - \varepsilon)P_G(x) \leq P_H(x) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)P_G(x)$$

since this implies that

$$(1 - \varepsilon)x^\top L_G x \preceq x^\top L_H x \preceq (1 + \varepsilon)x^\top L_G x.$$

3.2 Effective Resistance

In order to determine how likely each edge should be included in an approximation, we analogize edge weights, w_e , to conductance, and measure the effective resistances, R_e , between two nodes that have an edge. We define p_e , the probability of sampling an edge, as

$$p_e = w_e R_e.$$

For an intuitive sense why sampling dependent on w_e and R_e works, note that the effective resistance between two adjacent nodes is the same as the probability that a random spanning tree contains those nodes' connector edge.

3.3 Spectral Sparsification

Remember that our goal is to approximate G using a graph H that has only $O(K^2 \log(|V|)/\varepsilon^2)$ edges. We can do this by continually sampling an edge from G , modifying this sampled edge's weight and adding this modified edge to \tilde{H} , where \tilde{H} starts as an all-0s matrix and tends to H after enough samples. Let Z be a random variable such that

$$Z = y_e \sqrt{\frac{w_e}{p_e}} \quad \text{with probability } p_e.$$

Then the expectation of ZZ^\top is

$$\mathbb{E} [ZZ^\top] = \sum_{e \in E} p_e \frac{w_e}{p_e} y_e y_e^\top = L_G.$$

In the next lecture we will show that using the RV theorem and setting $L_H = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m Z_i Z_i^\top$ gives the bound

$$\mathbb{E}[\|L_H - L_G\|] \lesssim K \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{m}}$$

when $m = O(K^2 \log(|V|)/\varepsilon^2)$.

References

- [RV05] Mark Rudelson, Roman Vershynin. Sampling from large matrices: an approach through geometric functional analysis. *arXiv: math/0503442 [math.FA]*, 2005.
- [SS08] Daniel Spielman, Nikhil Srivastava. Graph Sparsification by Effective Resistances. *arXiv: 0803.0929 [cs.DS]*, 2008.
- [Tro15] Joel Tropp. An Introduction to Matrix Concentration Inequalities. *arXiv: 1501.01571 [math.PR]*, 2015.