CS 388R: Randomized Algorithms, Fall 2019

December 3rd, 2019

Lecture 27: Review

Prof. Eric Price

Scribe: Justin Yirka

NOTE: THESE NOTES HAVE NOT BEEN EDITED OR CHECKED FOR CORRECTNESS

1 Overview of the course

In this course, we have covered various *analytic techniques* for analyzing the performance of randomized algorithms, *algorithmic techniques* for designing randomized algorithms, and examples of randomized *algorithms*.

Here are some examples:

Algorithm: Quicksort. We used the algorithmic technique of avoiding worst-case inputs (more specifically with randomized partitioning). We used the analytic technique of linearity of expectation, and Chernoff bounds if you want to show high-probability results.

We also used random partitioning in an algorithm of planar point location.

We also avoided worst-case inputs in our algorithm for game-tree evaluation.

Analytic technique: Balls and Bins. This is a class of algorithms for which we used analytic techniques related to the Balls and Bins problem. Algorithms include hash tables, cuckoo hashing, count-min-sketch, and Bloom filters.

We used analytic techniques we mentioned above, as well as negative association and various concentrations of measure, including Chebyshev, Chernoff, Subgaussian, and Subgamma.

A common algorithm technique we used was limited independence (because we don't want to use the space to store fully independent hash functions).

Algorithmic technique: Fingerprinting. We learned about fingerprinting and applied to several problems, including polynomial identity testing, string matching, and matrix multiplication identity testing.

Algorithmic technique: Symmetry breaking. We used the technique of symmetry breaking in designing algorithms for randomized routing and for all-pairs shortest path (APSP) on unweighted graphs.

Algorithmic technique: Random walks. We used random walks in designing algorithms for bipartite matching on regular graphs (we also used coupon collector to analyze this). To analyze

random walks, we studied Markov chains.

Algorithm: Spectral sparsification. We spent so much time on this one, it gets its own paragraph. We used the algorithmic techniques of biased sampling and effective resistance. In the analysis, we used the probabilistic method for an existence proof.

Algorithmic technique: Backward analysis. We used backward analysis in analyzing our algorithm for the convex hull problem.

Various others We covered several other algorithms which used a combination of algorithmic and analytic techniques mentioned above and not mentioned above. And of course, although we highlighted certain connections between algorithms and techniques above, we used material from throughout the course in each lecture.

Algorithms	Algorithmic Techniques	Analytic Techniques
Quicksort	Avoid worst-case input	Linearity of expectation
Planar point location	Randomized partitioning	Concentration of measures
Game tree evaluation	Limited independence	(Markov, Chebyshev, Chernoff,
Hash tables	Symmetry breaking	subgaussian, subgamma, \dots)
Cuckoo hashing	Fingerprinting	Negative association
Count-min sketch	Random walks	Coupon collector
Bloom filters	Biased sampling	Balls and bins
Randomized routing		Probabilistic method
Network coding		Markov chains
Polynomial identity testing		
Matrix multiplication identity testing		
All-pairs shortest paths (APSP)		
String matching		
Bipartite matching on regular graphs		
Spectral sparsification		
Convex hull		
Closest pairs		

2 Questions

For the rest of class, we'll answer questions about anything people want to ask about (except the final exam).

- Can we review shortest path finding? (Lecture 12)
- Can we review spectral sparsification? (Lecture 21 and Lecture 22)
- Can we review Bernstein bounds? (Lecture 21)
- Can we review Count-min-sketch? (Lecture 19)

• Can we review backward analysis? (Lecture 26)

3 Final Exam

Good luck!