

Lecture 13 — October 6, 2016

*Prof. Eric Price**Scribe: Kiyeon Jeon and Loc Hoang*

1 Overview

In the last lecture we covered the lower bound for p^{th} moment ($p > 2$) and the concepts of packing numbers, covering numbers, and metric entropy.

In this lecture we discuss **Maurey's Empirical Method** for covering numbers and begin moving into compressed sensing by starting with **Restricted Isometric Property (RIP) matrices**.

2 Covering Numbers and Maurey's Empirical Method

2.1 Introduction

Last lecture, we discussed the problem of getting a covering number N for L_1 balls using L_2 balls.

$$N(\epsilon, B_1^d, \|\cdot\|_2) \tag{1}$$

Using a volume argument, we were able to establish the following result.

$$N(\epsilon, B_1^d, \|\cdot\|_2) \leq N(\epsilon, B_1^d, \|\cdot\|_1) \tag{2}$$

$$N(\epsilon, B_1^d, \|\cdot\|_1) \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)^d \tag{3}$$

The first inequality comes from the fact that L_1 balls take up less space than L_2 balls, so it would take more of them to do the covering.

From this, we could get metric entropy $\log N$.

$$\log N \geq d \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{d}{2} \log d \tag{4}$$

We can then deduce that $\log N = \theta(d \log \frac{1}{\epsilon})$ if $\epsilon < d^{-\frac{1}{2}-\Omega(1)}$. We are interested in the case where $\epsilon > \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$, and this will be examined in the next section.

2.2 Using Maurey's Empirical Method for Covering Numbers

Using Maurey's empirical method, we will show the following:

Theorem 1. When $\epsilon > \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$, $N \leq (2d+1)^{O(1/\epsilon^2)}$

As a result, $\log N \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log(d)$.

Proof. Let's cover the following set:

$$B_1^{d,+} = \{x \in \mathcal{R}^d \mid \|x\|_1 \leq 1 \text{ and } x_i \geq 0 \forall i\}$$

The above set means that $\sum x_i \leq 1 \forall x_i \geq 0$.

We can think about a probability distribution over $\{e_1, \dots, e_d, 0\}$:

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i e_i + (1 - \|x\|_1) \cdot 0$$

This implies the following probabilities.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[z = e_j] &= x_j \forall j \in [d] \\ \mathbb{P}[z = 0] &= 1 - \|x\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

With these, we can get a mean of the probability distribution.

$$\mathbb{E}[z] = \sum \mathbb{P}[z = e_j] \cdot e_j + \mathbb{P}[z = 0] \cdot 0 = \sum x_j \cdot e_j = x$$

We will draw t samples z_1, \dots, z_t from the distribution where each z is some e_i . After drawing the samples, we can take the average of the samples:

$$\bar{z} = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^t z_i$$

We want to show that $\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{z} - x\|_2^2] \leq \epsilon^2$. If we can do this, then if we take all possible \bar{z} , we get an ϵ -cover of the space using those \bar{z} since then all x we can choose will be within ϵ of some point in the cover by what we argue above.

We work this out below:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{z} - x\|_2^2] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^d (\bar{z}_j - x_j)^2\right] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d \text{Var}(\bar{z}_j) \text{ (definition of variance)} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{t^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^t (z_i)_j\right) \text{ (independent variances means you can sum them)} \\
&= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^d \text{Var}((z_1)_j) \\
&= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j}{t} = \frac{\|x\|_1}{t} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{t} \text{ (by our original definition/choice of } x)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $t = 1/\epsilon^2$. We have the following desired bound:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{z} - x\|_2^2] \leq \epsilon^2$$

This implies that there exists \bar{z} with $\|\bar{z} - x\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ if $t = 1/\epsilon^2$. Then we can pick all \bar{z} to create our ϵ -cover. The number of such \bar{z} is $\leq (d+1)^t = (d+1)^{O(1/\epsilon^2)}$ (sample t z s, and there are $d+1$ choices for each z , then take the mean).

Therefore, we have a bound on packing number and a bound on the metric entropy.

$$\begin{aligned}
N &\leq (d+1)^{O(1/\epsilon^2)} \\
\log N &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log(d+1)
\end{aligned}$$

This implies $\log N \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log(d)$ as desired.

□

Note that this could be extended to cover a larger space (note we only cover $B_1^{d,+}$, which is a positive space). The basic proof idea will still go through if we decided to extend it to larger cases.

3 Restricted Isometric Property (RIP) Matrices

We move the discussion towards RIP matrices, which will move us closer to compressed sensing.

3.1 Definition of RIP

We say a vector $x \in \mathcal{R}^n$ is k -sparse if $|\text{supp}(x)| = \|x\|_0 \leq k$.

Define $T_k := \{x \in \mathcal{R}^n \mid \|x\|_0 \leq k, \|x\|_2 \leq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^n$, or the set of all vectors that are k -sparse as well as have an L_2 norm that is less than 1. We want to determine the a bound on the metric entropy of T_k , $\log N(\epsilon, T_k, \|\cdot\|_2)$. To do so, we look at $\log N(\epsilon, T_n, \|\cdot\|_2)$ (T_n ignores sparsity). From what we covered last class, we can determine that $\log N(\epsilon, T_n, \|\cdot\|_2) \leq (1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon})^d$. From here, we can take a union bound over k -dimensional subspaces (by using $\binom{n}{k}$) to bound our original packing number:

$$\begin{aligned} N(\epsilon, T_k, \|\cdot\|_2) &\leq \binom{n}{k} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)^k \\ &\leq \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k \left(1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)^k \\ \log N(\epsilon, T_k, \|\cdot\|_2) &\leq k \log\left(\frac{n * 2e}{\epsilon k}\right) \end{aligned}$$

This bound is good as it is something that depends reasonably on k . Using this, we can get a bound on RIP matrices.

Definition 2. A matrix $A \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times n}$ is a (k, ϵ) RIP (Restricted Isometry Property) matrix of order (k, ϵ) if $\forall k$ -sparse x , $\|Ax\|_2 = (1 \pm \epsilon)\|x\|_2$

RIP matrices are useful for recovery of vectors:

Theorem 3. Let $y = Ax + e$ where x is k -sparse and A has $(O(k), .1)$ -RIP. Then, one can recover an \hat{x} such that $\|\hat{x} - x\|_2 \leq O(\|e\|_2)$

Also, let $y = Ax$ where x is not k -sparse and A has RIP. Then, $\|\hat{x} - x\|_1 \leq O(\|x - x_k\|_1)$

We can compare the first bound on \hat{x} to Count-Sketch. In Count-Sketch, we have Ax where x is not k -sparse. Then, w.h.p. we get \hat{x} with $\|\hat{x} - x\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|x - x_k\|_2$. The second bound of the theorem is comparable to Count-Min.

Basically, RIP lets us observe good results once we have selected a good A with the RIP.

3.2 Analysis of Number of Rows (m) for a RIP Matrix

How large does m need to be? We need to determine how many rows m there needs to be in a matrix A in order to satisfy (k, ϵ) RIP.

Pick A as an i.i.d. (sub)gaussian matrix. For any fixed x , we have that $\|Ax\|_2^2 = (1 \pm \epsilon)\|x\|_2^2$ w.p. $1 - \exp^{-\epsilon^2 m \cdot \Omega(1)}$.

We want to be able to get a bound for **all** x , not fixed x . We could use a union bound, but the problem is that there are infinitely many x . This is where we can use metric entropy: close vectors x_i (in some space) will be similar in behavior. Therefore, it suffices to take a union bound over an ϵ -cover of T_k . $m = O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} k \log \frac{n}{\epsilon k})$ will work. This m is needed so that we can use the Johnson-Lindenstrauss bound on the L_2 norm later in the proof below.

Proof of Existence of an A satisfying (k, ϵ) RIP Let C be an ϵ -cover of T_k built as $\binom{n}{k} \epsilon$ -covers of subspaces with support size k . Now, take $x^* \in T_k$.

$$x^* = x_1 + x', \|x'\| \leq \epsilon, x_1 \in C$$

From the fact that $x_1 \in C$ and because of a special property of our cover C (the way it was built), we have that $|\text{supp}(x_1) \cup \text{supp}(x^*)| \leq k$. From this we have that $\|x'\|_0 \leq k$. since we are “rounding” within the same subspace. Now that $\|x'\| \leq \epsilon$ (since x^* is at most ϵ far from x_1 since C is an ϵ -cover) and $\|x'\|_0 \leq k$, we can say $x' \in \epsilon T_k$.

Similarly to x^* above, we can find $x_2 \in C$ and $\|x''\| \leq \epsilon$ satyisfying

$$x' = \epsilon(x_2 + x'')$$

Again, with a similar argument to the one we made for x' above, we can obtain $\|x''\|_0 \leq k$. Again, we can find an $x_3 \in C$ and $\|x'''\| \leq \epsilon$ like above, and we can do this over and over.

As we do this process continuously, we derive the following:

$$\begin{aligned} x^* &= x_1 + \epsilon x_2 + \epsilon^2 x_3 + \dots + \epsilon^{l-1} x_l + x^{(l)} \\ \text{where } \|x^{(l)}\| &\leq \epsilon^l, \|x^{(i)}\|_0 \leq k, x_i \in C \forall i = 1, \dots, l-1 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $m = O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} k \log \frac{n}{\epsilon k})$. This size of m allows us to use Johnson-Lindenstraus for a tail bound, specifically $\|Ax\| = (1 \pm \epsilon)\|x\| \forall x \in C$ by a union bound (see lecture 10 of the Fall 2014 version of the class). We use this below.

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax^*\| &\leq \|Ax_1\| + \epsilon\|Ax_2\| + \epsilon^2\|Ax_3\| + \dots \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)(1 + \epsilon + \epsilon^2 + \dots) \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} = 1 + O(\epsilon) \\ \|Ax^*\| &\geq \|x^*\| - O(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

So, $\forall x \in T_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| - O(\epsilon) &\leq \|Ax\| \leq (1 + O(\epsilon))\|x\| \\ \forall x \in T_k \text{ with } \|x\| = 1, \|Ax\| &= (1 + O(\epsilon))\|x\| \rightarrow \\ \forall x \in T_k \quad \|Ax\| &= (1 + O(\epsilon))\|x\| \rightarrow \\ A \text{ satisfies } (k, O(\epsilon))\text{-RIP if } m &= O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} k \log(\frac{n}{\epsilon k})) \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Further Considerations and Extensions

3.3.1 Other Considerations for A

1. What about small integer entries in A ?

What if $A_{ij} \in \{\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\}$ i.i.d.?

It turns out the proof will work for any subgaussian generated matrix. We only used the Gaussian generated matrix for the JL property for the tail bound.

2. Can we get A to be sparse so we can do computations faster?

This can't happen: shown on homework.

3. Can we still hope to store A more efficiently with limited independence?

Probably not, but note that A (a matrix with the RIP property) can be a fixed matrix (i.e. find once, then reuse).

4. Can we get an explicit matrix?

We can show a $k^{1.9 \times}$ construction.

5. Are there other matrices that fast to store and compute?

We look at Fourier matrices in the following section.

3.3.2 Extensions

Question: How might we use the Fourier matrix to get a RIP matrix that is easier to deal with?

We have $\|Fx\|_2 = \|x\|_2$ (note it preserves the L_2 norm) where $F_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{i \cdot j}{n}}$. By choosing $\Omega \subseteq [n]$ at random (i.e. choose random rows), we get $F_\Omega \times \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}$. If $|\Omega| \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} k \log n \log^2 k$, then F_Ω has (k, ϵ) -RIP with “good” probability.

(note that there have been better results for the last term in the inequality above: $\log^5 k$ [’06], $\log^3 k$ [’08], $\log^2 k$ [’16])

	Gaussian	Fourier
space to store	mn	m
time to multiply	mn	$n \log n$
time to multiply by k -sparse vector	mk	$\min(mk, n \log n)$

We can also use Circulant matrices. Such a matrix C_v is shown below.

v_1	v_2	\cdots	v_{n-1}	v_n
v_2	v_3	\cdots	v_n	v_1
\vdots	\vdots		\vdots	\vdots
v_n	v_1	\cdots	v_{n-2}	v_{n-1}

Similar to what we did for Fourier matrices, we choose $(C_v)_\Omega$ where Ω is **arbitrary** on Circulant matrices. Unlike Fourier, where row selection had to be random, we can choose whichever rows we want without the need for randomness. v , however, is random (e.g. Gaussian).

The number of rows m is $O(k \log n \log^3(k \log n))$.