



Recap: Machine Translation	
	► ELMo
	► BERT
	► BERT r
	Applyi

	Тодау
► ELMo	
► BERT	
 BERT results 	
Applying BERT	













Next "Sentence" Prediction

Transformer

Transformer

enjoyed

Madagascar

like

Devlin et al. (2019)







	What can BER	Γdo?
Entails	(first sentence implies second is true)	Class Label $(\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
	Transformer	BERT
	Transformer	
[CLS] A	boy plays in the snow [SEP] A boy is outside	(a) Sentence 1 Sentence 2 (a) Sentence Pair Classification Tasks: MNLI, QOP, QNLI, STS-B, MRPC, RTE, SWAG
► How	does BERT model this sentence pair stu	ff?
 Trans even 	formers can capture interactions betwe though the NSP objective doesn't really	een the two sentences, / cause this to happen





Fine-tuning BERT

▶ Fine-tune for 1-3 epochs, batch size 2-32, learning rate 2e-5 - 5e-5



- Large changes to weights up here (particularly in last layer to route the right information to [CLS])
- Smaller changes to weights lower down in the transformer
- Small LR and short fine-tuning schedule mean weights don't change much
- Often requires tricky learning rate schedules ("triangular" learning rates with warmup periods)

Wang et al. (2019)

BERT Results

Train	Test	Task	Metrics	Domain	
		Single-Se	entence Tasks		
8.5k	1k	acceptability	Matthews corr.	misc.	
67k	1.8k	sentiment	acc.	movie reviews	
		Similarity and	l Paraphrase Tasks		
3.7k	1.7k	paraphrase	acc./F1	news	
7k	1.4k	sentence similarity	Pearson/Spearman corr.	misc.	
364k	391k	paraphrase	acc./F1	social QA questions	
		Infere	ence Tasks		
393k	20k	NLI	matched acc./mismatched acc.	misc.	
105k	5.4k	QA/NLI	acc.	Wikipedia	
2.5k	3k	NLI	acc.	news, Wikipedia	
634	146	coreference/NLI	acc.	fiction books	
	8.5k 67k 3.7k 7k 364k 393k 105k 2.5k 634	8.5k 1k 67k 1.8k 3.7k 1.7k 7k 1.4k 364k 391k 393k 20k 105k 5.4k 2.5k 3k 634 146	Single-So 8.5k 1k acceptability 67k 1.8k sentiment Similarity and Similarity and 3.7k 1.7k paraphrase 7k 1.4k sentence similarity 364k 391k paraphrase Infere 393k 20k 105k 5.4k QA/NLI 2.5k 3k NLI 634 146 coreference/NLI	Single-Sentence Tasks 8.5k 1k acceptability Matthews corr. 67k 1.8k sentiment acc. Similarity and Paraphrase Tasks 3.7k 1.7k paraphrase acc./F1 7k 1.4k sentence similarity Pearson/Spearman corr. 364k 391k paraphrase acc./F1 Inference Tasks 393k 20k NLI matched acc./mismatched acc. 105k 5.4k QA/NLI acc. 2.5k 3k NLI acc. 634 146 coreference/NLI acc.	



Results

System	MNLI-(m/mm)	OOP	ONLI	SST-2	CoLA	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	Average
S J Storin	392k	363k	108k	67k	8.5k	5.7k	3.5k	2.5k	-
Pre-OpenAI SOTA	80.6/80.1	66.1	82.3	93.2	35.0	81.0	86.0	61.7	74.0
BiLSTM+ELMo+Attn	76.4/76.1	64.8	79.9	90.4	36.0	73.3	84.9	56.8	71.0
OpenAI GPT	82.1/81.4	70.3	88.1	91.3	45.4	80.0	82.3	56.0	75.2
BERTBASE	84.6/83.4	71.2	90.1	93.5	52.1	85.8	88.9	66.4	79.6
BERTLARGE	86.7/85.9	72.1	91.1	94.9	60.5	86.5	89.3	70.1	81.9

Huge improvements over prior work (even compared to ELMo)

 Effective at "sentence pair" tasks: textual entailment (does sentence A imply sentence B), paraphrase detection

Devlin et al. (2018)

	RoBERTa							ELECTRA
"Robustly optimized BERT"	Model	data	bsz	steps	SQuAD (v1.1/2.0)	MNLI-m	SST-2	the \rightarrow [MASK] \rightarrow Chark et al. (2020) chef \rightarrow chef \rightarrow Compared as the \rightarrow original chef \rightarrow origi
 160GB of data instead of 16 GB 	RoBERTa with BOOKS + WIKI + additional data (§3.2) + pretrain longer + pretrain even longer	16GB 160GB 160GB 160GB	8K 8K 8K 8K	100K 100K 300K 500K	93.6/87.3 94.0/87.7 94.4/88.7 94.6/89.4	89.0 89.3 90.0 90.2	95.3 95.6 96.1 96.4	$\begin{array}{c} \text{cooked} \rightarrow [\text{MASK}] \rightarrow (\text{typically a small MLM}) \\ \text{the} \rightarrow \text{the} \rightarrow \\ \text{meal} \rightarrow \text{meal} \rightarrow \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{constrained}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Biscriminator} \\ \text{(ELECTRA)} \\ \text{meal} \rightarrow \text{original} \\ \text{original} \end{array}$
 Dynamic masking: standard BERT uses the same MASK scheme for every epoch, RoBERTa recomputes them 	BERT _{LARGE} with BOOKS + WIKI	13GB	256	1M	90.9/81.8	86.6	93.7	 Discriminator to <i>detect</i> replaced tokens rather than a generator to actually <i>predict</i> what those tokens are Discriminator to <i>detect</i> replaced tokens rather than a generator to actually <i>predict</i> what those tokens are
• New training + more data = I	petter performa	nce			Liu	et al. (2019)	More efficient, strong performance 0 Image: Control of the strong performance 0 Image: Control of the strong performance 0 Image: Control of the strong performance

			Def	BER	Га				
Slightly bette	er vari	ant					He	et al. (2021)
nat is, the attenti ing disentangled <i>bsition-to-content</i>	on wei matrice	$A_{i,j} =$ = ght of s on the position	$\{H_i, P_{i j}\} \times \{H_i, P_i _j\} \times \{H_iH_j^{T} + H_iH_j^{T}\}$ a word pair cateria contents and the toposition 2.	$\{H_j, P_j \}$ $P_j^{T} + F$ an be conducted position	$ i\rangle^{T}$ $\mathbf{P}_{i j}\mathbf{H}_{j}^{T} + \mathbf{D}_{j}$ mputed ns as <i>con</i>	$P_{i j}P_{j}$ as a suntent-to-	i i i i m of f	four atter t, content	(2) ntion scores <i>t-to-position</i> ,
Model	CoLA Mcc	QQP Acc	MNLI-m/mm Acc	SST-2 Acc	STS-B Corr	QNLI Acc	RTE Acc	MRPC Acc	Avg.
Model BERT _{large}	CoLA Mcc 60.6	QQP Acc 91.3	MNLI-m/mm Acc 86.6/-	SST-2 Acc 93.2	STS-B Corr 90.0	QNLI Acc 92.3	RTE Acc 70.4	MRPC Acc 88.0	Avg. 84.05
Model BERT _{large} RoBERTa _{large}	CoLA Mcc 60.6 68.0	QQP Acc 91.3 92.2	MNLI-m/mm Acc 86.6/- 90.2/90.2	SST-2 Acc 93.2 96.4	STS-B Corr 90.0 92.4	QNLI Acc 92.3 93.9	RTE Acc 70.4 86.6	MRPC Acc 88.0 90.9	Avg. 84.05 88.82
Model BERT _{large} RoBERTa _{large} XLNet _{large}	CoLA Mcc 60.6 68.0 69.0	QQP Acc 91.3 92.2 92.3	MNLI-m/mm Acc 86.6/- 90.2/90.2 90.8/90.8	SST-2 Acc 93.2 96.4 97.0	STS-B Corr 90.0 92.4 92.5	QNLI Acc 92.3 93.9 94.9	RTE Acc 70.4 86.6 85.9	MRPC Acc 88.0 90.9 90.8	Avg. 84.05 88.82 89.15
Model BERT _{large} RoBERTa _{large} XLNet _{large} ELECTRA _{large}	CoLA Mcc 60.6 68.0 69.0 69.1	QQP Acc 91.3 92.2 92.3 92.4	MNLI-m/mm Acc 86.6/- 90.2/90.2 90.8/90.8 90.9/-	SST-2 Acc 93.2 96.4 97.0 96.9	STS-B Corr 90.0 92.4 92.5 92.6	QNLI Acc 92.3 93.9 94.9 95.0	RTE Acc 70.4 86.6 85.9 88.0	MRPC Acc 88.0 90.9 90.8 90.8	Avg. 84.05 88.82 89.15 89.46







Natural Language Inference	SNLI Dataset				
PremiseHypothesisA boy plays in the snowentailsA boy is outside	 Show people captions for (unseen) images and solicit entailed / neural / contradictory statements >500,000 contonso pairs 				
A man inspects the uniform of a figurecontradictsThe man is sleepingAn older and younger man smilingneutralTwo men are smiling and laughing at cats playing	 One possible architecture: 300D BiLSTM: 83% accuracy 200d tanh layer 200d tanh layer 				
 Long history of this task: "Recognizing Textual Entailment" challenge in 2006 (Dagan, Glickman, Magnini) Early datasets: small (hundreds of pairs), very ambitious (lots of world knowledge, temporal reasoning, etc.) 	(Liu et al., 2016) • One of the first big successes of LSTM- based classifiers (sentiment results were more marginal) Bowman et al. (201				





Question Answering

Many types of QA:

We'll focus on factoid questions being answered from text

- E.g., "What was Marie Curie the first female recipient of?" unlikely you would have this answer in a database
- Not appropriate: "When was Marie Curie born?" probably answered in a DB
- Not appropriate: "Why did World War II start?" no simple answer

SQuAD

Q: What was Marie Curie the first female recipient of?

Passage: One of the most famous people born in Warsaw was Marie Skłodowska-Curie, who achieved international recognition for her research on radioactivity and was the first female recipient of the **Nobel Prize**. Famous musicians include Władysław Szpilman and Frédéric Chopin. Though Chopin was born in the village of Żelazowa Wola, about 60 km (37 mi) from Warsaw, he moved to the city with his family when he was seven months old. Casimir Pulaski, a Polish general and hero of the American Revolutionary War, was born here in 1745.

Answer = Nobel Prize

 Assume we know a passage that contains the answer. More recent work has shown how to retrieve these effectively (will discuss when we get to QA)





Takeaways

Pre-trained models and BERT are very powerful for a range of NLP tasks

- These models have enabled big advances in NLI and QA specifically
- Next time: final project introduction. Idea of dataset artifacts ("bad" patterns memorized by the model that hurt its ability to generalize) and what we can do about them