





CRFs are discriminative models that will solve these problems

Conditional Random Fields

HMMs are expressible as Bayes nets (factor graphs)



• This reflects the following decomposition:

$$P(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = P(y_1)P(x_1|y_1)P(y_2|y_1)P(x_2|y_2).$$

 Locally normalized model: each factor is a probability distribution that normalizes













| Constituency Parsing |
|----------------------|
| |
| |
| |

Syntax

- Study of word order and how words form sentences
- Why do we care about syntax?

۲

- > Multiple interpretations of words (noun or verb? Fed raises... example)
- Recognize verb-argument structures (who is doing what to whom?)
- Higher level of abstraction beyond words: some languages are SVO, some are VSO, some are SOV, parsing can canonicalize

Constituency Parsing

- Tree-structured syntactic analyses of sentences
- Common things: noun phrases, verb phrases, prepositional phrases
- Bottom layer is POS tags

۲

 Examples will be in English. Constituency makes sense for a lot of languages but not all









| Survey | |
|---|--|
| 1. The pace of the first few lectures (naive Bayes, logistic regression, perceptron, etc.) was [too fast/too slow/just right] | |
| 2. The pace of the last few lectures (tagging, Viterbi, parsing) was [too fast/too slow/just right] | |
| 3. The homeworks overall are [too hard/too easy/just right] | |
| 4. I would prefer A3 be due on [Friday March 8 / Monday March 11] (midterm is on Thursday, March 14) | |
| 5. Other comments (likes/dislikes) | |