POS Tagging



Baseline: assign each word its most frequent tag: ~90% accuracy

Trigram HMM: ~95% accuracy / 55% on unknown words

HMM POS Tagging



Trigram Taggers

- NNP VBZ NN NNS CD NN Fed raises interest rates 0.5 percent
- Trigram model: $y_1 = (\langle S \rangle, NNP), y_2 = (NNP, VBZ), ...$
- P((VBZ, NN) | (NNP, VBZ)) more context! Noun-verb-noun S-V-O
- Tradeoff between model capacity and data size trigrams are a "sweet spot" for POS tagging



HMM POS Tagging

- Baseline: assign each word its most frequent tag: ~90% accuracy
- Trigram HMM: ~95% accuracy / 55% on unknown words
- Int tagger (Brants 1998, tuned HMM): 96.2% acc / 86.0% on unks
- MaxEnt tagger (Toutanova + Manning 2000): 96.9% / 87.0%
- State-of-the-art (BiLSTM-CRFs): 97.5% / 89%+

Slide credit: Dan Klein





								<u> </u>					
		JJ	NN	NNP	NNPS	RB	RP	IN	VB	VBD	VBN	VBP	Total
	JJ	0	(177)	56	0	61	2	5	10	15	108	0	488
	NN	244	0	103	0	12	1	1	29	5	6	19	525
	NNP	107	106	0	132	5	0	7	5	1	2	0	427
	NNPS	1	0	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	142
	RB	72	21	7	0	0	16	138	1	0	0	0	295
	RP	0	0	0	0	39	0	65	0	0	0	0	104
	IN	11	0	1	0	169	103	0	1	0	0	0	323
	VB	17	64	9	0	2	0	1	0	4	7	85	189
	VBD	10	5	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	143	2	166
	VBN	101	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	108	0	1	221
:	VBP	5	34	3	1	1	0	2	49	6	3	0	104
	Total	626	536	348	144	317	122	279	102	140	269	108	3651
JJ/	ΝN	NN	J		VBD	RP/	/IN D	Τ Ν	IN		RB	VBD,	/VBN
off	icial k	now	/ledg	je	mad	le u	ip th	ne st	ory	re	ecent	ly so	old s
(NN NN	l: tax d	cut,	art g	allery	/,)			S	lide cr	edit: Da	an Klein	/ Touta	nova +

rrors

NNS hares

Slide credit: Dan Klein / Toutanova + Manning (2000)





- Lexicon gap (word not seen with that tag in training): 4.5% of errors
- Unknown word: 4.5%
- Could get right: 16% (many of these involve parsing!)
- Difficult linguistics: 20%

VBD / VBP? (past or present?)

- Underspecified / unclear, gold standard inconsistent / wrong: 58%
 - adjective or verbal participle? JJ / VBN?
 - a \$ 10 million fourth-quarter charge against discontinued operations

Remaining Errors

- They set up absurd situations, detached from reality

Manning 2011 "Part-of-Speech Tagging from 97% to 100%: Is It Time for Some Linguistics?"



Other Languages

Language	CRF+	CRF	BTS	BTS*
Bulgarian	97.97	97.00	97.84	97.02
Czech	98.38	98.00	98.50	98.44
Danish	95.93	95.06	95.52	92.45
German	93.08	91.99	92.87	92.34
Greek	97.72	97.21	97.39	96.64
English	95.11	94.51	93.87	94.00
Spanish	96.08	95.03	95.80	95.26
Farsi	96.59	96.25	96.82	96.76
Finnish	94.34	92.82	95.48	96.05
French	96.00	95.93	95.75	95.17
Indonesian	92.84	92.71	92.85	91.03
Italian	97.70	97.61	97.56	97.40
Swedish	96.81	96.15	95.57	93.17
AVERAGE	96.04	95.41	95.85	95.06

Universal POS tagset (~12 tags), cross-lingual neural model works well





