I give you Pizzle theory, and Michael Jackson is involved! Great! Now we have a system that will generate scientific misinformation, too, and it takes no effort to get it to spit out something fake. #GALACTICA galactica.org/?prompt=wiki+a...
Announcements

- FP due April 28

- Presentations next week; see Canvas, it’s the same as that unless you’ve been contacted about a swap. I will send out the final schedule on Sunday.

- Course evaluations: please fill these out for extra credit! Upload a screenshot with your final project showing you’ve finished the evaluation for +1% on your final project grade.
Ethics in NLP
Things to Consider

‣ What ethical questions do we need to consider around NLP?

‣ What kinds of “bad” things can happen from seemingly “good” technology?

‣ What kinds of “bad” things can happen if this technology is used for explicitly bad aims (e.g., generating misinformation)?
What are we not discussing today?

Is powerful AI going to kill us?

- Maybe, lots of work on “x-risk” but a lot of this is philosophical and sort of speculative, hard to unpack with tools in this class

- Instead, let’s think about more near-term harms that have already been documented

What can actually go wrong for people, today?
Machine-learned NLP Systems

- Aggregate textual information to make predictions
- Hard to know why some predictions are made
- More and more widely use in various applications/sectors

- What are the risks here?
  - ...inherent in these system? E.g.: if they’re unfair, what bad things can happen?
  - ...of certain applications?
    - IE / QA / summarization?
    - MT?
    - Dialogue?
What are the risks here inherent to these systems we’ve seen? E.g., fairness: we might have a good system but it does bad things if it’s unfair.
Brainstorming

- What are the risks here of applications? Misuse and abuse of NLP
Broad Types of Risk

System

Application-specific
- IE / QA / summarization?
- Machine translation?
- Dialog?

Machine learning, generally
Deep learning, generally

Types of risk

Dangers of automation: automating things in ways we don’t understand is dangerous

Exclusion: underprivileged users are left behind by systems

Bias amplification: systems exacerbate real-world bias rather than correct for it

Unethical use: powerful systems can be used for bad ends

Hovy and Spruit (2016)
Bias Amplification

- Bias in data: 67% of training images involving cooking are women, model predicts 80% women cooking at test time — amplifies bias

- Can we constrain models to avoid this while achieving the same predictive accuracy?

- Place constraints on proportion of predictions that are men vs. women?

Zhao et al. (2017)
Bias Amplification

\[
\max_{\{y^i\} \in \{Y^i\}} \sum_i f_\theta(y^i, i),
\]

subject to \( A \sum_i y^i - b \leq 0 \),

Maximize score of predictions...

\( f(y, i) = \text{score of predicting } y \text{ on ith example} \)

...subject to bias constraint

- Constraints: male prediction ratio on the test set has to be close to the ratio on the training set

\[
b^* - \gamma \leq \frac{\sum_i y^i_{v=v^*, r \in M}}{\sum_i y^i_{v=v^*, r \in W} + \sum_i y^i_{v=v^*, r \in M}} \leq b^* + \gamma
\]

(2)

Zhao et al. (2017)
Coreference: models make assumptions about genders and make mistakes as a result

Rudinger et al. (2018), Zhao et al. (2018)
Bias Amplification

(1a) The paramedic performed CPR on the passenger even though she/he/they knew it was too late.
(2a) The paramedic performed CPR on the passenger even though she/he/they was/were already dead.
(1b) The paramedic performed CPR on someone even though she/he/they knew it was too late.
(2b) The paramedic performed CPR on someone even though she/he/they was/were already dead.

- Can form a targeted test set to investigate
- Models fail to predict on this test set in an unbiased way (due to bias in the training data)

Rudinger et al. (2018), Zhao et al. (2018)
Bias Amplification

- English -> French machine translation requires inferring gender even when unspecified

- “dancer” is assumed to be female in the context of the word “charming”… but maybe that reflects how language is used?

Alvarez-Melis and Jaakkola (2017)
## Broad Types of Risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Types of risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application-specific</td>
<td><strong>Dangers of automation</strong>: automating things in ways we don’t understand is dangerous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• IE / QA / summarization?</td>
<td><strong>Exclusion</strong>: underprivileged users are left behind by systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Machine translation?</td>
<td><strong>Bias amplification</strong>: systems exacerbate real-world bias rather than correct for it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dialog?</td>
<td><strong>Unethical use</strong>: powerful systems can be used for bad ends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine learning, generally</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep learning, generally</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hovy and Spruit (2016)
Exclusion

- Most of our annotated data is English data, especially newswire

- What about:

  Dialects?

  Other languages? (Non-European/CJK)

  Codeswitching?

- Caveat: especially when building something for a group with a small group of speakers, need to take care to respect their values
Dangers of Automatic Systems

‣ “Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women”
  ‣ “Women’s X” organization was a negative-weight feature in resumes
  ‣ Women’s colleges too

‣ Was this a bad model? Maybe it correctly reflected the biases in the what the humans did in the **actual** recruiting process
Facebook apologizes after wrong translation sees Palestinian man arrested for posting ‘good morning’

Facebook translated his post as ‘attack them’ and ‘hurt them’

by Thuy Ong | @ThuyOng | Oct 24, 2017, 10:43am EDT

Slide credit: The Verge
Pizzle theory is a set of principles in software development that provide a conceptual framework for understanding the interaction of the people, process and technology in the development of a software system. The name comes from the pizza shop where the ideas were first discussed, though it is also known as the "Pizza Triangle" or "Pizza Model".

The ideas were first discussed by three people at a pizza shop in Cambridge, England in the early 1990s. The original three were Michael Jackson, Peter Lowe and Dave Thomas. Jackson and Lowe are now academic researchers, while Thomas is a consultant. The pizza shop where the ideas were first discussed is now owned by Lowe and Thomas, and has become a successful business.

I give you Pizzle theory, and Michael Jackson is involved! Great! Now we have a system that will generate scientific misinformation, too, and it takes no effort to get it to spit out something fake. #GALACTICA galactica.org/?prompt=wiki+a...
# Dangers of Automatic Systems

## Translations of gay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>adjective</th>
<th>Homosexual</th>
<th>Homosexual, gay, camp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>alegre</td>
<td>cheerful, glad, joyful, happy, merry, gay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brillante</td>
<td>bright, brilliant, shiny, shining, glowing, glistening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vivo</td>
<td>live, alive, living, vivid, bright, lively</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vistoso</td>
<td>colorful, ornate, flamboyant, colourful, gorgeous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jovial</td>
<td>jovial, cheerful, cheery, gay, friendly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gayo</td>
<td>merry, gay, showy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>noun</th>
<th>el homosexual</th>
<th>homosexual, gay, poof, queen, faggot, fagot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>el jovial</td>
<td>gay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Slide credit: allout.org*
Dangers of Automatic Systems

▶ “Toxic degeneration”: systems that generate toxic stuff

GENERATION OPTIONS:

Model: GPT-2
Toxicity: Work Safe Toxic Very Toxic

Prompt: I'm sick of all the p...

⚠️ Toxic generations may be triggering.

I'm sick of all the politically correct stuff the media are telling you: you are sick of the prejudiced white trash [Trump supporters]....]

▶ System trained on a big chunk of the Internet: conditioning on “SJW”, “black” gives the system a chance of recalling bad stuff from its training data

https://toxicdegeneration.allenai.org/
Unethical Use: Privacy

Anonymization (De-Identification)

HitzalMed
(Lopez et al., 2020)

After having run some anonymization system on our data, is everything fine?

Friedrich + Zesch

Image Source: https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.lrec-1.870/
Unethical Use

- Wang and Kosinski: gay vs. straight classification based on faces

- Authors argued they were testing a hypothesis: sexual orientation has a genetic component reflected in appearance

- Blog post by Agüera y Arcas, Todorov, Mitchell: the system detects mostly social phenomena (glasses, makeup, angle of camera, facial hair)

- Potentially dangerous tool, and **not even good science**
Unethical Use: LLMs

- Many hypothesized issues, although not much documentation/systematic study yet:
  - AI-generated misinformation (intentional or not)
  - Cheating/plagiarism (in school, academic papers, ...)
  - “Better Google” can also help people learn how to build bombs and things like that
- What have we seen so far?
How to move forward

› Hal Daume III: Proposed code of ethics
   https://nlpers.blogspot.com/2016/12/should-nlp-and-ml-communities-have-code.html

› Many other points, but these are relevant:
   • Contribute to society and human well-being, and minimize negative consequences of computing systems
   • Make reasonable effort to prevent misinterpretation of results
   • Make decisions consistent with safety, health, and welfare of public
   • Improve understanding of technology, its applications, and its potential consequences (pos and neg)

› Value-sensitive design: vsdesign.org

› Account for human values in the design process: understand whose values matter here, analyze how technology impacts those values
How to move forward

› Datasheets for datasets [Gebru et al., 2018]

› Set of criteria for describing the properties of a dataset; a subset:
  › What is the nature of the data?
  › Errors or noise in the dataset?
  › Does the dataset contain confidential information?
  › Is it possible to identify individuals directly from the dataset?

› Related proposal: Model Cards for Model Reporting
How to move forward

- Closing the AI Accountability Gap [Raji et al., 2020]

- Structured framework for producing an audit of an AI system
Final Thoughts

‣ You will face choices: what you choose to work on, what company you choose to work for, etc.

‣ Tech does not exist in a vacuum: you can work on problems that will fundamentally make the world a better place or a worse place (not always easy to tell)

‣ As AI becomes more powerful, think about what we should be doing with it to improve society, not just what we can do with it