A Formal Model of x86 for Machine-Code Proofs

Shilpi Goel

shigoel@cs.utexas.edu
The University of Texas at Austin

Goal: Build a program verification framework that can be deployed in the software industry.

Goal: Build a program verification framework that can be deployed in the software industry.

Observations:

 Machine code verification frameworks can serve as general-purpose program analysis frameworks.

Goal: Build a program verification framework that can be deployed in the software industry.

Observations:

- Machine code verification frameworks can serve as general-purpose program analysis frameworks.
- Analysis of program behavior is done by both *simulation* and *formal* verification.

Goal: Build a program verification framework that can be deployed in the software industry.

Observations:

- Machine code verification frameworks can serve as general-purpose program analysis frameworks.
- Analysis of program behavior is done by both *simulation* and *formal* verification.
- There are separate tools for simulation and formal verification.

Approach

Develop a *formal* and *executable* model of the x86 instruction set architecture in the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{C12}}$ theorem proving system.

Approach

Develop a *formal* and *executable* model of the x86 instruction set architecture in the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ theorem proving system.

Simulate x86 machine code programs produced by GCC/LLVM compilers.

- Co-simulations are done to validate the model.
 - We believe that we have the fastest formal x86 simulator.
 (~580K 2.4 million instructions/sec)

Approach

Develop a *formal* and *executable* model of the x86 instruction set architecture in the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ theorem proving system.

Simulate x86 machine code programs produced by GCC/LLVM compilers.

- Co-simulations are done to validate the model.
 - We believe that we have the fastest formal x86 simulator.
 (~580K 2.4 million instructions/sec)

- Prove or disprove the correctness of machine code programs with respect to their specifications.
 - Reason about straight-line code automatically using a verified bit-blasting library in ACL2.

Future Work

Automated reasoning about machine code

 Mechanically verify non-trivial programs like cat, standard library functions, operating system processes, etc.

Future Work

Automated reasoning about machine code

 Mechanically verify non-trivial programs like cat, standard library functions, operating system processes, etc.

Analysis of resource usage

Memory consumption

Future Work

Automated reasoning about machine code

 Mechanically verify non-trivial programs like cat, standard library functions, operating system processes, etc.

Analysis of resource usage

Memory consumption

Program comprehension and bug identification

Is there any set of inputs for a program that can produce a desired output?

A Formal Model of x86 for Machine-Code Proofs

Shilpi Goel

shigoel@cs.utexas.edu
The University of Texas at Austin