

# **Proof Pearl: A New Foundation for Nominal Isabelle**

**Brian Huffman and Christian Urban**

# Nominal Isabelle

- ...is a definitional extension of Isabelle/HOL (let-polymorphism and type classes)
- ...provides a convenient reasoning infrastructure for terms involving binders (e.g. lambda calculus, variable convention)

# Nominal Isabelle

- ...is a definitional extension of Isabelle/HOL (let-polymorphism and type classes)
- ...provides a convenient reasoning infrastructure for terms involving binders (e.g. lambda calculus, variable convention)
- ...mainly used to find errors in my own (published) paper proofs and in those of others :o)

# Nominal Theory

...by Pitts; at its core are:

- sorted atoms and
- sort-respecting permutations

# Nominal Theory

...by Pitts; at its core are:

- sorted atoms and
- sort-respecting permutations

$$\pi \cdot x$$

# Nominal Theory

...by Pitts; at its core are:

- sorted atoms and
- sort-respecting permutations

$$\text{inv\_of\_}\pi \cdot (\pi \cdot x) = x$$

# The “Old Way”

- sorted atoms
  - **separate types** (“copies” of nat)
- sort-respecting permutations
  - **lists of pairs of atoms (list swappings)**

# The “Old Way”

- sorted atoms

→ **separate types** (“copies” of nat)

- sort-respecting permutations

→ **lists of pairs of atoms (list swappings)**

$$[] \cdot c = c \quad (a\ b) :: \pi \cdot c = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } \pi \cdot c = a \\ a & \text{if } \pi \cdot c = b \\ \pi \cdot c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



# The “Old Way”

- sorted atoms  
     $\mapsto$  **separate types** (“copies” of nat)
- sort-respecting permutations  
     $\mapsto$  **lists of pairs of atoms (list swappings)**

$$[] \cdot c = c \quad (a\ b) :: \pi \cdot c = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } \pi \cdot c = a \\ a & \text{if } \pi \cdot c = b \\ \pi \cdot c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**The big benefit:** the type system takes care of the sort-respecting requirement.

# The “Old Way”

- sorted atoms

→ **separate types** (“copies” of nat)

- sort-respecting permutations

→ **lists of pairs of atoms (list swappings)**

$$[] \cdot c = c \quad (a\ b) :: \pi \cdot c = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } \pi \cdot c = a \\ a & \text{if } \pi \cdot c = b \\ \pi \cdot c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**A small benefit:** permutation composition is **list append** and permutation inversion is **list reversal**.

# Problems

- $\_ \cdot \_ :: \alpha \text{ perm} \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \beta$
- $\text{supp } \_ :: \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ set}$   
 $\text{finite}(\text{supp } x)_{\alpha_1 \text{ set}} \dots \text{finite}(\text{supp } x)_{\alpha_n \text{ set}}$
- $\forall \pi_{\alpha_1} \dots \pi_{\alpha_n} . P$
- type-classes

# Problems

- $\_ \cdot \_ :: \alpha \text{ perm} \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \beta$
- $\text{supp } \_ :: \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ set}$   
 $\text{finite}(\text{supp } x)_{\alpha_1 \text{ set}} \dots \text{finite}(\text{supp } x)_{\alpha_n \text{ set}}$
- $\forall \pi_{\alpha_1} \dots \pi_{\alpha_n} . P$
- type-classes
  - $[] \cdot x = x$
  - $(\pi_1 @ \pi_2) \cdot x = \pi_1 \cdot (\pi_2 \cdot x)$
  - if  $\pi_1 \sim \pi_2$  then  $\pi_1 \cdot x = \pi_2 \cdot x$
  - if  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  have diff. type, then  $\pi_1 \cdot (\pi_2 \cdot x) = \pi_2 \cdot (\pi_1 \cdot x)$

# Problems

- $\_ \cdot \_ :: \alpha \text{ perm} \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \beta$
- $\text{supp } \_ :: \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ set}$   
 $\text{finite}(\text{supp } x)_{\alpha_1 \text{ set}} \dots \text{finite}(\text{supp } x)_{\alpha_n \text{ set}}$
- $\forall \pi_{\alpha_1} \dots \pi_{\alpha_n} . P$
- type-classes can only have **one** type parameter
  - $[] \cdot x = x$
  - $(\pi_1 @ \pi_2) \cdot x = \pi_1 \cdot (\pi_2 \cdot x)$
  - if  $\pi_1 \sim \pi_2$  then  $\pi_1 \cdot x = \pi_2 \cdot x$
  - if  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  have diff. type, then  $\pi_1 \cdot (\pi_2 \cdot x) = \pi_2 \cdot (\pi_1 \cdot x)$

# Problems

- $\_ \cdot \_ :: \alpha \text{ perm} \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \beta$

- $\text{supp } \_ :: \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ set}$

$$\text{finite}(\text{supp } x)_{\alpha_1 \text{ set}} \dots \text{finite}(\text{supp } x)_{\alpha_n \text{ set}}$$

- $\forall \pi_{\alpha_1}$

- lots of ML-code

- not pretty

- type-c

- not a proof pearl :o(

- $[] \cdot$

- $(\pi_1 @ \pi_2) \cdot x = \pi_1 \cdot (\pi_2 \cdot x)$

- if  $\pi_1 \sim \pi_2$  then  $\pi_1 \cdot x = \pi_2 \cdot x$

- if  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  have diff. type, then  $\pi_1 \cdot (\pi_2 \cdot x) = \pi_2 \cdot (\pi_1 \cdot x)$

# A Better Way

**datatype** atom = Atom string nat

# A Better Way

**datatype** atom = Atom string nat

- permutations are (restricted) bijective functions from atom  $\Rightarrow$  atom
  - sort-respecting  $(\forall a. \text{sort}(\pi a) = \text{sort}(a))$
  - finite domain  $(\text{finite}\{a. \pi a \neq a\})$

$$\_ \cdot \_ :: \text{perm} \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \beta$$



# A Better Way

**datatype** atom = Atom string nat

- permutations are (restricted) bijective functions from atom  $\Rightarrow$  atom
  - sort-respecting  $(\forall a. \text{sort}(\pi a) = \text{sort}(a))$
  - finite domain  $(\text{finite}\{a. \pi a \neq a\})$
- What about **swappings**?

$(a \ b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{if } \text{sort}(a) = \text{sort}(b)$   
then  $\lambda c. \text{if } a = c \text{ then } b \text{ else if } b = c \text{ then } a \text{ else } c$   
else ?

# A Better Way

**datatype** atom = Atom string nat

- permutations are (restricted) bijective functions from atom  $\Rightarrow$  atom
  - sort-respecting  $(\forall a. \text{sort}(\pi a) = \text{sort}(a))$
  - finite domain  $(\text{finite}\{a. \pi a \neq a\})$
- What about **swappings**?

$(a \ b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{if } \text{sort}(a) = \text{sort}(b)$   
then  $\lambda c. \text{if } a = c \text{ then } b \text{ else if } b = c \text{ then } a \text{ else } c$   
else **id**

# A Smoother Nominal Theory

From there it is essentially plain sailing:

# A Smoother Nominal Theory

From there it is essentially plain sailing:

- $(a\ b) = (b\ a)$

# A Smoother Nominal Theory

From there it is essentially plain sailing:

- $(a\ b) = (b\ a)$
- permutations are an instance of Isabelle's  $\text{group\_add}\ (0, \pi_1 + \pi_2, -\pi)$

# A Smoother Nominal Theory

From there it is essentially plain sailing:

- $(a\ b) = (b\ a) = (a\ c) + (b\ c) + (a\ c)$
- permutations are an instance of Isabelle's `group_add (0,  $\pi_1 + \pi_2$ ,  $-\pi$ )`

# A Smoother Nominal Theory

From there it is essentially plain sailing:

- $(a\ b) = (b\ a) = (a\ c) + (b\ c) + (a\ c)$
- permutations are an instance of Isabelle's `group_add (0,  $\pi_1 + \pi_2$ ,  $-\pi$ )`

This is slightly odd, since in general:

$$\pi_1 + \pi_2 \neq \pi_2 + \pi_1$$

# A Smoother Nominal Theory

From there it is essentially plain sailing:

- $(a\ b) = (b\ a) = (a\ c) + (b\ c) + (a\ c)$
- permutations are an instance of Isabelle's `group_add (0,  $\pi_1 + \pi_2$ ,  $-\pi$ )`
- $\_ \cdot \_ :: \text{perm} \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ 
  - $0 \cdot x = x$
  - $(\pi_1 + \pi_2) \cdot x = \pi_1 \cdot (\pi_2 \cdot x)$



# A Smoother Nominal Theory

From there it is essentially plain sailing:

- $(a\ b) = (b\ a) = (a\ c) + (b\ c) + (a\ c)$
  - permutations are an instance of Isabelle's  $\text{group\_add}(0, \pi_1 + \pi_2, -\pi)$
  - $\_ \cdot \_ :: \text{perm} \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ 
    - $0 \cdot x = x$
    - $(\pi_1 + \pi_2) \cdot x = \pi_1 \cdot (\pi_2 \cdot x)$
- only one type class needed,  $\text{finite}(\text{supp } x)$ ,  
 $\forall \pi. P$

# One Snatch

`datatype` atom = Atom string nat

- You like to get the advantages of the old way back: you **cannot mix** atoms of different sort:

e.g. LF-objects:

$$M ::= c \mid x \mid \lambda x:A.M \mid M_1 M_2$$

# Our Solution

- concrete atoms:

```
typedef name = "{a :: atom. sort a = "name"}"
```

```
typedef ident = "{a :: atom. sort a = "ident"}"
```

- they are a "subtype" of the generic atom type
- there is an overloaded function **atom**, which injects concrete atoms into generic ones

$$\text{atom}(a) \# x$$
$$(a \leftrightarrow b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{atom}(a) \ \text{atom}(b))$$

# Our Solution

- concrete atoms:

**typedef** name = "{a :: atom. sort a = "name"}"

**typedef** ident = "{a :: atom. sort a = "ident"}"

- they are a "subtype" of the generic atom type
- there is an overloaded function **atom**, which injects concrete atoms into generic ones

$$\text{atom}(a) \# x$$

$$(a \leftrightarrow b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{atom}(a) \text{ atom}(b))$$

One would like to have  $a \# x, (a \ b), \dots$

# Sorts Reloaded

**datatype** atom = Atom string nat

# Sorts Reloaded

**datatype** atom = Atom string nat

**Problem:** HOL-binders or Church-style  
lambda-terms

$$\lambda x_{\alpha}. x_{\alpha} x_{\beta}$$

# Sorts Reloaded

**datatype** atom = Atom string nat

**Problem:** HOL-binders or Church-style  
lambda-terms

$$\lambda x_{\alpha}. x_{\alpha} x_{\beta}$$

**datatype** ty = TVar string | ty  $\rightarrow$  ty

**datatype** var = Var name ty

# Sorts Reloaded

**datatype** atom = Atom string nat

**Problem:** HOL-binders or Church-style  
lambda-terms

$$\lambda x_{\alpha}. x_{\alpha} x_{\beta}$$

**datatype** ty = TVar string | ty  $\rightarrow$  ty

**datatype** var = Var name ty

$$(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot (x_{\alpha}, x_{\beta}) = (y_{\alpha}, y_{\beta})$$



# Non-Working Solution

Instead of

**datatype** atom = Atom string nat

have

**datatype** 'a atom = Atom 'a nat

# Non-Working Solution

Instead of

**datatype** atom = Atom string nat

have

**datatype** 'a atom = Atom 'a nat

But then

$$\_ \bullet \_ :: \alpha \text{ perm} \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \beta$$

# A Working Solution

**datatype** sort = Sort string "sort list"

**datatype** atom = Atom sort nat

# A Working Solution

**datatype** sort = Sort string "sort list"

**datatype** atom = Atom sort nat

$\text{sort\_ty } (\text{TVar } x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Sort "TVar" } [\text{Sort } x \ []]$

$\text{sort\_ty } (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Sort "Fun" } [\text{sort\_ty } \tau_1, \text{sort\_ty } \tau_2]$

# A Working Solution

**datatype** sort = Sort string "sort list"

**datatype** atom = Atom sort nat

$\text{sort\_ty}(\text{TVar } x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Sort "TVar" [Sort } x \text{ []]}$

$\text{sort\_ty}(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Sort "Fun" [sort\_ty } \tau_1, \text{sort\_ty } \tau_2 \text{]}$

**typedef** var = {a :: atom. sort a  $\in$  range sort\_ty}

# A Working Solution

**datatype** sort = Sort string "sort list"

**datatype** atom = Atom sort nat

$\text{sort\_ty } (\text{TVar } x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Sort "TVar" } [\text{Sort } x \ []]$

$\text{sort\_ty } (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Sort "Fun" } [\text{sort\_ty } \tau_1, \text{sort\_ty } \tau_2]$

**typedef** var = {a :: atom. sort a  $\in$  range sort\_ty}

$\text{Var } x \ \tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lceil \text{Atom } (\text{sort\_ty } \tau) \ x \rceil$

$(\text{Var } x \ \tau \leftrightarrow \text{Var } y \ \tau) \bullet \text{Var } x \ \tau = \text{Var } y \ \tau$

$(\text{Var } x \ \tau \leftrightarrow \text{Var } y \ \tau) \bullet \text{Var } x \ \tau' = \text{Var } x \ \tau'$

# Conclusion

- the formalised version of the nominal theory is now much nicer to work with (sorts are occasionally explicit,  $\forall \pi. P$ )
- permutations: "be as abstract as you can" (group\_add is a slight oddity)
- the crucial insight: allow sort-disrespecting swappings

# Conclusion

- the formalised version of the nominal theory is now much nicer to work with (sorts are occasionally explicit,  $\forall \pi. P$ )
- permutations: "be as abstract as you can" (group\_add is a slight oddity)
- the crucial insight: allow sort-disrespecting swappings ... just define them as the identity



# Conclusion

- the formalised version of the nominal theory is now much nicer to work with (sorts are occasionally explicit,  $\forall \pi.P$ )
- permutations: "be as abstract as you can" (group\_add is a slight oddity)
- the crucial insight: allow sort-disrespecting swappings ... just define them as the identity (a referee called this a "hack")

# Conclusion

- the formalised version of the nominal theory is now much nicer to work with (sorts are occasionally explicit,  $\forall \pi.P$ )
- permutations: "be as abstract as you can" (group\_add is a slight oddity)
- the crucial insight: allow sort-disrespecting swappings ... just define them as the identity (a referee called this a "hack")
- there will be a hands-on tutorial about Nominal Isabelle at POPL'11 in Austin Texas

**Thank you very much**  
**Questions?**