

Lesson 05-01: Transport Layer Intro

CS 326E Elements of Networking


Mikyung Han

mhan@cs.utexas.edu

Example Protocols

Responsible for

Internet Reference Model



FTP, HTTP, SMTP

Application

application specific needs

TCP, UDP

Transport

process to process data transfer

IP

Network

host to host data transfer across different network

Ethernet, WiFi

Link

data transfer between physically adjacent nodes

802.3 PHY

Physical

bit-by-bit or symbol-by-symbol delivery




Outline

I. Why Transport Layer?

What is transport layer responsible for?

Transport layer's task is to deliver packets to the right application process


- Provides logical one hop to application processes running on different hosts
- Packets in transport layer are called **Segments**
- TCP or UDP



Transport Layer Actions

Sender:


- is passed an application-layer message
- determines segment header fields values
- creates segment
- passes segment to IP



Transport Layer Actions

Receiver:

- receives segment from IP
- checks header values
- extracts application-layer message
- demultiplexes message up to application via socket



Outline

1. Why Transport Layer?

 2. UDP vs TCP

UDP?

TCP vs UDP Features


■ TCP: Transmission Control Protocol

- reliable, in-order delivery
- congestion control
- flow control
- Connection-setup

■ UDP: User Datagram Protocol

- unreliable, unordered delivery
- no-frills extension of “best-effort” IP

■ Both has NO guarantee on delay or bandwidth



When would you prefer UDP over TCP?

Another difference is
TCP is connection-oriented while UDP is connection less!

What do we mean by “connection”?

What is **connection** here?

- **BTW, this is different from Internet connectivity**
 - "Oh I don't have WiFi connection"
 - This is NOT what we are talking about
- **It is a short form of "connection-establishment"**

Analogy: Chocolate Handing Out Protocol (CHOP)

Purpose is to hand out chocolates to people

CHOP 1

- Whoever stops by to your station, you hand out the pre-packaged chocolate no matter what

CHOP 2

- Before you handout chocolate, you ask
 - How many they want
 - What kind they want
 - What time they want
 - Their names and contact
- After the agreement, you then hand out the chocolate accordingly

“Connection” in this context means
establishing agreement prior to actual data exchange

We say the protocol is **connection-oriented**

- There exists “establishment phase” prior to actual data exchange
 - Aka hand-shake
- Applies to all layers, not just transport layer
- Connection establishment and data exchange can happen over the same “channel”
 - Such as same TCP connection
- Sometime connection establishment can be done over a different mean
 - Connection establishment is done in “control channel”
 - Data exchange can be done separately in “data channel”

Recap: we say the protocol is **stateful**

- **The protocol saves any state regarding the other party**
 - At least one side (server side) saves state regarding the other (receiver) side
 - Or both side save info regarding the other side

UDP is stateless and TCP is stateful

There exists some correlation between being state-less/full and connection-less/-oriented

- Bottom line is “how much do I care about the other party”?
 - Anything beyond the src address/port?
- Do I need to do something differently based on whom I am talking with?
 - Send more/less traffic
 - Send particular packets
- Establishing how to differ would be connection-oriented part
- Saving that info would be the stateful part

Can connection-oriented protocol be stateless?

In order to be stateless but still connection-oriented... where to save the "states" related to connection?

- Where do we normally save these states at?
 - Inside server machine (or client machine as well)
- Where else besides server or client to "record" states?

Without anyone saving the states at host,
each party can specify the agreement in the packet header!

Connection-oriented stateless protocol example

- Both server and client agrees to use WiFi channel # 1 to communicate
 - [WiFi Channels](#)
- Server and client exchanges data without saving any other info but just specify Ch 1 info in the packet header

More questions

- **Can connection-oriented protocol be stateless?**
 - The same as “can stateless protocol be connection-oriented?”
- **Can connection-less protocol be stateful?**
 - The same as “can stateful protocol be connection less?”
 - Can we save states without initial handshake?

UDP is connection-less stateless protocol


- **UDP doesn't really care who it is talking with**
 - Yes, the receiving end does take a look at IP:port of the source and replies back to that IP:port but that's about it
- **No need to establish custom “channel” for the communication**
- **UDP does not maintain any states of who they are**
 - The upper application layer may care and maintains states but not in transport layer
- **Same socket are shared to receive messages from multiple clients**

UDP demultiplexing

```
DatagramSocket mySocket2 = new  
DatagramSocket  
(9157);
```

```
DatagramSocket serverSocket = new  
DatagramSocket  
(6428);
```

```
DatagramSocket mySocket1 = new  
DatagramSocket (5775);
```



TCP is connection-oriented stateful protocol


- TCP cares about with whom it's talking
- Pre-establishes agreement for data exchange: TCP hand-shake
- States are maintained per connection
 - ACK, sequence number
- The connection is identified by 4 tuple
 - Each src(IP:port) – dst(IP:port) pair is a “connection”
- Typically, a separate socket is used for each client unlike UDP

TCP uses a separate socket for each client

- Each client has different IP:port
- Server has one listening socket that all new client requests comes in
 - [listening socket] Well-known $IP_s:port_s$
- Server communicates with each client with a separate socket
 - [servicing socket 1] $IP_s:port_s - IP_{c1}:port_{c1}$
 - [servicing socket 2] $IP_s:port_s - IP_{c2}:port_{c2}$
 - [servicing socket 3] $IP_s:port_s - IP_{c3}:port_{c3}$

TCP socket is identified by 4 tuples!

TCP demultiplexing



Three segments, all destined to IP address: B
are demultiplexed to **different** sockets

TCP header vs UDP header

TCP Segment Header Format								
Bit #	0	7	8	15	16	23	24	31
0	Source Port				Destination Port			
32	Sequence Number							
64	Acknowledgment Number							
96	Data Offset	Res	Flags			Window Size		
128	Header and Data Checksum				Urgent Pointer			
160...	Options							

UDP Datagram Header Format								
Bit #	0	7	8	15	16	23	24	31
0	Source Port				Destination Port			
32	Length				Header and Data Checksum			

Outline

1. Why Transport Layer?

2. TCP vs UDP

 3. Project I

Acknowledgements

Slides are adopted from Kurose' Computer Networking Slides

DNS is is connection-less stateless protocol

- **DNS doesn't really care who is asking the question**
 - Yes, the receiving end does take a look at IP:port of the source and replies back to that IP:port but that's about it
- **DNS does not maintain any states of who the clients are**
- **No need to establish custom “channel” for the communication in the application layer**
 - Also, no connection establishment in transport layer as well (UDP)
- **Each DNS query is completely independent**