

# Lesson 05-05: QUIC

CS 356 Computer Networks

Mikyung Han

[mhan@cs.utexas.edu](mailto:mhan@cs.utexas.edu)

## Example Protocols

FTP, HTTP, SMTP

Application

TCP, UDP

Transport

IP

Network

Ethernet, WiFi

Link

802.3 PHY

Physical

## Responsible for

application specific needs


process to process data transfer

host to host data transfer across different network

data transfer between physically adjacent nodes

bit-by-bit or symbol-by-symbol delivery

## Internet Reference Model




# Outline

 I. Is TCP fair?

# TCP fairness


**Fairness goal:** if  $K$  TCP sessions share same bottleneck link of bandwidth  $R$ , each should have average rate of  $R/K$



# Q: is TCP Fair?

Example: two competing TCP sessions:

- additive increase gives slope of 1, as throughput increases
- multiplicative decrease decreases throughput proportionally



Is TCP fair?

A: Yes, under idealized assumptions:

- same RTT
- fixed number of sessions only in congestion avoidance

# Fairness: must all network apps be “fair”?

## Fairness and UDP

- multimedia apps often do not use TCP
  - do not want rate throttled by congestion control
- instead use UDP:
  - send audio/video at constant rate, tolerate packet loss

## Fairness, parallel TCP connections

- application can open multiple parallel connections between two hosts
- web browsers do this , e.g., link of rate  $R$  with 9 existing connections:
  - new app asks for 1 TCP, gets rate  $R/10$
  - new app asks for 11 TCPs, gets  $R/2$

There is NO “Internet police” policing fairshare

# Outline

1. Is TCP fair?

 2. Evolution in Transport layer: QUIC

# Evolving transport-layer functionality

- TCP, UDP: principal transport protocols for 40 years
- different “flavors” of TCP developed, for specific scenarios:


Scenario	Challenges
Long, fat pipes (large data transfers)	Many packets “in flight”; loss shuts down pipeline
Wireless networks	Loss due to noisy wireless links, mobility; TCP treat this as congestion loss
Long-delay links	Extremely long RTTs
Data center networks	Latency sensitive
Background traffic flows	Low priority, “background” TCP flows

- moving transport-layer functions to application layer, on top of UDP
  - HTTP/3: QUIC



# QUIC: Quick UDP Internet Connections

- application-layer protocol, on top of UDP
  - increase performance of HTTP
  - deployed on many Google servers, apps (Chrome, mobile YouTube app)




# QUIC: Quick UDP Internet Connections

adopts approaches we've studied in this chapter for connection establishment, error control, congestion control


- **error and congestion control:** “Readers familiar with TCP’s loss detection and congestion control will find algorithms here that parallel well-known TCP ones.” [from QUIC specification]
- **connection establishment:** reliability, congestion control, authentication, encryption, state established in one RTT
- multiple application-level “streams” multiplexed over single QUIC connection
  - separate reliable data transfer, security
  - common congestion control

# QUIC: Connection establishment



TCP (reliability, congestion control state)  
+ TLS (authentication, crypto state)


- 2 serial handshakes



QUIC: reliability, congestion control,  
authentication, crypto state

- 1 handshake

# QUIC: streams: parallelism, no HOL blocking



(a) HTTP 1.1

# Acknowledgements

Slides are adopted from Kurose' Computer Networking Slides