CS344M Autonomous Multiagent Systems

Patrick MacAlpine

Department or Computer Science The University of Texas at Austin

Good Afternoon, Colleagues



Good Afternoon, Colleagues

Are there any questions?



Department of Computer Sciences The University of Texas at Austin

Patrick MacAlpine







• Reading responses





• Reading responses

Programming assignment
How's it going?





• Reading responses

- Programming assignment
 - How's it going?
 - Important: 3D code is only for this class





• Reading responses

- Programming assignment
 - How's it going?
 - Important: 3D code is only for this class





- Next week's readings up
 - Multiagent Systems an overview
 - Another overview (optional)
 - Pushing Brooks' approach to MAS





- Next week's readings up
 - Multiagent Systems an overview
 - Another overview (optional)
 - Pushing Brooks' approach to MAS
 - An early successful RoboCup team





- Next week's readings up
 - Multiagent Systems an overview
 - Another overview (optional)
 - Pushing Brooks' approach to MAS
 - An early successful RoboCup team
 - Free-form response





- Next week's readings up
 - Multiagent Systems an overview
 - Another overview (optional)
 - Pushing Brooks' approach to MAS
 - An early successful RoboCup team
 - Free-form response



- What did you think of these readings?
- What was good about them?
- How could they have improved?



Writing

- Direct, articulate responses
 - Thesis sentence
 - Supporting argument
 - Demonstrate that you know what you're saying



Writing

- Direct, articulate responses
 - Thesis sentence
 - Supporting argument
 - Demonstrate that you know what you're saying

One way that TCA departs from Rodney Brooks' design principles is that TCA employs a central control module. TCA's central component routes messages to the various connected modules and maintains control information. Brooks' designs, on the other hand, connected perception directly to actions, bypassing any form of central control and also any central representation of the world.



Reactive vs. deliberative (3 senses)

- Respond in a timely fashion
- No complex respresentation
- No state at all (respond to current percepts)



Reactive vs. deliberative (3 senses)

- Respond in a timely fashion
- No complex respresentation
- No state at all (respond to current percepts)

From the book:

- $action : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$
- Decision based entirely on the present



Reactive vs. deliberative (3 senses)

- Respond in a timely fashion
- No complex respresentation
- No state at all (respond to current percepts)

From the book:

- $action : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$
- Decision based entirely on the present
 - True of Brooks' "reactive" agents?





- Autonomous mobile agents that are seen as intelligent
- No interest in applications
- Timely, robust, do something



- Autonomous mobile agents that are seen as intelligent
- No interest in applications
- Timely, robust, do something
- How differ from 3T goals?



- Autonomous mobile agents that are seen as intelligent
- No interest in applications
- Timely, robust, do something
- How differ from 3T goals?
 - What are their stances towards modeling biology?
 - Which is more biologically plausible?



- Autonomous mobile agents that are seen as intelligent
- No interest in applications
- Timely, robust, do something
- How differ from 3T goals?
 - What are their stances towards modeling biology?
 - Which is more biologically plausible?

Subsumption Architecture



- Autonomous mobile agents that are seen as intelligent
- No interest in applications
- Timely, robust, do something
- How differ from 3T goals?
 - What are their stances towards modeling biology?
 - Which is more biologically plausible?

Subsumption Architecture

(journal article, page 2)



Merkwelt



- Merkwelt \sim "perceptual world"
- Every agent has its own *Merkwelt*.



- Merkwelt \sim "perceptual world"
- Every agent has its own *Merkwelt*.
- Why should robots use a representation based on our *Merkwelt*?
- Do we know our own *Merkwelt*?



Modules

"When researchers working on a particular module get to choose both the inputs and the outputs that specify the module requirements I believe there is little chance the work they do will fit into a complete intelligent system."

Does this apply to 3T?



Could the 3T apps have used subsumption?

• Why or why not?

