CS344M Autonomous Multiagent Systems

Patrick MacAlpine

Department or Computer Science The University of Texas at Austin

Good Afternoon, Colleagues

Are there any questions?



Are there any questions?

- Why have ACL?
- How is a conversation policy implemented?
- Standards for communication today?
- How is second paper relevant to RoboCup?
- How does second paper deal with subgoaling?
- Do teams try and understand other team's messages?
- Can you interrupt other team's communication?





• Programming assignment 4 - any questions?



Patrick MacAlpine



- Programming assignment 4 any questions?
- Week 5 readings choose 2 papers and one team description paper



- Only one agent from a team can speak at a time (or at least be heard at a time)
- Communication limited to 50 meters
- Limited bandwidth (both number of characters and allowed characters)
 - How to have only one agent to speak at a time?



- Only one agent from a team can speak at a time (or at least be heard at a time)
- Communication limited to 50 meters
- Limited bandwidth (both number of characters and allowed characters)
 - How to have only one agent to speak at a time?
 - How to get the most from limited bandwidth?



- Only one agent from a team can speak at a time (or at least be heard at a time)
- Communication limited to 50 meters
- Limited bandwidth (both number of characters and allowed characters)
 - How to have only one agent to speak at a time?
 - How to get the most from limited bandwidth?
 - Can opponent agents interfere with messages and if so how to prevent this?



- Only one agent from a team can speak at a time (or at least be heard at a time)
- Communication limited to 50 meters
- Limited bandwidth (both number of characters and allowed characters)
 - How to have only one agent to speak at a time?
 - How to get the most from limited bandwidth?
 - Can opponent agents interfere with messages and if so how to prevent this?
 - What should be communicated?



- Only one agent from a team can speak at a time (or at least be heard at a time)
- Communication limited to 50 meters
- Limited bandwidth (both number of characters and allowed characters)
 - How to have only one agent to speak at a time?
 - How to get the most from limited bandwidth?
 - Can opponent agents interfere with messages and if so how to prevent this?
 - What should be communicated?

An example protocol



Joint Intentions – Setting

How agents form and disband teams



Patrick MacAlpine

How agents form and disband teams

- Agents in dynamic multiagent world
- Neither complete nor correct beliefs
 - Positive introspection: know own beliefs
- Changeable goals, fallible actions
- Don't know others' beliefs/goals



Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p



Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p

- p false, but desired true
- p will keep being desired unless:



Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p

- p false, but desired true
- p will keep being desired unless:
 - -p true
 - p impossible
 - -q false



Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p

- p false, but desired true
- p will keep being desired unless:
 - -p true
 - p impossible
 - -q false

Intention: persistent goal, belief throughout that it's being done



Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p

- p false, but desired true
- p will keep being desired unless:
 - -p true
 - p impossible
 - -q false

Intention: persistent goal, belief throughout that it's being done

• What's the role of q?



Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p

- p false, but desired true
- p will keep being desired unless:
 - -p true
 - p impossible
 - -q false

Intention: persistent goal, belief throughout that it's being done

- What's the role of q?
- What's the difference between goal, intention?





Weak: Joint intention \equiv mutually known intention: each intend to do their part of collective action



Weak: Joint intention \equiv mutually known intention: each intend to do their part of collective action

Strong: Same, except mutual knowledge persists until mutually known that activity is over



Weak: Joint intention \equiv mutually known intention: each intend to do their part of collective action

Strong: Same, except mutual knowledge persists until mutually known that activity is over



Weak achievement goal (WAG): relative to q with respect to a team to achieve p



Patrick MacAlpine

Weak achievement goal (WAG): relative to q with respect to

- a team to achieve p
- \bullet Individually wants p



Weak achievement goal (WAG): relative to q with respect to a team to achieve p

- Individually wants p OR
- Believes *p* true, impossible, or irrelevant, AND has a goal of team knowing it.



Joint Persistent Goal (JPG): relative to q to achieve p

- \bullet mutually believe p false, but mutually know all desire p true
- \bullet mutually believe that each have WAG p until
 - mutually believe p true
 - mutually believe p impossible
 - mutually believe q false



Joint Persistent Goal (JPG): relative to q to achieve p

- \bullet mutually believe p false, but mutually know all desire p true
- mutually believe that each have WAG p until
 - mutually believe p true
 - mutually believe p impossible
 - mutually believe q false

Intention: joint persistent goal, mutual belief throughout that it's being done



Joint Persistent Goal (JPG): relative to q to achieve p

- \bullet mutually believe p false, but mutually know all desire p true
- mutually believe that each have WAG p until
 - mutually believe p true
 - mutually believe p impossible
 - mutually believe q false

Intention: joint persistent goal, mutual belief throughout that it's being done

Intend own action, committed to others'



Joint Persistent Goal (JPG): relative to q to achieve p

- \bullet mutually believe p false, but mutually know all desire p true
- mutually believe that each have WAG p until
 - mutually believe p true
 - mutually believe p impossible
 - mutually believe q false

Intention: joint persistent goal, mutual belief throughout that it's being done

- Intend own action, committed to others'
- Overhead: automatic goal to communicate status



- Communication (basis for KQML)
- Observation (requires co-presence)



- Communication (basis for KQML)
- Observation (requires co-presence)
- Any other way?





Illocution: What is meant



Illocution: What is meant

Perlocution: Intended effects



Illocution: What is meant

Perlocution: Intended effects

Example: "Please close the window."



Beliefs, Desires, Intentions

- Beliefs: What the agent thinks to be true
- Desires: What it wants to be true
- Intentions: What it plans to do



"Capabilities for teamwork cannot be patched on, but must be designed in from the start." (Grosz, 1996)



"Capabilities for teamwork cannot be patched on, but must be designed in from the start." (Grosz, 1996)

• Agree or disagree?



STEAM

- An implementation/extension of joint intentions
- Goals
 - Anticipate teamwork failures
 - Flexibility and re-use



STEAM

- An implementation/extension of joint intentions
- Goals
 - Anticipate teamwork failures
 - Flexibility and re-use
- Joint intentions doesn't do it all, though



STEAM

- An implementation/extension of joint intentions
- Goals
 - Anticipate teamwork failures
 - Flexibility and re-use
- Joint intentions doesn't do it all, though
 - Coherence: all use same plan, commitment protocols
 - Communication cost decision theoretic
 - Replanning role dependencies



Team Operators

- Have preconditions, effects, termination rules
- Automatically establish joint intentions



Team Operators

- Have preconditions, effects, termination rules
- Automatically establish joint intentions
- To establish, "all team members must simultaneously select" a team operator to establish a joint intention
- Agents maintain "team state:" model of team's mutual beliefs



Domains

• Attack:

- Fly to holding point
- Send out scouts
- Shoot at enemy
- Transport:
 - Escorts protect transports
- RoboCup



Observed Problems

Commander returns to home alone after failing, others stayed



- Commander returns to home alone after failing, others stayed
- Scout never returned, others got into infinite loop



- Commander returns to home alone after failing, others stayed
- Scout never returned, others got into infinite loop
- One got orders first and went ahead alone



- Commander returns to home alone after failing, others stayed
- Scout never returned, others got into infinite loop
- One got orders first and went ahead alone
- All out of ammunition, but failed to realize unachievable



- Commander returns to home alone after failing, others stayed
- Scout never returned, others got into infinite loop
- One got orders first and went ahead alone
- All out of ammunition, but failed to realize unachievable

Solved generally with STEAM



- Evolving language for predator-prey domain (Jim and Giles)
- Work by Luc Steels group



Activity: Sort yourselves alphabetically by last name

- No verbal or written language is allowed (including typing something).
- Any form of gesturing is OK, except for "skywriting" or writing with one's finger.
- Other ways of conveying characters are OK.
- All other forms of communication are allowed, be creative.

