CS311H

Prof: Peter Stone

Department of Computer Science The University of Texas at Austin

Good Morning, Colleagues



Good Morning, Colleagues

Are there any questions?





• Your quest quest is over!





- Your quest quest is over! (no more modules)
- Warning: Quiz on program correctness in discussion



- Your quest quest is over! (no more modules)
- Warning: Quiz on program correctness in discussion
 - If you did your homework well, you shouldn't have trouble with the quiz.



- Your quest quest is over! (no more modules)
- Warning: Quiz on program correctness in discussion
 - If you did your homework well, you shouldn't have trouble with the quiz.
- Thursday: wrap up and test review



Questions / Important Points

• Diagonalization argument?



- Diagonalization argument?
- How did T(P) work? IGN?



- Diagonalization argument?
- How did T(P) work? IGN?
- Some sets must be undecidable:



- Diagonalization argument?
- How did T(P) work? IGN?
- Some sets must be undecidable:countably many programs, uncountably many sets



• HELLO = {P | P Prints "Hello" and halts}



- HELLO = {P | P Prints "Hello" and halts}
- Recall: HELLO is undecidable.



- HELLO = {PI P Prints "Hello" and halts}
- Recall: HELLO is undecidable.
- Prove: There is no program "EQUAL" such that EQUAL(P,Q) outputs "yes" if $\forall IP(I) = Q(I)$ else "no"



- HELLO = {PI P Prints "Hello" and halts}
- Recall: HELLO is undecidable.
- Prove: There is no program "EQUAL" such that EQUAL(P,Q) outputs "yes" if $\forall IP(I) = Q(I)$ else "no"
- i.e. EQUAL tells us if P and Q have same behavior on all inputs



- HELLO = {PI P Prints "Hello" and halts}
- Recall: HELLO is undecidable.
- Prove: There is no program "EQUAL" such that EQUAL(P,Q) outputs "yes" if $\forall IP(I) = Q(I)$ else "no"
- i.e. EQUAL tells us if P and Q have same behavior on all inputs
- Let HI = Print "Hello"; halt;



- HELLO = {PI P Prints "Hello" and halts}
- Recall: HELLO is undecidable.
- Prove: There is no program "EQUAL" such that EQUAL(P,Q) outputs "yes" if $\forall IP(I) = Q(I)$ else "no"
- i.e. EQUAL tells us if P and Q have same behavior on all inputs
- Let HI = Print "Hello"; halt;
- $P \in HELLO \text{ iff EQUAL(P,HI)} = yes$



- HELLO = {PI P Prints "Hello" and halts}
- Recall: HELLO is undecidable.
- Prove: There is no program "EQUAL" such that EQUAL(P,Q) outputs "yes" if $\forall IP(I) = Q(I)$ else "no"
- i.e. EQUAL tells us if P and Q have same behavior on all inputs
- Let HI = Print "Hello"; halt;
- $P \in HELLO \text{ iff EQUAL(P,HI)} = yes$
- So EQUAL would give us a decision procedure for HELLO

• K is not decidable



- K is not decidable
- But we can write a program to enumerate its elements!



- K is not decidable
- But we can write a program to enumerate its elements!
- How?



- K is not decidable
- But we can write a program to enumerate its elements!
- How?
- $\overline{K} = \{P \mid P(P) \text{ does not halt}\}$



- K is not decidable
- But we can write a program to enumerate its elements!
- How?
- $\overline{K} = \{P \mid P(P) \text{ does not halt}\}$
- Theorem: \overline{K} can't be enumerated by a program



- K is not decidable
- But we can write a program to enumerate its elements!
- How?
- $\overline{K} = \{P \mid P(P) \text{ does not halt}\}$
- Theorem: \overline{K} can't be enumerated by a program
- Why not?



• Decidable set also called **Recursive**



- Decidable set also called **Recursive**
 - (Nothing to do with recursion)



- Decidable set also called **Recursive**
 - (Nothing to do with recursion)
- A set whose elements can be enumerated by a program is called **Recursively enumerable**.

- Decidable set also called **Recursive**
 - (Nothing to do with recursion)
- A set whose elements can be enumerated by a program is called **Recursively enumerable**.
 - K recursive?



- Decidable set also called **Recursive**
 - (Nothing to do with recursion)
- A set whose elements can be enumerated by a program is called **Recursively enumerable**.
 - -K recursive? no
 - K recursively enumerable?



- Decidable set also called **Recursive**
 - (Nothing to do with recursion)
- A set whose elements can be enumerated by a program is called **Recursively enumerable**.
 - -K recursive? no
 - -K recursively enumerable? yes
 - $-\overline{K}$ recursively enumerable?



- Decidable set also called **Recursive**
 - (Nothing to do with recursion)
- A set whose elements can be enumerated by a program is called **Recursively enumerable**.
 - -K recursive? no
 - -K recursively enumerable? yes
 - $-\overline{K}$ recursively enumerable? no



- Decidable set also called **Recursive**
 - (Nothing to do with recursion)
- A set whose elements can be enumerated by a program is called **Recursively enumerable**.
 - -K recursive? no
 - -K recursively enumerable? yes
 - $-\overline{K}$ recursively enumerable? no
- Whole topic: "Computability Theory"



• Any calculation method that can be grasped and performed by the human mind can be programmed on a conventional digital computer.



- Any calculation method that can be grasped and performed by the human mind can be programmed on a conventional digital computer.
- If true, problems which are undecidable to a computer are similarly undecidable to the human mind.



- Any calculation method that can be grasped and performed by the human mind can be programmed on a conventional digital computer.
- If true, problems which are undecidable to a computer are similarly undecidable to the human mind.
- A matter of belief...



- Given an initial configuration in the game of life, will it go on forever?
- Given 2 context-free grammars, are they equivalent?
- Given a multi-variate polynomial overthe integers, does it have a root?
- Generalization of the Collatz conjecture



- Given an initial configuration in the game of life, will it go on forever?
- Given 2 context-free grammars, are they equivalent?
- Given a multi-variate polynomial overthe integers, does it have a root?
- Generalization of the Collatz conjecture
 - $n even: \rightarrow n/2$



- Given an initial configuration in the game of life, will it go on forever?
- Given 2 context-free grammars, are they equivalent?
- Given a multi-variate polynomial overthe integers, does it have a root?
- Generalization of the Collatz conjecture
 - n even: \rightarrow n/2
 - $n odd: \rightarrow 3n+1$

