CS311H Discrete Math for CS: Honors

Prof: Peter Stone TA: Matthew Hausknecht Proctor: Sudheesh Katkam

Department of Computer Science The University of Texas at Austin

Good Morning, Colleagues



Good Morning, Colleagues

Are there any questions?





• Good job on quiz!





- Good job on quiz!
- For now, we'll continue to push fast





- Good job on quiz!
- For now, we'll continue to push fast
- Next week's assignments up





- Good job on quiz!
- For now, we'll continue to push fast
- Next week's assignments up
- Send questions to all of us, or use piazza





- Good job on quiz!
- For now, we'll continue to push fast
- Next week's assignments up
- Send questions to all of us, or use piazza
- Cheating



- Contrapositive vs. contradiction
- How to choose a proof technique
 - How formal is formal enough?
 - Proof as communication. Be able to play *both* roles.
- WLOG
- Constructive vs. non-constructive



- Contrapositive vs. contradiction
- How to choose a proof technique
 - How formal is formal enough?
 - Proof as communication. Be able to play *both* roles.
- WLOG
- Constructive vs. non-constructive
- Operators and quantifiers we'll use:



- Contrapositive vs. contradiction
- How to choose a proof technique
 - How formal is formal enough?
 - Proof as communication. Be able to play *both* roles.
- WLOG
- Constructive vs. non-constructive
- Operators and quantifiers we'll use: $\forall, \exists, \neg, \land, \lor, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$



- Contrapositive vs. contradiction
- How to choose a proof technique
 - How formal is formal enough?
 - Proof as communication. Be able to play *both* roles.
- WLOG
- Constructive vs. non-constructive
- Operators and quantifiers we'll use: $\forall, \exists, \neg, \land, \lor, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$
- Domains of numbers:



- Contrapositive vs. contradiction
- How to choose a proof technique
 - How formal is formal enough?
 - Proof as communication. Be able to play *both* roles.
- WLOG
- Constructive vs. non-constructive
- Operators and quantifiers we'll use: $\forall, \exists, \neg, \land, \lor, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$
- Domains of numbers: $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$

- Contrapositive vs. contradiction
- How to choose a proof technique
 - How formal is formal enough?
 - Proof as communication. Be able to play *both* roles.
- WLOG
- Constructive vs. non-constructive
- Operators and quantifiers we'll use: $\forall, \exists, \neg, \land, \lor, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$
- Domains of numbers: $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R} \ 0 \not\in \mathbb{N}$



• The product of an even number and an odd number is always even.



• The product of an even number and an odd number is always even.

• If x and y are two integers whose product is even, then at least one of the two must be even.



• The product of an even number and an odd number is always even.

• If x and y are two integers whose product is even, then at least one of the two must be even. More formally: For $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, (xy even) \Rightarrow (x even \lor y even)



• The product of an even number and an odd number is always even.

If x and y are two integers whose product is even, then at least one of the two must be even.
More formally: For x, y ∈ Z, (xy even) ⇒ (x even ∨ y even)
Use contrapositive: (x odd ∧ y odd) ⇒ (xy odd)



• The product of an even number and an odd number is always even.

If x and y are two integers whose product is even, then at least one of the two must be even.
More formally: For x, y ∈ Z, (xy even) ⇒ (x even ∨ y even)
Use contrapositive: (x odd ∧ y odd) ⇒ (xy odd)

• For integer n, If n^3 is even, then n is even.



 Suppose a, b and c are integers. If (b is a multiple of a) and (c is a multiple of a) then ((b + c) is a multiple of a).



 Suppose a, b and c are integers. If (b is a multiple of a) and (c is a multiple of a) then ((b + c) is a multiple of a).

• The length of the hypotenuse of a right triangle is less than the sum of the lengths of the two legs.



1. Let a, b, and c be different lengths of sides of a right triangle, in which c corresponds to the hypotenuse.



1. Let a, b, and c be different lengths of sides of a right triangle, in which c corresponds to the hypotenuse. 2. To prove that c < a + b, assume the opposite, i.e. $c \ge a + b$, and seek a contradiction.



1. Let a, b, and c be different lengths of sides of a right triangle, in which c corresponds to the hypotenuse.

2. To prove that c < a + b, assume the opposite, i.e. $c \ge a + b$, and seek a contradiction.

3. Because a, b, and c are triangle side lengths, they are positive numbers.



1. Let a, b, and c be different lengths of sides of a right triangle, in which c corresponds to the hypotenuse.

2. To prove that c < a + b, assume the opposite, i.e. $c \ge a + b$, and seek a contradiction.

3. Because a, b, and c are triangle side lengths, they are positive numbers.

4. Therefore, the assumed inequality can be squared to get $c^2 \geq (a+b)^2$.



1. Let a, b, and c be different lengths of sides of a right triangle, in which c corresponds to the hypotenuse.

2. To prove that c < a + b, assume the opposite, i.e. $c \ge a + b$, and seek a contradiction.

3. Because a, b, and c are triangle side lengths, they are positive numbers.

4. Therefore, the assumed inequality can be squared to get $c^2 \ge (a+b)^2$.

5. Expand the right-hand side to get $c^2 \ge a^2 + 2ab + b^2$.



1. Let a, b, and c be different lengths of sides of a right triangle, in which c corresponds to the hypotenuse.

2. To prove that c < a + b, assume the opposite, i.e. $c \ge a + b$, and seek a contradiction.

3. Because a, b, and c are triangle side lengths, they are positive numbers.

4. Therefore, the assumed inequality can be squared to get $c^2 \ge (a+b)^2$.

- 5. Expand the right-hand side to get $c^2 \ge a^2 + 2ab + b^2$.
- 6. Because a and b are positive, $a^2 + 2ab + b^2 > a^2 + b^2$.



1. Let a, b, and c be different lengths of sides of a right triangle, in which c corresponds to the hypotenuse.

2. To prove that c < a + b, assume the opposite, i.e. $c \ge a + b$, and seek a contradiction.

3. Because a, b, and c are triangle side lengths, they are positive numbers.

4. Therefore, the assumed inequality can be squared to get $c^2 \ge (a+b)^2$.

- 5. Expand the right-hand side to get $c^2 \ge a^2 + 2ab + b^2$.
- 6. Because a and b are positive, $a^2 + 2ab + b^2 > a^2 + b^2$.

7. Combining the inequalities in lines 5 and 6 yields $c^2 > a^2 + b^2 \mathrm{.}$



1. Let a, b, and c be different lengths of sides of a right triangle, in which c corresponds to the hypotenuse.

2. To prove that c < a + b, assume the opposite, i.e. $c \ge a + b$, and seek a contradiction.

3. Because a, b, and c are triangle side lengths, they are positive numbers.

4. Therefore, the assumed inequality can be squared to get $c^2 \ge (a+b)^2$.

- 5. Expand the right-hand side to get $c^2 \ge a^2 + 2ab + b^2$.
- 6. Because a and b are positive, $a^2 + 2ab + b^2 > a^2 + b^2$.

7. Combining the inequalities in lines 5 and 6 yields $c^2 > a^2 + b^2$.

8. However, according to the Pythagorean Theorem: $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$.



1. Let a, b, and c be different lengths of sides of a right triangle, in which c corresponds to the hypotenuse.

2. To prove that c < a + b, assume the opposite, i.e. $c \ge a + b$, and seek a contradiction.

3. Because a, b, and c are triangle side lengths, they are positive numbers.

4. Therefore, the assumed inequality can be squared to get $c^2 \ge (a+b)^2$.

- 5. Expand the right-hand side to get $c^2 \ge a^2 + 2ab + b^2$.
- 6. Because a and b are positive, $a^2 + 2ab + b^2 > a^2 + b^2$.

7. Combining the inequalities in lines 5 and 6 yields $c^2 > a^2 + b^2$.

8. However, according to the Pythagorean Theorem: $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$. Contradicts line 7.



• xy is not a multiple of $z \Rightarrow$ neither x nor y is a multiple of z.



 xy is not a multiple of z ⇒ neither x nor y is a multiple of z. More formally: ¬(xy mult. of z)⇒(¬ (x mult. of z) ∧¬(y mult. of z)).



xy is not a multiple of z ⇒ neither x nor y is a multiple of z. More formally: ¬(xy mult. of z)⇒(¬ (x mult. of z) ∧¬(y mult. of z)). Contrapositive is: ((x mult. of z) ∨ (y mult. of z))→(xy mult. of z).



xy is not a multiple of z ⇒ neither x nor y is a multiple of z. More formally: ¬(xy mult. of z)⇒(¬ (x mult. of z) ∧¬(y mult. of z)). Contrapositive is: ((x mult. of z) ∨ (y mult. of z))→(xy mult. of z).



- Look at second homework
- Module 6



- Look at second homework
- Module 6

