CS313H Logic, Sets, and Functions: Honors Fall 2012

Prof: Peter Stone

TA: Jacob Schrum

Proctor: Sudheesh Katkam

Department of Computer Science The University of Texas at Austin

Good Morning, Colleagues



Good Morning, Colleagues

Are there any questions?

Questions about the syllabus?

- Questions about the syllabus?
 - Office hours up

- Questions about the syllabus?
 - Office hours up
 - Assignments for next week up

- Questions about the syllabus?
 - Office hours up
 - Assignments for next week up
 - Trying to keep a high pace, but push back if you're lost

- Questions about the syllabus?
 - Office hours up
 - Assignments for next week up
 - Trying to keep a high pace, but push back if you're lost
- Email class-related questions to me, TA, and proctor (or better yet, use piazza)

- Questions about the syllabus?
 - Office hours up
 - Assignments for next week up
 - Trying to keep a high pace, but push back if you're lost
- Email class-related questions to me, TA, and proctor (or better yet, use piazza)
- Modules star: Prof. Adam Klivans

- Questions about the syllabus?
 - Office hours up
 - Assignments for next week up
 - Trying to keep a high pace, but push back if you're lost
- Email class-related questions to me, TA, and proctor (or better yet, use piazza)
- Modules star: Prof. Adam Klivans
 - Make sure the laws make sense while watching modules

- Questions about the syllabus?
 - Office hours up
 - Assignments for next week up
 - Trying to keep a high pace, but push back if you're lost
- Email class-related questions to me, TA, and proctor (or better yet, use piazza)
- Modules star: Prof. Adam Klivans
 - Make sure the laws make sense while watching modules
- Module questions: last is free form

- Questions about the syllabus?
 - Office hours up
 - Assignments for next week up
 - Trying to keep a high pace, but push back if you're lost
- Email class-related questions to me, TA, and proctor (or better yet, use piazza)
- Modules star: Prof. Adam Klivans
 - Make sure the laws make sense while watching modules
- Module questions: last is free form
 - Post it also on piazza!

- Questions about the syllabus?
 - Office hours up
 - Assignments for next week up
 - Trying to keep a high pace, but push back if you're lost
- Email class-related questions to me, TA, and proctor (or better yet, use piazza)
- Modules star: Prof. Adam Klivans
 - Make sure the laws make sense while watching modules
- Module questions: last is free form
 - Post it also on piazza!
 - And ask in class!

How to treat the book

- How to treat the book
 - Work problems

- How to treat the book
 - Work problems
 - Students guide

- How to treat the book
 - Work problems
 - Students guide
- Class sessions: for working problems

- How to treat the book
 - Work problems
 - Students guide
- Class sessions: for working problems
 - Even if you get it, be engaged as a teacher

- How to treat the book
 - Work problems
 - Students guide
- Class sessions: for working problems
 - Even if you get it, be engaged as a teacher
 - Can't have the right pace for everyone

- How to treat the book
 - Work problems
 - Students guide
- Class sessions: for working problems
 - Even if you get it, be engaged as a teacher
 - Can't have the right pace for everyone
 - Point out my mistakes

- How to treat the book
 - Work problems
 - Students guide
- Class sessions: for working problems
 - Even if you get it, be engaged as a teacher
 - Can't have the right pace for everyone
 - Point out my mistakes
- Go to discussion section

- How to treat the book
 - Work problems
 - Students guide
- Class sessions: for working problems
 - Even if you get it, be engaged as a teacher
 - Can't have the right pace for everyone
 - Point out my mistakes
- Go to discussion section
- Quizzes may happen in class or discussion section

• Notation: ¬

Notation: ¬ ∨

Notation: ¬ ∨ ∧

• Notation: $\neg \lor \land \Rightarrow$

- Notation: $\neg \lor \land \Rightarrow$
- Proposition vs. predicate

- Notation: $\neg \lor \land \Rightarrow$
- Proposition vs. predicate
- Truth table

- Notation: $\neg \lor \land \Rightarrow$
- Proposition vs. predicate
- Truth table
 - Especially for $P \Rightarrow Q$

- Notation: $\neg \lor \land \Rightarrow$
- Proposition vs. predicate
- Truth table
 - Especially for $P \Rightarrow Q$
 - (Correlation vs. causation)

- Notation: $\neg \lor \land \Rightarrow$
- Proposition vs. predicate
- Truth table
 - Especially for $P \Rightarrow Q$
 - (Correlation vs. causation)
- DeMorgan's laws

- Notation: $\neg \lor \land \Rightarrow$
- Proposition vs. predicate
- Truth table
 - Especially for $P \Rightarrow Q$
 - (Correlation vs. causation)
- DeMorgan's laws
- CNF and DNF

- Notation: $\neg \lor \land \Rightarrow$
- Proposition vs. predicate
- Truth table
 - Especially for $P \Rightarrow Q$
 - (Correlation vs. causation)
- DeMorgan's laws
- CNF and DNF
 - Why do we care in practice?

Simplify

 $\bullet \ (\neg T \lor F) \land (\neg F \lor T) \land \neg (F \lor F)$

Simplify

$$\bullet \ (\neg T \lor F) \land (\neg F \lor T) \land \neg (F \lor F)$$

$$\bullet \neg (F \lor \neg (T \land \neg (\neg T \lor \neg (F \land T))))$$

Simplify

•
$$(\neg T \lor F) \land (\neg F \lor T) \land \neg (F \lor F)$$

Satisfiable?

• $(P \lor Q) \land (P \lor \neg Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor \neg Q)$

Satisfiable?

$$\bullet \ (P \lor Q) \land (P \lor \neg Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor \neg Q)$$

$$\bullet \neg (A \lor C \lor \neg (B \land \neg A \land \neg (\neg B \lor A \lor C)))$$

CNF, DNF, or neither?

$$\bullet \ (A \land \neg B) \lor (B \land \neg C)$$

CNF, DNF, or neither?

$$\bullet \ (A \land \neg B) \lor (B \land \neg C)$$

•
$$(A \land B) \lor (\neg B \land C) \lor \neg (A \land C)$$

$$\bullet \ (P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q) \equiv F$$

• $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q) \equiv F$ Proof $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (original)

 $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \, (P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q) \equiv F \\ \text{Proof} \\ (P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q) \text{ (original)} \\ \equiv ((P \land \neg P) \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q) \text{ (dist.)} \\ \end{array}$

• $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q) \equiv F$ Proof $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (original) $\equiv ((P \land \neg P) \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (dist.) $\equiv (F \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (negation)

• $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q) \equiv F$ Proof $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (original) $\equiv ((P \land \neg P) \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (dist.) $\equiv (F \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (negation) $\equiv Q \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (\lor ident.)

• $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q) \equiv F$ Proof $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (original) $\equiv ((P \land \neg P) \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (dist.) $\equiv (F \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (negation) $\equiv Q \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (\lor ident.) $\equiv Q \land \neg P \land \neg Q$ (De Morgan)

• $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q) \equiv F$ Proof $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (original) $\equiv ((P \land \neg P) \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (dist.) $\equiv (F \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (negation) $\equiv Q \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (\lor ident.) $\equiv Q \land \neg P \land \neg Q$ (De Morgan) $\equiv Q \land \neg Q \land \neg P$ (commut.)

• $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q) \equiv F$ Proof $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (original) $\equiv ((P \land \neg P) \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (dist.) $\equiv (F \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (negation) $\equiv Q \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (\lor ident.) $\equiv Q \land \neg P \land \neg Q$ (De Morgan) $\equiv Q \land \neg P \land \neg P$ (commut.) $\equiv F \land \neg P$ (negation)

• $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q) \equiv F$ Proof $(P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (original) $\equiv ((P \land \neg P) \lor Q) \land \neg (P \lor Q)$ (dist.) $\equiv (F \vee Q) \wedge \neg (P \vee Q)$ (negation) $\equiv Q \land \neg (P \lor Q) \ (\lor ident.)$ $\equiv Q \wedge \neg P \wedge \neg Q$ (De Morgan) $\equiv Q \wedge \neg Q \wedge \neg P$ (commut.) $\equiv F \wedge \neg P$ (negation) $\equiv F \ (\land domination)$

Assignments for Thursday

Module 3 with associated readings

Assignments for Thursday

- Module 3 with associated readings
- Start on first HW assignment (requires module 3 to complete)