# CS344M Autonomous Multiagent Systems

**Prof: Peter Stone** 

Department of Computer Science The University of Texas at Austin

# Good Afternoon, Colleagues

Are there any questions?

## Good Afternoon, Colleagues

Are there any questions?

- Pending questions:
  - Can an agent stop being an agent due to environment
  - Does it need to be persistent?
  - Is social ability essential?
  - What does "autonomy" mean?
  - How can you categorize discrete/continuous?

• First assignment: how did it go?

- First assignment: how did it go?
- Next soccer assignment: score a goal

- First assignment: how did it go?
- Next soccer assignment: score a goal
  - Help each other with C issues parsing strings

- First assignment: how did it go?
- Next soccer assignment: score a goal
  - Help each other with C issues parsing strings
  - Evaluating mostly on the logic does the agent "do the right thing?"

- First assignment: how did it go?
- Next soccer assignment: score a goal
  - Help each other with C issues parsing strings
  - Evaluating mostly on the logic does the agent "do the right thing?"
- 2D or 3D?

## **Self-Introductions**

• Speak loudly

## **Self-Introductions**

- Speak loudly
- Name, year, major

#### **Self-Introductions**

- Speak loudly
- Name, year, major
- At least one other thing about yourself

An autonomous agent is a system situated within and a part of an environment that senses that environment and acts on it, over time, in pursuit of its own agenda and so as to affect what it senses in the future.

- Is this a good definition?
- The authors claim is is a "formal" definition of agents. Is it?

An autonomous agent is a system situated within and a part of an environment that senses that environment and acts on it, over time, in pursuit of its own agenda and so as to affect what it senses in the future.

- Is this a good definition?
- The authors claim is is a "formal" definition of agents. Is it?
- Can you do better?

An autonomous agent is a system situated within and a part of an environment that senses that environment and acts on it, over time, in pursuit of its own agenda and so as to affect what it senses in the future.

- Is this a good definition?
- The authors claim is is a "formal" definition of agents. Is it?
- Can you do better?
- Do they need to be social? persistent?
- Can they cease to be agents in a different environment?
- Autonomy

 Do we have complete freedom over our beliefs, goals, and actions?

- Do we have complete freedom over our beliefs, goals, and actions?
- Software service has no autonomy does what it's told.

- Do we have complete freedom over our beliefs, goals, and actions?
- Software service has no autonomy does what it's told.
- What's Wooldridge's take on where autonomous agents lie on the spectrum?

- Do we have complete freedom over our beliefs, goals, and actions?
- Software service has no autonomy does what it's told.
- What's Wooldridge's take on where autonomous agents lie on the spectrum?
  - Decide how to act so as to accomplish delegated goals

- Do we have complete freedom over our beliefs, goals, and actions?
- Software service has no autonomy does what it's told.
- What's Wooldridge's take on where autonomous agents lie on the spectrum?
  - Decide how to act so as to accomplish delegated goals
- Also mentions adjustable autonomy

- They must sense their environment.
- They must decide what action to take ("think").
- They must act in their environment.

- They must sense their environment.
- They must decide what action to take ("think").
- They must act in their environment.

**Complete** Agents

- They must sense their environment.
- They must decide what action to take ("think").
- They must act in their environment.

**Complete** Agents

Multiagent systems: Interact with other agents

- They must sense their environment.
- They must decide what action to take ("think").
- They must act in their environment.

**Complete** Agents

Multiagent systems: Interact with other agents

Learning agents: Improve performance from experience

- They must sense their environment.
- They must decide what action to take ("think").
- They must act in their environment.

**Complete** Agents

Multiagent systems: Interact with other agents

Learning agents: Improve performance from experience

Autonomous Bidding, Cognitive Systems, Traffic management, **Robot Soccer** 

Environment  $\Longrightarrow$  sensations, actions

• fully observable vs. partially observable (accessible)

- fully observable vs. partially observable (accessible)
- deterministic vs. non-deterministic

- fully observable vs. partially observable (accessible)
- deterministic vs. non-deterministic
- episodic vs. non-episodic

- fully observable vs. partially observable (accessible)
- deterministic vs. non-deterministic
- episodic vs. non-episodic
- static vs. dynamic

- fully observable vs. partially observable (accessible)
- deterministic vs. non-deterministic
- episodic vs. non-episodic
- static vs. dynamic
- discrete vs. continuous

- fully observable vs. partially observable (accessible)
- deterministic vs. non-deterministic
- episodic vs. non-episodic
- static vs. dynamic
- discrete vs. continuous
- single-agent vs. multiagent



• reactive vs. deliberative

- reactive vs. deliberative
- multiagent reasoning?

- reactive vs. deliberative
- multiagent reasoning?
- learning?

## Formalizing My Example

#### **Knowns:**

- $\mathcal{O} = \{ Blue, Red, Green, Black, \ldots \}$
- Rewards in R
- $\mathcal{A} = \{Wave, Clap, Stand\}$

 $o_0, a_0, r_0, o_1, a_1, r_1, o_2, \dots$ 

#### **Unknowns:**

- S = 4x3 grid
- ullet  $\mathcal{R}:\mathcal{S} imes\mathcal{A}\mapsto \mathbb{R}$
- $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathcal{O}$
- ullet  $\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{S} imes \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{S}$

$$o_i = \mathcal{P}(s_i)$$

$$r_i = \mathcal{R}(s_i, a_i)$$

$$s_{i+1} = \mathcal{T}(s_i, a_i)$$

• Standard agent:

• Standard agent:  $action : \mathcal{P}^* \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ 

• Standard agent:  $action : \mathcal{P}^* \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ 

Reactive agent:

• Standard agent:  $action : \mathcal{P}^* \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ 

• Reactive agent:  $action : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ 

- Standard agent:  $action : \mathcal{P}^* \mapsto \mathcal{A}$
- Reactive agent:  $action : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ 
  - Decision based entirely on the present

- Standard agent:  $action : \mathcal{P}^* \mapsto \mathcal{A}$
- Reactive agent:  $action : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ 
  - Decision based entirely on the present
- State-based agent:

- Standard agent:  $action : \mathcal{P}^* \mapsto \mathcal{A}$
- Reactive agent:  $action : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ 
  - Decision based entirely on the present
- State-based agent:  $action: \mathcal{I} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ ,  $next: \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{I}$

- Standard agent:  $action : \mathcal{P}^* \mapsto \mathcal{A}$
- Reactive agent:  $action : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ 
  - Decision based entirely on the present
- State-based agent:  $action: \mathcal{I} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ ,  $next: \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{I}$

It is worth observing that state-based agents as defined here are in fact no more powerful than the standard agents we introduced earlier. In fact, they are *identical* in their expressive power.

- Standard agent:  $action : \mathcal{P}^* \mapsto \mathcal{A}$
- Reactive agent:  $action : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ 
  - Decision based entirely on the present
- State-based agent:  $action: \mathcal{I} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ ,  $next: \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{I}$

It is worth observing that state-based agents as defined here are in fact no more powerful than the standard agents we introduced earlier. In fact, they are *identical* in their expressive power.

Reactive agents for next Thursday's assignment task?

What new autonomous agents do you expect to see in the next 10 years?