CS344M Autonomous Multiagent Systems

Prof: Peter Stone

Department or Computer Science The University of Texas at Austin

Good Afternoon, Colleagues

Are there any questions?



• How to read a research paper



- How to read a research paper
 - Some have too few details...



- How to read a research paper
 - Some have too few details...
 - Others have too many.



- How to read a research paper
 - Some have too few details...
 - Others have too many.
- Next week's readings posted



- How to read a research paper
 - Some have too few details...
 - Others have too many.
- Next week's readings posted
- FAI talk Thursday in ACES 2.402, 2pm



- How to read a research paper
 - Some have too few details...
 - Others have too many.
- Next week's readings posted
- FAI talk Thursday in ACES 2.402, 2pm
 Philip Resnick: "Translation as Collaboration"



- How to read a research paper
 - Some have too few details...
 - Others have too many.
- Next week's readings posted
- FAI talk Thursday in ACES 2.402, 2pm
 Philip Resnick: "Translation as Collaboration"
- Thesis proposal Wednesday in ACES 3.116, 8:45am



- How to read a research paper
 - Some have too few details...
 - Others have too many.
- Next week's readings posted
- FAI talk Thursday in ACES 2.402, 2pm
 Philip Resnick: "Translation as Collaboration"
- Thesis proposal Wednesday in ACES 3.116, 8:45am
 Todd Hester: "Temporal Difference Reinforcement
 - Learning in Time-Constrained Domains"



- How to read a research paper
 - Some have too few details...
 - Others have too many.
- Next week's readings posted
- FAI talk Thursday in ACES 2.402, 2pm
 Philip Resnick: "Translation as Collaboration"
- Thesis proposal Wednesday in ACES 3.116, 8:45am
 Todd Hester: "Temporal Difference Reinforcement Learning in Time-Constrained Domains"
- Final project proposal assigned

Proposal (10/7): 3+ pages

• What you're going to do; graded on writing



Proposal (10/7): 3+ pages

• What you're going to do; graded on writing

Progress Report (11/4): 5+ pages + binaries + logs

• What you've been doing; graded on writing



Proposal (10/7): 3+ pages

• What you're going to do; graded on writing

Progress Report (11/4): 5+ pages + binaries + logs

• What you've been doing; graded on writing

Team (11/30): source + binaries

• The tournament entry; make sure it runs!



Proposal (10/7): 3+ pages

- What you're going to do; graded on writing
- Progress Report (11/4): 5+ pages + binaries + logs
 - What you've been doing; graded on writing

Team (11/30): source + binaries

• The tournament entry; make sure it runs!

Final Report (12/2): 8+ pages

• A term paper; the main component of your grade



Proposal (10/7): 3+ pages

- What you're going to do; graded on writing
- Progress Report (11/4): 5+ pages + binaries + logs
 - What you've been doing; graded on writing

Team (11/30): source + binaries

• The tournament entry; make sure it runs!

Final Report (12/2): 8+ pages

• A term paper; the main component of your grade

Tournament (TBA): nothing due

• Oral presentation



Proposal (10/7): 3+ pages

- What you're going to do; graded on writing
- Progress Report (11/4): 5+ pages + binaries + logs
 - What you've been doing; graded on writing

Team (11/30): source + binaries

• The tournament entry; make sure it runs!

Final Report (12/2): 8+ pages

• A term paper; the main component of your grade

Tournament (TBA): nothing due

• Oral presentation

Due at beginning of classes



Darwin: genetic programming approach



Darwin: genetic programming approach

Stone and McAllester: Architecture for action selection



Darwin: genetic programming approach

Stone and McAllester: Architecture for action selection

Riley: Coach competition, extracting models



Darwin: genetic programming approach

Stone and McAllester: Architecture for action selection

Riley: Coach competition, extracting models

Kuhlmann: Learning for coaching



Darwin: genetic programming approach

Stone and McAllester: Architecture for action selection

Riley: Coach competition, extracting models

Kuhlmann: Learning for coaching

Riedmiller05: Reinforcement learning



Darwin: genetic programming approach

Stone and McAllester: Architecture for action selection

Riley: Coach competition, extracting models

Kuhlmann: Learning for coaching

Riedmiller05: Reinforcement learning

Graf: Closed loop walk on real robots



Darwin: genetic programming approach

Stone and McAllester: Architecture for action selection

Riley: Coach competition, extracting models

Kuhlmann: Learning for coaching

Riedmiller05: Reinforcement learning

Graf: Closed loop walk on real robots

Shafii: Open loop walk in 3d simulator



• Motivated by biological evolution: GA, GP



- Motivated by biological evolution: GA, GP
- Search through a space



- Motivated by biological evolution: GA, GP
- Search through a space
 - Need a representation, fitness function
 - Probabilistically apply search operators to set of points in search space



- Motivated by biological evolution: GA, GP
- Search through a space
 - Need a representation, fitness function
 - Probabilistically apply search operators to set of points in search space
- Randomized, parallel hill-climbing through space



- Motivated by biological evolution: GA, GP
- Search through a space
 - Need a representation, fitness function
 - Probabilistically apply search operators to set of points in search space
- Randomized, parallel hill-climbing through space
- Learning is an optimization problem (fitness)



- Motivated by biological evolution: GA, GP
- Search through a space
 - Need a representation, fitness function
 - Probabilistically apply search operators to set of points in search space
- Randomized, parallel hill-climbing through space
- Learning is an optimization problem (fitness)

Some slides from *Machine Learning* (Mitchell, 1997)



• More ambitious follow-up to Luke, 97 (made 2nd round)



- More ambitious follow-up to Luke, 97 (made 2nd round)
- Motivated in part by my detailed team construction



- More ambitious follow-up to Luke, 97 (made 2nd round)
- Motivated in part by my detailed team construction
- Evolves whole teams lexicographic fitness function



- More ambitious follow-up to Luke, 97 (made 2nd round)
- Motivated in part by my detailed team construction
- Evolves whole teams lexicographic fitness function
- Evolved on huge (at the time) hypercube



- More ambitious follow-up to Luke, 97 (made 2nd round)
- Motivated in part by my detailed team construction
- Evolves whole teams lexicographic fitness function
- Evolved on huge (at the time) hypercube
- Lots of spinning, but figured out dribbling, offsides



- More ambitious follow-up to Luke, 97 (made 2nd round)
- Motivated in part by my detailed team construction
- Evolves whole teams lexicographic fitness function
- Evolved on huge (at the time) hypercube
- Lots of spinning, but figured out dribbling, offsides
- 1-1-1 record. Tied a good team, but didn't advance



- More ambitious follow-up to Luke, 97 (made 2nd round)
- Motivated in part by my detailed team construction
- Evolves whole teams lexicographic fitness function
- Evolved on huge (at the time) hypercube
- Lots of spinning, but figured out dribbling, offsides
- 1-1-1 record. Tied a good team, but didn't advance
- Success of the method, but not pursued



Architecture for Action Selection

• (other slides, video)



- (other slides, video)
- downsides



- (other slides, video)
- downsides
- don't call "action selection"

