

CS378

Autonomous Multiagent Systems

Spring 2004

Prof: Peter Stone
TA: Mazda Ahmadi

Department of Computer Sciences
The University of Texas at Austin

Week 5a: Tuesday, February 17th

Good Afternoon, Colleagues

Are there any questions?

Logistics

- Programming assignment 4 - any questions?

Logistics

- Programming assignment 4 - any questions?
- A couple more talks:
 - Rob Holte: poker (Wed. @ 1:30, ACES 6.304)
 - Rosaline Picard: emotional intelligence (Thurs. @ 3:30, ACES aud)

Logistics

- Programming assignment 4 - any questions?
- A couple more talks:
 - Rob Holte: poker (Wed. @ 1:30, ACES 6.304)
 - Rosaline Picard: emotional intelligence (Thurs. @ 3:30, ACES aud)
- Next week's readings posted

Joint Intentions – Setting

How agents **form and disband** teams

Joint Intentions – Setting

How agents **form and disband** teams

- Agents in dynamic multiagent world
- Neither complete nor correct beliefs
- Changeable goals, fallible actions
- Don't know others' beliefs/goals

Starting Point – Individuals

Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p

Starting Point – Individuals

Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p

- p false, but desired true
- p will keep being desired unless:

Starting Point – Individuals

Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p

- p false, but desired true
- p will keep being desired unless:
 - p true
 - p impossible
 - q false

Starting Point – Individuals

Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p

- p false, but desired true
- p will keep being desired unless:
 - p true
 - p impossible
 - q false

Intention: persistent goal, belief throughout that it's being done

Starting Point – Individuals

Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p

- p false, but desired true
- p will keep being desired unless:
 - p true
 - p impossible
 - q false

Intention: persistent goal, belief throughout that it's being done

- What's the role of q ?

Starting Point – Individuals

Persistent goal: relative to q to achieve p

- p false, but desired true
- p will keep being desired unless:
 - p true
 - p impossible
 - q false

Intention: persistent goal, belief throughout that it's being done

- What's the role of q ?
- What's the difference between goal, intention?

2 proposals for teams

Joint commitment not just intention where agent is team

2 proposals for teams

Joint commitment not just intention where agent is team

Weak: Joint intention \equiv mutually known intention: each intend to do their part of collective action

2 proposals for teams

Joint commitment not just intention where agent is team

Weak: Joint intention \equiv mutually known intention: each intend to do their part of collective action

Strong: Same, except mutual knowledge persists until mutually known that activity is over

2 proposals for teams

Joint commitment not just intention where agent is team

Weak: Joint intention \equiv mutually known intention: each intend to do their part of collective action

Strong: Same, except mutual knowledge persists until mutually known that activity is over

Why too weak and too strong?

Joint Commitment

Weak achievement goal (WAG): relative to q *with respect to*
a team to achieve p

Joint Commitment

Weak achievement goal (WAG): relative to q *with respect to a team* to achieve p

- Individually wants p

Joint Commitment

Weak achievement goal (WAG): relative to q *with respect to a team* to achieve p

- Individually wants p
OR
- Believes p true, impossible, or irrelevant, AND has a goal of team knowing it.

4 cases

Joint Commitment

Joint Persistent Goal (JPG): relative to q to achieve p

- mutually believe p false, but mutually know all desire p true
- mutually believe that each have WAG p until
 - mutually believe p true
 - mutually believe p impossible
 - mutually believe q false

Joint Commitment

Joint Persistent Goal (JPG): relative to q to achieve p

- mutually believe p false, but mutually know all desire p true
- mutually believe that each have WAG p until
 - mutually believe p true
 - mutually believe p impossible
 - mutually believe q false

Intention: joint persistent goal, mutual belief throughout that it's being done

Joint Commitment

Joint Persistent Goal (JPG): relative to q to achieve p

- mutually believe p false, but mutually know all desire p true
- mutually believe that each have WAG p until
 - mutually believe p true
 - mutually believe p impossible
 - mutually believe q false

Intention: joint persistent goal, mutual belief throughout that it's being done

- Intend own action, committed to others'

Joint Commitment

Joint Persistent Goal (JPG): relative to q to achieve p

- mutually believe p false, but mutually know all desire p true
- mutually believe that each have WAG p until
 - mutually believe p true
 - mutually believe p impossible
 - mutually believe q false

Intention: joint persistent goal, mutual belief throughout that it's being done

- Intend own action, committed to others'
- Overhead: automatic goal to communicate status

Establishing JPGs

- Communication (basis for KQML)
- Observation (requires co-presence)

Establishing JPGs

- Communication (basis for KQML)
- Observation (requires co-presence)
- Any other way?

CYC – Doug Lenat

- Attempt to program common sense

CYC – Doug Lenat

- Attempt to program common sense
- > 1 million rules
 - “Trees are usually outdoors.”
 - “Once people die they stop buying things.”
 - “Glasses of liquid should be carried rightside-up.”

CYC – Doug Lenat

- Attempt to program common sense
- > 1 million rules
 - “Trees are usually outdoors.”
 - “Once people die they stop buying things.”
 - “Glasses of liquid should be carried rightside-up.”
- Ongoing effort since 1984

CYC – Doug Lenat

- Attempt to program common sense
- > 1 million rules
 - “Trees are usually outdoors.”
 - “Once people die they stop buying things.”
 - “Glasses of liquid should be carried rightside-up.”
- Ongoing effort since 1984
- Potential applications?

CYC – Doug Lenat

- Attempt to program common sense
- > 1 million rules
 - “Trees are usually outdoors.”
 - “Once people die they stop buying things.”
 - “Glasses of liquid should be carried rightside-up.”
- Ongoing effort since 1984
- Potential applications?
 - Some listed on their web site
 - Question answering, retrieval of captioned information, machine translation, speech recognition, semantic data mining, ...

Discussion

“Capabilities for teamwork cannot be patched on, but must be designed in from the start.” (Grosz, 1996)

Discussion

“Capabilities for teamwork cannot be patched on, but must be designed in from the start.” (Grosz, 1996)

- Agree or disagree?