

**CS395T**  
**Agent-Based Electronic Commerce**  
**Fall 2003**

**Peter Stone**

Department of Computer Sciences  
The University of Texas at Austin

Week 2b, 9/4/03

# Logistics

---

- Mailing list and archives

# Logistics

---

- Mailing list and archives
- Presentation dates to be assigned soon

# Logistics

---

- Mailing list and archives
- Presentation dates to be assigned soon
- Change to the readings

# Logistics

---

- Mailing list and archives
- Presentation dates to be assigned soon
- Change to the readings
- Any questions?

# Some Terms/Concepts

---

- Marginal revenue view

# Some Terms/Concepts

---

- Marginal revenue view
- Dominant strategy equilibrium vs. Nash equilibrium
  - Nash always exists!

# Some Terms/Concepts

---

- Marginal revenue view
- Dominant strategy equilibrium vs. Nash equilibrium
  - Nash always exists!
- Surplus: auction's vs. bidder's

# Some Terms/Concepts

---

- Marginal revenue view
- Dominant strategy equilibrium vs. Nash equilibrium
  - Nash always exists!
- Surplus: auction's vs. bidder's
- Social welfare, efficiency

# Some Terms/Concepts

---

- Marginal revenue view
- Dominant strategy equilibrium vs. Nash equilibrium
  - Nash always exists!
- Surplus: auction's vs. bidder's
- Social welfare, efficiency
- Entry costs
- Linkage principle, higher prices in English with affiliation

# Still More Terms

---

- Collusion: bidding rings
  - Sidepayments

# Still More Terms

---

- Collusion: bidding rings
  - Sidepayments
- Multiunit auctions
  - Simultaneous vs. sequential auctions

# Still More Terms

---

- Collusion: bidding rings
  - Sidepayments
- Multiunit auctions
  - Simultaneous vs. sequential auctions
- Budget constraints
- Jump bids
- Revelation principle

# Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

---

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

# Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

---

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

- Bidder 1 has value of \$101
- Bidder 2 has value of \$50  $\frac{4}{5}$  of time, \$75  $\frac{1}{5}$  of time

# Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

---

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

- Bidder 1 has value of \$101
- Bidder 2 has value of \$50  $\frac{4}{5}$  of time, \$75  $\frac{1}{5}$  of time
- Bidder 1 bids \$51 gives \$50 profit  $\frac{4}{5}$  of the time, so expected profit of \$40

# Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

---

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

- Bidder 1 has value of \$101
- Bidder 2 has value of \$50  $\frac{4}{5}$  of time, \$75  $\frac{1}{5}$  of time
- Bidder 1 bids \$51 gives \$50 profit  $\frac{4}{5}$  of the time, so expected profit of \$40
- Bidder 1 bids more than \$62 gives less profit even if he wins

# Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

---

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

- Bidder 1 has value of \$101
- Bidder 2 has value of \$50  $\frac{4}{5}$  of time, \$75  $\frac{1}{5}$  of time
- Bidder 1 bids \$51 gives \$50 profit  $\frac{4}{5}$  of the time, so expected profit of \$40
- Bidder 1 bids more than \$62 gives less profit even if he wins
- So if bidder 2 has value of \$75, she can win by bidding \$62.

# Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

---

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

- Bidder 1 has value of \$101
- Bidder 2 has value of \$50  $\frac{4}{5}$  of time, \$75  $\frac{1}{5}$  of time
- Bidder 1 bids \$51 gives \$50 profit  $\frac{4}{5}$  of the time, so expected profit of \$40
- Bidder 1 bids more than \$62 gives less profit even if he wins
- So if bidder 2 has value of \$75, she can win by bidding \$62.
- That's an inefficient outcome

# Problem (from Klemperer on-line)

---

An auctioneer of a single object faces  $n$  risk-neutral bidders with private valuations for the object that are independently drawn from a uniform distribution  $[0, \bar{v}]$ .

# Problem (from Klemperer on-line)

---

An auctioneer of a single object faces  $n$  risk-neutral bidders with private valuations for the object that are independently drawn from a uniform distribution  $[0, \bar{v}]$ .

Consider an “all pay” first-price auction (sealed-bid auction in which high bidder wins, but every bidder pays her bid). What should a bidder with value  $v$  bid?

# Problem (from Klemperer on-line)

---

An auctioneer of a single object faces  $n$  risk-neutral bidders with private valuations for the object that are independently drawn from a uniform distribution  $[0, \bar{v}]$ .

Consider an “all pay” first-price auction (sealed-bid auction in which high bidder wins, but every bidder pays her bid). What should a bidder with value  $v$  bid?

Hint: Expected  $k$ th highest of  $n$  random draws from a uniform distribution  $[0, 1]$  is  $\frac{n+1-k}{n+1}$ .

# Answer

---

- In a 2nd-price auction,  $v_i$  expects to pay 2nd highest value =  $\frac{n-1}{n} * v_i$  if she wins.

# Answer

---

- In a 2nd-price auction,  $v_i$  expects to pay 2nd highest value =  $\frac{n-1}{n} * v_i$  if she wins.
- $v_i$  wins with probability  $(\frac{v_i}{v})^{n-1}$  (probability that all the other values are lower)

# Answer

---

- In a 2nd-price auction,  $v_i$  expects to pay 2nd highest value =  $\frac{n-1}{n} * v_i$  if she wins.
- $v_i$  wins with probability  $(\frac{v_i}{v})^{n-1}$  (probability that all the other values are lower)
- So expected payment in 2nd price auction is  $(\frac{n-1}{n})(\frac{v_i^n}{v^{n-1}})$

# Answer

---

- In a 2nd-price auction,  $v_i$  expects to pay 2nd highest value =  $\frac{n-1}{n} * v_i$  if she wins.
- $v_i$  wins with probability  $(\frac{v_i}{v})^{n-1}$  (probability that all the other values are lower)
- So expected payment in 2nd price auction is  $(\frac{n-1}{n})(\frac{v_i^n}{v^{n-1}})$
- In an all pay auction, win in exactly same cases, but always pay, so make the same expected payment — that's the bid.