

CS327E Lecture 9 - Wednesday 2/24/2016

Reading Quiz

1. A
2. A
3. D
4. B
5. D

Concept Questions

1. What's wrong with this table design?
 - a. If you had a separate contact table with an id_field and that contact table is storing just a single value, you wouldn't be able to do a join. As a result, you've lost the abilities to do joins.
 - b. You cannot do an aggregation on a list of contacts. The functions work on a record by record basis, rather than lists.
 - c. You'd have to retrieve records with the contacts, scan lists, and delete the contacts you want from the lists.
 - d. Similar to A, but now when you entering contact IDs in a list, you don't know if they're valid.
 - e. The answer is all of the above.
2. The intersection table represents a many-to-many relationship between a table of articles and a table of tags. What's wrong with the table design?
 - a. This is the answer, because it is possible with this introduction of id to end up with duplicate records.
 - b. This is not correct.
 - c. This is not correct.
 - d. This is not something to worry about because the constraints are just fine.
3. What's wrong with this table design?
 - a. This is a valid answer because this table wouldn't even be able to be created without a primary key.
 - b. Since there are multiple records, then it will be harder to pull the "correct" record.
 - c. Since people move, there will be multiple records that must be updated.
 - d. This is the correct answer.
4. This is a table that is designed for storing the room reservations of hotel guests. Can you figure out what's wrong with the design?
 - a. The contact information is irrelevant for this table.
 - b. You can have guests appear multiple times in the records, so this is not the correct answer.

- c. It allows for double-booking, because it is possible to have two guests get a reservation for the same room, in an overlapping time. The CHECK constraint operate at a record at a time level, in fact, they are not aware of the other records in the table.
 - d. This is fine because the CHECK constraint is $\geq$, not $>$.
 - e. This is not the correct answer.
- 5. How can we improve on the design of the Hotel_Reservation table to guarantee consistency and thus prevent double-bookings? (Refer to **Solutions to Concept Question 5** for code examples)
 - a. CHECK constraints only operate on a record by record basis.
 - b. We could write a trigger for every record that gets inserted, we would have a trigger that computes logic to see if there's any overlap.
 - c. For every single occupied date, we're going to have another record in the table. If somebody is staying five days, we'll end up for five records for that stay.
 - d. This is the answer.
 - e. This is not the answer.
- 6. You have a Customer table with an auto-incrementing primary key. You decide to start using the highest key value to find out the total number of customers you have. In MySQL, this would be using the built-in function LAST_INSERT_ID(). What can possibly go wrong?
 - a. Since it's declared as a primary key, this option is not valid.
 - b. If you ever deleted a record, or inserted a record that failed, the database will cache and automatically increment the primary key, causing a gap. This is the correct answer.
 - c. This is not the answer.