CS344M
Autonomous Multiagent Systems

Todd Hester

Department or Computer Science
The University of Texas at Austin

Good Afternoon, Colleagues

Are there any questions?

Logistics

- Programming assignment 4

Logistics

- Programming assignment 4
  - 2D assignment

Logistics

- Programming assignment 4
  - 2D assignment
- Final exam time: Monday Dec 17, 2-5 pm

Logistics

- Programming assignment 4
  - 2D assignment
- Final exam time: Monday Dec 17, 2-5 pm
  - No exam
  - Final tournament and oral project presentation

Logistics

- Programming assignment 4
  - 2D assignment
- Final exam time: Monday Dec 17, 2-5 pm
  - No exam
  - Final tournament and oral project presentation
- Talks in the department:

Logistics

- Programming assignment 4
  - 2D assignment
- Final exam time: Monday Dec 17, 2-5 pm
  - No exam
  - Final tournament and oral project presentation
- Talks in the department:
  - Julian Bishop, PhD Proposal, Friday at 11am (ENS 31NM)
  - "Evolutionary Feature Discovery for Online Reinforcement Learning"

ACL Desiderata

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

Semantics: unambiguous, address location and time

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

Semantics: unambiguous, address location and time

Implementation: efficient, networking issues hidden, amenable to partial implementation

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

Semantics: unambiguous, address location and time

Implementation: efficient, networking issues hidden, amenable to partial implementation

Networking: usable on top of existing protocols

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

Semantics: unambiguous, address location and time

Implementation: efficient, networking issues hidden, amenable to partial implementation

Networking: usable on top of existing protocols

Environment: interoperability with other languages

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

Semantics: unambiguous, address location and time

Implementation: efficient, networking issues hidden, amenable to partial implementation

Networking: usable on top of existing protocols

Environment: interoperability with other languages

Reliability: reliable, secure, authentication possible, error handling

{Per,Il}locution

Locution: What is said (physical)

{Per,Il}locution

Locution: What is said (physical)

Illocution: What is meant

{Per,Il}locution

Locution: What is said (physical)

Illocution: What is meant

Perlocution: Intended effects

{Per,Il}locution

Locution: What is said (physical)

Illocution: What is meant

Perlocution: Intended effects

Example: "Please close the window."

{Per,Il}locution

Locution: What is said (physical)

Illocution: What is meant

Perlocution: Intended effects

Example: "Please close the window."

Illocution – Performatives

Three-layer organization

- Content: free-form (domain-dependent)

Three-layer organization

- **Content: free-form (domain-dependent)**
- *Communication: who is sending, etc.*

Three-layer organization

- **Content: free-form (domain-dependent)**
- *Communication: who is sending, etc.*
- Message: performatives and fields (standard)

Three-layer organization

- **Content: free-form (domain-dependent)**
- *Communication: who is sending, etc.*
- Message: performatives and fields (standard)

```
(tell
    :sender stock-server
    :content (PRICE IBM 14)
    :receiver joe
    :in-reply-to ibm-stock
    :language LPROLOG
    :ontology NYSE-TICKS)
```

Three-layer organization

- **Content: free-form (domain-dependent)**
- *Communication: who is sending, etc.*
- Message: performatives and fields (standard)

(tell
:sender *stock-server*
:content **(PRICE IBM 14)**
:receiver *joe*
:in-reply-to *ibm-stock*
:language *LPROLOG*
:ontology *NYSE-TICKS*)

ACLs – Current Landscape

"Languages exist to serve a purpose, namely the communication between willing—and occasionally unwilling—participants"

ACLs – Current Landscape

"Languages exist to serve a purpose, namely the communication between willing—and occasionally unwilling—participants"

- There are different options
- Subtle differences

ACLs – Current Landscape

"Languages exist to serve a purpose, namely the communication between willing—and occasionally unwilling—participants"

- There are different options
- Subtle differences
- Why a standard?
  - What are the pros and cons?

ACLs – Current Landscape

"Languages exist to serve a purpose, namely the communication between willing—and occasionally unwilling—participants"

- There are different options
- Subtle differences
- Why a standard?
  - What are the pros and cons?
- How are they created?

ACLs – Current Landscape

"Languages exist to serve a purpose, namely the communication between willing—and occasionally unwilling—participants"

- There are different options
- Subtle differences
- Why a standard?
  - What are the pros and cons?
- How are they created?
- Sample FIPA applications on resources page

Soccer server communication

- What is the soccer server communication protocol?
- How does it relate?

Soccer server communication

- What is the soccer server communication protocol?
- How does it relate?
- Does an ACL make sense in the soccer server? If so, under what circumstances?

Soccer server communication

- What is the soccer server communication protocol?
- How does it relate?
- Does an ACL make sense in the soccer server? If so, under what circumstances?

An example protocol

Communication Disruption

How could an opponent team disrupt team communication?

Communication Disruption

How could an opponent team disrupt team communication?

Actual instances