

Some Facts about String Interleaving
William Cook and Jayadev Misra; February 17, 2005

Let p and q be (finite or infinite) strings. For any string x , the *projection* of x on p , $x.p$, is the subsequence of x consisting only of the symbols from p ; for empty string ϵ , $x.\epsilon = \epsilon$. We call the symbols of p its *alphabet*. String x is an interleaving of p and q , where p and q have disjoint alphabets, if

$$x.p = p \text{ and } x.q = q \text{ and } x.(p \cup q) = x$$

The first two conditions imply that all symbols of p and q are in x and from the last condition, no other symbol is in x . The only interleaving of ϵ and q is q .

Let $p \# q$ be the set of all interleavings of p and q . We generalize interleaving to sets of strings. Let P and Q be sets of strings with disjoint alphabets, i.e., no symbol appears in both a string of P and of Q . Write $x.P$ for the projection of x on the alphabet of P . For the empty set ϕ , $x.\phi = \epsilon$. Define the interleaving of P and Q , $P \# Q$, by

$$x \in (P \# Q) \equiv x.P \in P \wedge x.Q \in Q \wedge x.(P \cup Q) = x \quad (\text{D})$$

We will prove several properties of $\#$. First, we note a few simple facts. Henceforth, we write $x.P.Q$ for $(x.P).Q$.

$$P = \phi \Rightarrow P \# Q = \phi$$

$$P = \{\epsilon\} \Rightarrow P \# Q = Q$$

$$x.P.P = x.P$$

$$x.(P \cup Q).P = x.P$$

$$x.(P \# Q) = x.(P \cup Q), \text{ since alphabets of } P \# Q \text{ and } P \cup Q \text{ are equal.}$$

Properties of Interleaving

Below, P , Q and R are sets of strings with disjoint alphabets.

- (Commutativity) $P \# Q = Q \# P$: follows from (D) that

$$x \in P \# Q \equiv x \in Q \# P$$

- (Associativity) $(P \# Q) \# R = P \# (Q \# R)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & x \in (P \# Q) \# R \\ \equiv & \{ \text{from (D)} \} \\ & x.(P \# Q) \in (P \# Q) \wedge x.R \in R \wedge x.((P \cup Q) \# R) = x \\ \equiv & \{ x.(P \# Q) = x.(P \cup Q) \} \\ & x.(P \cup Q) \in (P \# Q) \wedge x.R \in R \wedge x.(P \cup Q \cup R) = x \\ \equiv & \{ \text{from (D)} \} \\ & x.(P \cup Q).P \in P \\ & \wedge x.(P \cup Q).Q \in Q \\ & \wedge x.R \in R \\ & \wedge x.(P \cup Q \cup R) = x \\ \equiv & \{ x.(P \cup Q).P = x.P, x.(P \cup Q).Q = x.Q \} \\ & x.P \in P \wedge x.Q \in Q \wedge x.R \in R \wedge x.(P \cup Q \cup R) = x \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing,

$$\begin{aligned} & x \in (P \uplus Q) \uplus R \\ \equiv & x.P \in P \wedge x.Q \in Q \wedge x.R \in R \wedge x.(P \cup Q \cup R) = x \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & x \in P \uplus (Q \uplus R) \\ \equiv & \{ \text{Commutativity of } \uplus \} \\ & x \in (Q \uplus R) \uplus P \\ \equiv & \{ \text{replace } P, Q \text{ and } R \text{ in (1) by } Q, R \text{ and } P, \text{ respectively} \} \\ & x.Q \in Q \wedge x.R \in R \wedge x.P \in P \wedge x.(Q \cup R \cup P) = x \\ \equiv & \{ \text{rewrite} \} \\ & x.P \in P \wedge x.Q \in Q \wedge x.R \in R \wedge x.(P \cup Q \cup R) = x \\ \equiv & \{ \text{from (1)} \} \\ & x \in (P \uplus Q) \uplus R \end{aligned}$$

- (Distributivity over \cup) $(P \cup Q) \uplus R = (P \uplus R) \cup (Q \uplus R)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & x \in (P \cup Q) \uplus R \\ \equiv & \{ \text{definition of } \uplus \} \\ & x.(P \cup Q) \in (P \cup Q) \wedge x.R \in R \wedge x.((P \cup Q) \uplus R) = x \\ \equiv & \{ \text{set theory on first term; } x.(P \uplus Q) = x.(P \cup Q) \text{ on last term} \} \\ & (x.(P \cup Q) \in P \vee x.(P \cup Q) \in Q) \\ & \wedge x.R \in R \wedge x.(P \cup Q \cup R) = x \\ \equiv & \{ P \text{ and } Q \text{ have disjoint alphabets:} \\ & x.(P \cup Q) \in P \equiv x.P \in P \wedge x.Q = \epsilon \} \\ & ((x.P \in P \wedge x.Q = \epsilon) \vee (x.Q \in Q \wedge x.P = \epsilon)) \\ & \wedge x.R \in R \wedge x.(P \cup Q \cup R) = x \\ \equiv & \{ x.Q = \epsilon \wedge x.(P \cup Q \cup R) = x \equiv x.(P \cup R) = x \} \\ & (x.P \in P \wedge x.R \in R \wedge x.(P \cup R) = x) \\ & \vee (x.Q \in Q \wedge x.R \in R \wedge x.(Q \cup R) = x) \\ \equiv & \{ \text{from (D)} \} \\ & x \in (P \uplus R) \vee x \in (Q \uplus R) \\ \equiv & \{ \text{set theory} \} \\ & x \in ((P \uplus R) \cup (Q \uplus R)) \end{aligned}$$

On disjoint alphabets Our results hold even when the alphabets are not disjoint. To see this, consider the interleavings of strings $p = 01$ and $q = 02$. First, replace the common symbol, 0, by distinct symbols in both strings, to get $p' = 0'1$ and $q' = 0''2$ with distinct alphabets. Any interleaving of p' and q' , say, $0'0''21$ can be mapped back to 0021 which is an interleaving of p and q . Identical sets of strings remain identical after the mapping of symbols. Therefore, all properties of \uplus proved under the assumption of disjoint alphabets also hold if the alphabets are non-disjoint.