
OS isolation: the enforcement ladder

Key takeaways

1. Isolation is a ladder, not a switch. \$PATH and conda only redirect names, namespaces and cgroups enforce a boundary, and a VM removes the shared kernel.
2. The security property is the delegation list: what the job may read, write, connect to, and consume. The word container names a bundle of mechanisms, not safety.
3. One supervisor owns the job's lifetime. It kills the whole cgroup so no descendant outlives the run, and its receipt says which ceiling ended the job.

Common misunderstandings of OS isolation

Which claim would you defend right now? Choose before the evidence.

“Running code inside Docker makes it safe by default.”

“A timeout is enough to contain resource exhaustion.”

“Killing the top-level process cleans up every descendant it started.”

Keep your choice. Look for the mechanism or counterexample that would make it fail.

Most submissions are merely buggy; design for the hostile one

Grading runs a stranger's program with your privileges

```
$ cd /Users/zappa/hw1-grading && ls
student.py  test_hw1.py  # their code, your tests
$ python -m pytest          # imports student.py – their code runs as zappa
```

Danger	Example	At stake
Theft	student.py reads ~/.ssh and posts it to a webhook	confidentiality
Tampering	student.py rewrites test_hw1.py so every test passes	integrity
Exhaustion	a fork loop in student.py starves every other grading job	availability
Escape	student.py starts a daemon that outlives the grading run	containment

- The same threat model covers your agent running any unfamiliar repository's build or tests.

Instapoll

Instapoll · Review · Oct 20 A

A grader runs every submission inside a container, with “docker run --rm -v /:/host agent-build”. What can a hostile submission reach?

- A. Every file on the host, read and write — the container does not stop it
- B. The host’s files read-only, because a bind mount cannot be written back
- C. Nothing outside the container, which is what putting it in one buys
- D. Only what the image ships, since the container runs a kernel of its own

Keep untrusted code inside a resource boundary

A container is a bundle of mechanisms, not a magic security property

Docker, host handed through

```
docker run --rm \
  -v /:/host \
  -v /var/run/docker.sock:/var/run/docker.sock \
  agent-build
```

Docker, explicit capabilities

```
docker run --rm --network none \
  --read-only --cap-drop ALL \
  --memory 1g --pids-limit 128 \
  -v ./submission:/work:ro \
  --tmpfs /scratch:rw,size=2g \
  agent-build
```

- Same tool, same kernel mechanisms.
- Mounts and network decide confidentiality and integrity; the limits decide availability.
- The delegation list is the security property, not the word ‘container.’

Grant capabilities explicitly; deny by default

Each granted capability becomes one launch flag

```
adversary = "buggy or hostile repository code"
allowed = {
  "read":    ["/submission"],          # -v ./submission:/work:ro
  "write":   ["fresh 2 GiB scratch"],  # --tmpfs /scratch:rw,size=2g
  "connect": [],                      # --network none
  "consume": {"memory": "1 GiB",       # --memory 1g
              "pids": 128,             # --pids-limit 128
              "wall_clock": "10 min"}, # supervisor deadline
}
```

- ‘Should the grader reach the network?’ is reviewable; a pile of flags is not.
- Read, write, and connect buy confidentiality and integrity; consume buys availability.
- Deny is the default: anything not granted does not cross the boundary.

Isolation is a ladder, not a switch

Each rung virtualizes a bigger interface and is harder to cross



\$PATH

One name lookup, redirected by a shell variable.

Bypassed by any absolute path.



conda

An install tree, redirected by environment variables — no root required.

Leaks by bug, by design, or by malice.



container

The kernel's name tables — PIDs, mounts, network, users — via namespaces; CPU and memory metered by cgroups.

Still shares the host kernel.



VM

The hardware itself: VT-x enters and exits the guest, EPT remaps its memory, VT-d contains its devices.

Strongest rung; boots a kernel to get there.

Isolation bounds the job in space; the supervisor bounds it in time

One owner for the job's lifetime and its evidence

```
job = sandbox.start(policy)          # every mechanism so far
try:
    result = job.wait(deadline=600)  # time is a resource too
finally:
    job.kill_cgroup()               # tree-wide: children cannot reparent away
    receipt = job.receipt()

receipt == {"exit": None, "signal": "SIGKILL",
            "oom_kills": 1, "cpu_s": 599, "peak_mem": "1.0 GiB"}
# not a failing test suite — the job hit its memory ceiling
```

- Kill the cgroup, not the shell PID: descendants reparent and outlive their parent.
- The receipt says which ceiling ended the job — test failure, timeout, or OOM — so the grade is explainable.

Key Takeaways

1. Isolation is a ladder, not a switch. \$PATH redirects one name lookup and conda redirects an install tree, so both leak; namespaces and cgroups virtualize the kernel's own tables and enforce; a VM removes the shared kernel.
2. The security property is the delegation list: what a job may name, mount, connect to, and consume. Write it as capabilities you can review, translate each grant into one launch flag, and deny anything you did not grant.
3. The supervisor bounds the job in time the way isolation bounds it in space. Kill the cgroup, not the shell process, because descendants reparent and survive. Its receipt keeps a test failure, a timeout, and a memory kill distinguishable.

Write the delegation list

10 min

- In pairs. Your agent will run an unfamiliar repository's test suite on your laptop. It builds a C extension, downloads fixture data from a release URL, and writes coverage.xml. Nobody has read the code.
- Write the delegation list as four lines — read, write, connect, consume — each naming a path, a size, or a number. Anything you do not write down is denied.
- Decide the connect line. Granting the release URL runs the suite unchanged; denying it means pre-fetching the fixtures, and the build may still fail. Choose one and name what it costs.
- Pick the rung you would actually use — conda, container, or VM — and name one danger from the theft/tampering/exhaustion/escape table it still leaves open.
- Compare with the next pair. Circle the line you disagree on, and say which ceiling the supervisor's receipt would name if the suite forks without bound.