



## Learning to Control a Low-Cost Manipulator using Data-Efficient Reinforcement Learning

Presenter: Charles Nimo

October 14th 2021

#### **Robotic Manipulators**



### **Key Challenges**



- ✤ No human in the loop → Automatically
  learn from data
- Data-Efficient Learning
- Uncertainty: sensor noise, unknown processes, limited knowledge

#### **Prior Work**

Policy Search for Motor Primitives in Robotics (Machine Learning, 2011)

- A model-free policy learning method is presented which relies on rollouts sampled from the system.
- Gaussian Processes in Reinforcement Learning (NIPS, 2004)
  - Proposed algorithms that used Gaussian Process dynamics models in Reinforcement Learning setup
- Autonomous Helicopter Control using Reinforcement Learning Policy Search Methods (ICRA, 2001)
  - performs model-based reinforcement learning with certainty equivalence assumptions of latent system dynamics
- PILCO: A Model-Based and Data-Efficient Approach to Policy Search (ICML, 2011)
  - Introduces PILCO, a model-based policy search method aimed at reducing model bias

#### **Central Problem**

# Can reinforcement learning be data efficient enough for robust manipulation with inexpensive hardware?

#### **Central Problem**

Data Efficient Reinforcement Learning

The ability to learn and make decisions in complex domains without requiring large quantities of data

### Objective



Use data-efficient reinforcement learning to train a low precision robotic arm to stack a tower of foam blocks autonomously

#### The Task:

- No grasping
- Block Tracking with Kinect 640x480 RGB Camera
- Small number of interactions to prevent wear and tear
- No imitation learning learns from scratch
- Cost Function

# Probabilistic Inference for Learning Control (PILCO)

A framework for rapid model-based data-efficient reinforcement learning based on Gaussian Processes (GP).

Algorithm 1 PILCO						
1:	init: Set controller pa	rameters $\psi$ to random.				
2:	Apply random control	l signals and record data.				
3:	repeat					
4:	Learn probabilistic	c GP dynamics model using all data				
5:	repeat	Model-based policy search				
6:	Approx. infere	nce for policy evaluation: get $J^{\pi}(oldsymbol{\psi})$				
7:	Gradients $dJ^{\pi}$	$(\psi)/\mathrm{d}\psi$ for policy improvement				
8:	Update parame	eters $\psi$ (e.g., CG or L-BFGS).				
9:	until convergence	; return $oldsymbol{\psi}^*$				
10:	Set $\pi^* \leftarrow \pi(\psi^*)$ .					
11:	Apply $\pi^*$ to robot	t (single trial/episode); record data.				
12:	until task learned					

#### Gaussian Processes

is a (potentially infinite) collection of random variables (RV) such that the joint distribution of every finite subset of RVs is multivariate Gaussian



#### PILCO Framework (High Level Steps)

Objective

Minimize expected long-term cost

$$J^{\pi} = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{t}}[c(\mathbf{x}_{t})]$$

- Probabilistic Model Learning (System Identification)
- 2. Long Term Planning/Prediction
- 3. Policy Search
- 4. Apply Policy to Robot

1. Probabilistic Model Learning

Task: find a (transition) function  $f : (\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1}) \mapsto \mathbf{x}_t$ 



Plausible (deterministic) function approximators





- 1. Probabilistic Model Learning
- 2. Long Term Planning/Predictions





- 1. Probabilistic Model Learning
- 2. Long Term Planning/Predictions
- 3. Policy Search

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_t}[c(\mathbf{x}_t)] = \int c(\mathbf{x}_t) \mathcal{N}\big(\mathbf{x}_t \,|\, \boldsymbol{\mu}_t, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t\big) \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_t$$



- 1. Probabilistic Model Learning
- 2. Long Term Planning/Predictions
- 3. Policy Search
- 4. Apply Policy to Robot



### **Experimental Validation**

First Setup

#### Independent Controllers

- Independently trained controllers for each block
  (5)
- Total interaction time for stacking 5 blocks – 230 s (10 trials per block)





#### **Experimental Validation**

First Setup

Sequential Transfer Learning

- Train independent controller
- Reuse the dynamics model and controller parameters for next block
- Learning to stack blocks required 90 s





#### The Task:



#### **Experimental Validation**

Second Setup

#### **Collision Avoidance**

- Collision is defined to occur when the robot arm collided with the tower of foam blocks
- Planning with state space constraints led to higher success rate
- Distances measured from block in gripper and target location



without collision avoidance	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6
collisions during training	12/40 (30%)	11/40 (27.5%)	13/40 (32.5%)	18/40 (45%)	21/40 (52.5%)
block deposit success rate	50%	43%	37%	47%	33%
distance (in cm) to target at time $T$	$1.39\pm0.81$	$0.73\pm0.36$	$0.65\pm0.35$	$0.71\pm0.46$	$0.59\pm0.34$
with collision avoidance	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6
with collision avoidance collisions during training	B2 0/40 (0%)	B3 2/40 (5%)	B4 1/40 (2.5%)	B5 3/40 (7.5%)	B6 1/40 (2.5%)
with collision avoidance collisions during training block deposit success rate	B2 0/40 (0%) 90%	B3 2/40 (5%) 97%	B4 1/40 (2.5%) 90%	B5 3/40 (7.5%) 70%	B6 1/40 (2.5%) 97%

#### Limitations & Future Work

Limitations:

- PILCO is not optimal control
- Probabilistic models are only confident in areas of the space previously observed
- Does not take temporal correlation into account

Future Work:

- How could Neural Networks be used instead of Gaussian Processes?
- How does the PILCO framework perform to more complex tasks?

### Summary

- Learning of Probabilistic Dynamics Model and Controller
- Incorporates model-uncertainty into long term planning
- Collision Avoidance during planning
- Does not rely on expert knowledge i.e., imitation learning or task specific prior knowledge
- Data Efficiency learning from scratch is applicable to affordable, off-the-shelf robots

#### **Extended Readings**

- Gal, Yarin. Improving PILCO with Bayesian Neural Network Dynamics Models (ICML, 2016)
- Ebden, M. Gaussian Processes for Regression: A Quick Introduction (2008)
- Deisenroth, M.P. PILCO: A Model-Based and data efficient approach to Policy Search (ICML, 2011)

# Thank you!